



MARTIN BROOK

# Estimating and Tendering for Construction Work

**Ketabton.com**

THIRD EDITION

# **Estimating and Tendering for Construction Work**

*This page intentionally left blank*

# ESTIMATING AND TENDERING FOR CONSTRUCTION WORK

Third edition

***Martin Brook***  
***BEng(Tech) FCIOB***



**ELSEVIER**  
BUTTERWORTH  
HEINEMANN

AMSTERDAM BOSTON HEIDELBERG LONDON NEW YORK OXFORD PARIS  
SAN DIEGO SAN FRANCISCO SINGAPORE SYDNEY TOKYO

Elsevier Butterworth-Heinemann  
Linacre House, Jordan Hill, Oxford OX2 8DP  
200 Wheeler Road, Burlington, MA 01803

First published 1993  
Second edition 1998  
Reprinted 1999, 2001, 2002, 2003  
Third edition 2004

Copyright © 2004, Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved

No part of this publication may be reproduced in any material form (including photocopying or storing in any medium by electronic means and whether or not transiently or incidentally to some other use of this publication) without the written permission of the copyright holder except in accordance with the provisions of the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 or under the terms of a licence issued by the Copyright Licensing Agency Ltd, 90 Tottenham Court Road, London, England W1T 4LP. Applications for the copyright holder's written permission to reproduce any part of this publication should be addressed to the publisher

Permissions may be sought directly from Elsevier's Science & Technology Rights Department in Oxford, UK: phone: (+44) 1865 843830, fax: (+44) 1865 853333, e-mail: [permissions@elsevier.co.uk](mailto:permissions@elsevier.co.uk). You may also complete your request on-line via the Elsevier homepage (<http://www.elsevier.com>), by selecting 'Customer Support' and then 'Obtaining Permissions'

#### **British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data**

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

#### **Library of Congress Cataloguing in Publication Data**

A catalogue record for this book is available from the Library of Congress

ISBN 0 7506 5864 9

|                                                                                                                                                              |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| For information on all Elsevier Butterworth-Heinemann publications<br>visit our website at <a href="http://books.elsevier.com">http://books.elsevier.com</a> |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|

Printed and bound in Great Britain

# Contents

---

|                                             |      |
|---------------------------------------------|------|
| Preface                                     | ix   |
| Acknowledgements                            | xii  |
| List of figures                             | xiii |
| Abbreviations used in the text              | xvi  |
| <br>                                        |      |
| 1 Organization of the estimating function   | 1    |
| <br>                                        |      |
| 2 Procurement paths                         | 10   |
| Introduction                                | 10   |
| Clients' needs                              | 11   |
| Contractor involvement                      | 12   |
| Partnering                                  | 13   |
| Apportionment of risk                       | 14   |
| Traditional method                          | 16   |
| Design and build                            | 17   |
| Management contracting                      | 19   |
| Construction management                     | 21   |
| Private finance initiative                  | 22   |
| <br>                                        |      |
| 3 Forms of contract                         | 34   |
| Introduction                                | 34   |
| Essentials of a valid construction contract | 34   |
| Standard forms of contract                  | 36   |
| Sub-contract forms                          | 38   |
| Comparison of forms                         | 43   |
| Selection of contract forms                 | 44   |
| <br>                                        |      |
| 4 Tender documentation                      | 46   |
| Introduction                                | 46   |
| Coordinated project information             | 47   |
| CPI and the estimator                       | 55   |
| Documents used as the basis of a tender     | 58   |
| Formal tender documents                     | 61   |

## Contents

|    |                                              |     |
|----|----------------------------------------------|-----|
| 5  | Estimating methods                           | 66  |
|    | Introduction                                 | 66  |
|    | Single-rate approximate estimating           | 70  |
|    | Multiple-rate approximate estimating         | 71  |
|    | Approximate quantities                       | 73  |
|    | Analytical estimating                        | 78  |
|    | Operational estimating                       | 82  |
| 6  | Contractor selection and decision to tender  | 85  |
|    | Introduction                                 | 85  |
|    | Competition and negotiation                  | 86  |
|    | Abuse of tendering procedures                | 90  |
|    | Decision to tender                           | 92  |
|    | Inspection of tender documents               | 95  |
|    | Competition legislation                      | 96  |
| 7  | Project appreciation                         | 100 |
|    | Introduction                                 | 100 |
|    | Estimate timetable                           | 100 |
|    | Pricing strategy                             | 103 |
|    | Schedules                                    | 103 |
|    | The estimating team                          | 103 |
|    | Visits to consultants and site               | 107 |
| 8  | Enquiries to suppliers and sub-contractors   | 109 |
|    | Introduction                                 | 109 |
|    | Enquiries for materials                      | 111 |
|    | Enquiries to sub-contractors                 | 113 |
| 9  | Tender planning and method statements        | 117 |
|    | Introduction                                 | 117 |
|    | The role of the planning engineer            | 117 |
|    | Method statements                            | 118 |
|    | Tender programmes                            | 119 |
| 10 | Resource costs – labour, materials and plant | 125 |
|    | Introduction                                 | 125 |
|    | Labour rates                                 | 125 |
|    | Material rates                               | 128 |
|    | Plant rates                                  | 130 |
| 11 | Unit rate pricing                            | 132 |
|    | Introduction                                 | 132 |

|                                                    |     |
|----------------------------------------------------|-----|
| Components of a rate                               | 132 |
| Method of measurement                              | 134 |
| Pricing notes                                      | 134 |
| Model rate and pricing examples                    | 135 |
| 12 Sub-contractors and nominated suppliers         | 176 |
| Introduction                                       | 176 |
| Domestic sub-contractors                           | 176 |
| Nominated sub-contractors                          | 183 |
| Nominated suppliers                                | 184 |
| 13 Fluctuations                                    | 185 |
| Introduction                                       | 185 |
| Standard fluctuations clauses                      | 186 |
| Calculation of non-recoverable increases           | 188 |
| 14 Provisional sums and dayworks                   | 192 |
| Introduction                                       | 192 |
| Provisional sums for undefined work                | 192 |
| Provisional sums for defined work                  | 192 |
| Dayworks                                           | 193 |
| Overheads and profit                               | 196 |
| 15 Project overheads                               | 199 |
| Introduction                                       | 199 |
| Pricing project overheads                          | 199 |
| Pricing the preliminaries bill                     | 202 |
| Example of project overheads                       | 216 |
| 16 Cashflow forecasts                              | 217 |
| Introduction                                       | 217 |
| Cashflow calculations                              | 217 |
| Example of a contractor's cashflow forecast        | 219 |
| 17 Completing the estimate and final tender review | 227 |
| Completing the estimate                            | 227 |
| Estimator's report                                 | 229 |
| Comments on Estimate Analysis form                 | 229 |
| Comments on Tender Summary form                    | 234 |
| Risks and opportunities                            | 235 |
| Overheads and profit                               | 237 |
| 18 Tender submission and results                   | 240 |
| Introduction                                       | 240 |

## Contents

|                                               |     |
|-----------------------------------------------|-----|
| Completion of priced bills                    | 241 |
| Tender presentation                           | 243 |
| Vetting of tenders                            | 245 |
| Post-tender negotiations and award            | 246 |
| Tendering performance and analysis of results | 247 |
| Bidding strategy                              | 251 |
| 19 Action with the successful tender          | 254 |
| Introduction                                  | 254 |
| Information transfer                          | 254 |
| Feedback                                      | 256 |
| 20 Computer-aided estimating                  | 260 |
| Introduction                                  | 260 |
| Aims of computer-aided estimating             | 261 |
| Software                                      | 262 |
| Electronic exchange of information            | 263 |
| Reverse auctions                              | 265 |
| Computer-aided estimating packages            | 267 |
| General purpose software                      | 271 |
| Hardware                                      | 280 |
| Networking                                    | 283 |
| Implementation                                | 285 |
| The future                                    | 286 |
| Further reading                               | 291 |
| Index                                         | 295 |

# Preface

---

My aims in this book are to introduce a practical approach to estimating and tendering from a contractor's point of view, and explain the estimator's role within the construction team. The book therefore differs from previous textbooks in three main ways:

1. In general it is assumed that it is the contractor who prepares estimates because in the majority of cases an estimate is produced to form the basis of a tender.
2. I have introduced many typical forms used by estimators to collate data and report to management. Most of the forms relate to two fictitious projects: a new lifeboat station and the construction of offices for Fast Transport Limited.
3. The pricing examples given in Chapter 11 have been produced using a typical build-up sheet. The items of work to which the prices relate are given at the top of each page. Estimating data are given for each trade so that students will have a source of information for building up rates. I suggest that before pricing exercises are undertaken, the first part of Chapter 11 should be read and an understanding of estimating methods should be gained from Chapter 5. The first pricing example is for a 'model rate' that gives a checklist of items to be included in a unit rate.

The estimating function has changed more in the last 15 years than at any time before. Many estimating duties can now be carried out by assistants using word processors, spreadsheets and computer-aided estimating systems. The estimator manages the process and produces clear reports for review by management.

Estimators need to understand the consequences of entering into a contract, which is often defined by a complex combination of conditions and supporting documents. They also need to appreciate the technical requirements of a project from tolerances in floor levels to the design of concrete mixes, and from temporary electrical installations to piling techniques.

The Chartered Institute of Building publishes a series of guides to good practice – the Code of Estimating Practice and its supplements. I have not duplicated their fine work in this book but hope that my explanation and examples show how the guidelines can be used in practice.

Contractors now assume an active role in providing financial advice to their clients. The estimator produces financial budgets for this purpose and assembles cost allowances for use during construction. Computers have been introduced

## Preface

by most organizations, with a combination of general purpose and specialist software. Computers have brought many benefits during the tender period, and are seen as essential for the handover of successful tenders; adjustments can be made quickly, information can be presented clearly, and data can be transferred in a more compact form.

The changes brought about by the introduction of SMM7 and the other principles of Coordinated Project Information have reduced the number of items to be measured in a typical building contract. The item descriptions no longer provide information for pricing, the estimator must always refer to the specification and drawings. In practice this is time consuming for both contractors and sub-contractors, and the amount of paperwork has increased immensely. Nevertheless, contractors always need a bill of quantities, whether produced by the client's quantity surveyor, by an in-house commission or by sharing the services of an independent quantity surveyor. Traditionally bills of quantities were used as a fair basis for preparing and comparing tenders, but increasingly the responsibility for quantities is being passed to contractors. It is of some concern that estimators continue to have difficulty entering bills of quantities in their estimating systems and look forward to the time when a common approach to electronic data transfer is widely adopted.

This third edition has been written to reflect changes in estimating since 1997. These include:

- The recommendations of Sir Michael Latham in his 1994 report *Constructing the Team*, and Sir John Egan *Rethinking Construction*, 1998.
- A section on the Private Finance Initiative (PFI) has been added to Chapter 2, and an example of project overheads has been added to Chapter 15.
- A short introduction to competition legislation in the UK. In particular the Competition Act 1998 and Enterprise Act 2002; Chapter 6.
- A major review of JCT contracts took place in 1998 with most of the main contract forms consolidated into new editions.
- Increases in labour and plant rates which affect rate build-ups (Chapter 10), daywork calculations (Chapter 14), and pricing notes given in Chapter 11. In 2003, a long-term wage agreement has introduced a 21% overall increase in basic labour costs over three years. The effect of this, combined with the shortage of skilled operatives, will be a period of significant inflation running ahead of the UK annual inflation rate.
- Many tables and figures have been enhanced so that they will be easier to read.
- Some new terminology introduced by the 6th edition of the CIOB Code of Estimating Practice 1997, particularly the recommendation that structured discussions with management are referred to as 'review' meetings, and what was the 'adjudication' meeting is now called the 'final review' meeting. This avoids conflict with the action by quantity surveyors in checking tenders which is also referred to as the 'adjudication of a tender'.

I recognize and support the role of women in construction and ask readers to accept that the use of the masculine pronoun is intended to refer equally to both sexes.

Martin Brook  
2004

# *Acknowledgements*

---

I wish to acknowledge the help given by Michael Hawkrige for checking the text to the first edition, and Dr Jane Brook for the cartoons.

# List of figures

---

|           |                                                                    |       |
|-----------|--------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|
| Fig. 1.1  | Estimating staff structure for a medium-sized organization         | 2     |
| Fig. 1.2  | Estimating and tendering flowchart                                 | 4     |
| Fig. 1.3  | Estimating programme                                               | 5     |
| Fig. 1.4  | Tender register                                                    | 7     |
| Fig. 2.1  | A guide to the apportionment of financial risk                     | 15    |
| Fig. 2.2  | The traditional procurement method                                 | 16    |
| Fig. 2.3  | The design and build system                                        | 17    |
| Fig. 2.4  | Management contracting                                             | 20    |
| Fig. 2.5  | Construction management                                            | 22    |
| Fig. 2.6  | Private finance initiative                                         | 24    |
| Fig. 2.7  | Example of a pricing strategy for a PFI hospital scheme            | 30–32 |
| Fig. 3.1  | Contractual relationships between parties using JCT98              | 39    |
| Fig. 3.2  | Contractual relationships between parties using ICE<br>7th Edition | 39    |
| Fig. 3.3  | Simplified checklist for the selection of a contract               | 44    |
| Fig. 4.1  | Typical formal invitation letter                                   | 62    |
| Fig. 4.2  | Typical form of tender                                             | 63    |
| Fig. 4.3  | Example of an alternative tender                                   | 64    |
| Fig. 5.1  | Estimating methods in 1930s and today                              | 67    |
| Fig. 5.2  | Example of a development budget                                    | 69    |
| Fig. 5.3  | Degree of certainty for a typical construction project             | 70    |
| Fig. 5.4  | Elemental cost plan for portal-framed building                     | 72    |
| Fig. 5.5  | Elemental cost plan for building under construction                | 74    |
| Fig. 5.6  | Elemental cost plan for similar factory building                   | 75    |
| Fig. 5.7a | Example of ‘builder’s quantities’                                  | 76    |
| Fig. 5.7b | Example of preamble for ‘builder’s quantities’                     | 77    |
| Fig. 5.8  | Contractor’s bill of quantities priced analytically                | 79    |
| Fig. 5.9  | Contractor’s spreadsheet bill for weighbridge foundation           | 80    |
| Fig. 6.1  | Preliminary enquiry information form                               | 94    |
| Fig. 7.1  | A typical estimate timetable                                       | 101   |
| Fig. 7.2  | Typical pricing strategy for a college building                    | 102   |
| Fig. 7.3  | List of PC and Provisional Sums at project appreciation stage      | 104   |
| Fig. 7.4  | Trade abstract for sections to be priced by the contractor         | 105   |
| Fig. 7.5  | Coordination of the estimating team                                | 106   |

## List of figures

|           |                                                                                                                                                                 |         |
|-----------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|
| Fig. 8.1  | Abstract form for materials or sub-contract enquiries                                                                                                           | 110     |
| Fig. 8.2  | Typical enquiry for materials                                                                                                                                   | 112     |
| Fig. 8.3  | Sample enquiry letter to domestic sub-contractors                                                                                                               | 114     |
| Fig. 9.1  | Example of a tender method statement for submission to a client                                                                                                 | 120     |
| Fig. 9.2  | Example of a tender programme                                                                                                                                   | 122     |
| Fig. 9.3  | Example of a programme submitted with a tender                                                                                                                  | 123     |
| Fig. 10.1 | Calculation of all-in rates for labour using spreadsheet software                                                                                               | 127     |
| Fig. 10.2 | Calculation of all-in rates for site working 50 hours/week with an extended summer period of 35 weeks and supervision and insurance priced in project overheads | 129     |
| Fig. 11.1 | Estimator's build-up sheet for fixing ironmongery                                                                                                               | 133     |
| Fig. 11.2 | Model rate calculations                                                                                                                                         | 136     |
| Fig. 12.1 | Example of a sub-contract comparison sheet                                                                                                                      | 178     |
| Fig. 12.2 | Computer comparison system showing problem of average rate inserted automatically                                                                               | 179     |
| Fig. 12.3 | Sub-contract types and attendances                                                                                                                              | 181     |
| Fig. 12.4 | Typical summary form for domestic sub-contractors in a tender                                                                                                   | 182     |
| Fig. 13.1 | Calculation of fluctuations for a project starting in September                                                                                                 | 189     |
| Fig. 13.2 | Calculation of fluctuations for a project starting in March                                                                                                     | 190     |
| Fig. 14.1 | Estimator's spreadsheet for calculating the prime cost of building labour for daywork 2003/2004                                                                 | 195     |
| Fig. 14.2 | Items to be added to the 'all-in' rates for labour                                                                                                              | 197     |
| Fig. 15.1 | Preliminaries checklist                                                                                                                                         | 201     |
| Fig. 15.2 | Pricing preliminaries                                                                                                                                           | 202–211 |
| Fig. 15.3 | Project overheads                                                                                                                                               | 212–214 |
| Fig. 16.1 | Simple linear plot of cumulative value                                                                                                                          | 218     |
| Fig. 16.2 | Simple S-curve for cumulative value and costs, calculated at 'third' points                                                                                     | 220     |
| Fig. 16.3 | S-curve based on GC/Works/1 Edition 3 data                                                                                                                      | 221     |
| Fig. 16.4 | Client's cashflow forecast produced by the estimator                                                                                                            | 223     |
| Fig. 16.5 | Multiple sheets for cashflow analysis                                                                                                                           | 224     |
| Fig. 16.6 | Contractor's cashflow forecast using priced programme                                                                                                           | 226     |
| Fig. 17.1 | Summary of sub-contractors (reproduced from Chapter 12)                                                                                                         | 230     |
| Fig. 17.2 | Estimate Analysis form                                                                                                                                          | 231     |
| Fig. 17.3 | Tender Summary form                                                                                                                                             | 232     |
| Fig. 18.1 | Cumulative tender ratio                                                                                                                                         | 248     |
| Fig. 18.2 | Cumulative value of tenders and awards                                                                                                                          | 249     |
| Fig. 18.3 | Alternative methods for tender ratio analysis (relates to data given in Tender Summary form)                                                                    | 250     |

|           |                                                                            |     |
|-----------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| Fig. 18.4 | Tender results                                                             | 251 |
| Fig. 18.5 | Summary of tender results                                                  | 252 |
| Fig. 19.1 | Extract from contractor's bill of allowances                               | 257 |
| Fig. 19.2 | Comparison of sub-contracts placed with sub-contract allowances            | 258 |
| Fig. 20.1 | Features checklist for estimating packages                                 | 269 |
| Fig. 20.2 | Creating a bill of quantities using a Windows program                      | 270 |
| Fig. 20.3 | Example of a spreadsheet template for formwork                             | 274 |
| Fig. 20.4 | Example of a spreadsheet template for drainage excavation                  | 275 |
| Fig. 20.5 | Terms used in a simple database program                                    | 277 |
| Fig. 20.6 | Typical specification for a desktop computer                               | 282 |
| Fig. 20.7 | The roles of the estimator and estimating assistant using computer systems | 288 |

# *Abbreviations used in the text*

---

|        |                                                                   |
|--------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|
| BEC    | Building Employers Confederation                                  |
| BPF    | British Property Federation                                       |
| BS     | British Standard                                                  |
| BWIC   | Builders Work In Connection                                       |
| CAD    | Computer-Aided Design (or Draughting)                             |
| CAWS   | Common Arrangement of Work Sections                               |
| CD     | Compact Disc                                                      |
| CESMM3 | Civil Engineering Standard Method of Measurement<br>Third Edition |
| CIB    | Construction Industry Board                                       |
| CI/SfB | Construction Index – Samarbetskommitten for Byggnadsfragor        |
| CIOB   | Chartered Institute of Building                                   |
| COEP   | Code of Estimating Practice (published by the CIOB)               |
| Conc   | Concrete                                                          |
| CPSSST | Code of Procedure for Single Stage Selective Tendering            |
| CPI    | Coordinated Project Information                                   |
| DOS    | Disk Operating System                                             |
| DOT    | Department of Transport                                           |
| e-mail | Electronic mail                                                   |
| Exc    | Excavation                                                        |
| FCEC   | Federation of Civil Engineering Contractors                       |
| ICE    | Institution of Civil Engineers                                    |
| Inc    | Included                                                          |
| JCT    | Joint Contracts Tribunal                                          |
| LAN    | Local Area Network                                                |
| LCD    | Liquid-Crystal Display                                            |
| LOSC   | Labour Only Sub-contractor                                        |
| MB     | Megabyte                                                          |
| ne     | Not exceeding                                                     |
| NJCC   | National Joint Consultative Committee for Building                |
| PC     | Prime Cost                                                        |
| PC     | Personal Computer                                                 |
| PQS    | Private Quantity Surveyor                                         |
| Prov   | Provisional                                                       |

|       |                                                                           |
|-------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Quant | Quantity                                                                  |
| RAM   | Random-Access Memory                                                      |
| RIBA  | Royal Institute of British Architects                                     |
| RICS  | Royal Institution of Chartered Surveyors                                  |
| ROM   | Read-Only Memory                                                          |
| SMM   | Standard Method of Measurement                                            |
| SMM6  | Standard Method of Measurement of Building Works:<br>Sixth Edition 1978   |
| SMM7  | Standard Method of Measurement of Building Works:<br>Seventh Edition 1988 |
| WAN   | Wide Area Network                                                         |

*This page intentionally left blank*

# 1

## Organization of the estimating function

---



*'The corporate image consultant is in reception, Sir.'*

The role of the contractor's estimator is vital to the success of the organization. The estimator is responsible for predicting the most economic costs for construction in a way that is both clear and consistent. Although an estimator will have a feel for the prices in the marketplace, it is the responsibility of management to add an amount for general overheads, assess the risks and turn the estimate into a tender. The management structure for the estimating function tends to follow a common form with variations for the size of the company. In a small firm, the estimator might be expected to carry out some quantity surveying duties and will be involved in procuring materials and services. For large projects, the estimator may be part of a multi-disciplinary team led by a project manager. The estimating section in a medium-sized construction organization (Fig. 1.1) will often comprise a chief estimator, senior estimators and estimators at various stages of training.

Estimating and Tendering for Construction Work




Fig. 1.1 Estimating staff structure for a medium-sized organization

Larger estimating departments may have administrative and estimating assistants who can check calculations, photocopy extracts from the tender documents, prepare letters and enter data in a computer-assisted estimating system.


The estimating team for a proposed project has the estimator as its coordinator and is usually made up of a contracts manager, buyer, planning engineer and quantity surveyor. The involvement of other people will vary from company to company. A quantity surveyor is often consulted to examine amendments to conditions of contract, prepare a bill of quantities, assess commercial risks and identify possible difficulties which have been experienced on previous contracts. Clients sometimes like to negotiate agreements with quantity surveyors where a good working relationship has been established and follow-on work is to be based on pricing levels agreed for previous work. A planning engineer might be asked to prepare a preliminary programme so that the proposed contract duration can be checked for possible savings. He can also prepare method statements, temporary works designs, organizational charts and site layout drawings. Some or all of this material can be used to demonstrate to a client that satisfactory systems have been developed for the project. The buying office will provide valuable information leading to the most economic sources for the supply of materials and plant. In many organizations today, the buyer is responsible for getting quotations from suppliers and sub-contractors. At the very least, the buyer (sometimes called ‘procurement manager’ or ‘supply chain manager’) helps prepare lists of suitable suppliers, keeps a library of product literature and advises on likely price trends and changes. His knowledge of local suppliers and current discounts is essential at the final review meeting when decisions need to be taken about the availability and future costs of materials and services.

Site managers should report on the technical and financial progress of their projects so that the estimator can learn from the company's experience on site. On completion of contracts, site staff will usually contribute to larger and more complex schemes – particularly for civil engineering and large-scale building work – where alternative construction methods have a significant affect on tender price. The department dealing with business development and presentations can contribute in two ways: by maintaining close contacts with clients to ensure their needs are met, and by producing submission documents often using desktop publishing software.

The aim of the team is to gain an understanding of the technical, financial and contractual requirements of the scheme in order to produce a professional technical document with a realistic prediction of the cost of construction. The construction manager or director will then use the net cost estimate to produce the lowest commercial bid at which the company is prepared to tender. Figure 1.2 shows the various stages in preparing a tender and the action needed with successful tenders. The workflow in an estimating department is never constant; the ideal situation is to have people available who are multi-disciplinary and can deal with administrative tasks. A buyer can provide an invaluable service in sending out enquiries and chasing quotations.

The cost of tendering for work in the construction industry is high and is included in the general overhead which has been added to each successful tender. For one-off large projects, such as Private Finance Initiative (PFI) contracts, bidding costs can be several millions of pounds. These costs are recovered when schemes are successful but written off against annual profits when contractors fail to win. The chief estimator needs to be sure there is a reasonable chance of winning the contract if the organization is in competition with others. The decision to proceed with a tender is based on many factors including: the estimating resources available; extent of competition; tender period; quality of tender documents; type of work; location; current construction workload and conditions of contract. With all these points to consider, a chief estimator could be forgiven for declining a high number of invitations to tender to maintain a high success rate and avoid uncompetitive bids which can lead to exclusion from approved lists. On the other hand, he must recognize the goodwill which often flows from submitting competitive prices and the need to carry out work which might lead to suitable and profitable contracts.

There are several forms that can be used to plan, control and monitor estimating workload. The first is a chart to show the opportunities to tender when they have been confirmed. The information for this programme usually comes from the marketing personnel who are responsible for bringing in invitations to tender for projects that are in line with company strategy. The chief estimator will prepare a bar chart (Fig. 1.3) to show how the estimators will be assigned to present and future tenders, showing the expected dates for receipt of documents and submission of tenders. Copies are sent to heads of other departments so they can



**Fig. 1.2** *Estimating and tendering flowchart*

|                         |              |
|-------------------------|--------------|
| CB CONSTRUCTION LIMITED | Date: 3.6.04 |
| ESTIMATING PROGRAMME    |              |

| Estimator     | Project title    | value<br>£m | June  |       |       |       | July  |       |       |       | August |       |    |    |
|---------------|------------------|-------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------|-------|----|----|
|               |                  |             | 7     | 14    | 21    | 28    | 5     | 12    | 19    | 26    | 2      | 9     | 16 | 23 |
| JOHN EDWARDS  | Colliery Office  | 0.45        | xxxxx | xxFxT |       |       |       |       |       |       |        |       |    |    |
|               | Lifeboat Station | 1.50        |       | xxxxx | xxxxx | xxxxx | xxxxF | T     |       |       |        |       |    |    |
|               |                  |             |       |       |       |       |       | hhhhh | hhhhh |       |        |       |    |    |
|               |                  |             |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |        |       |    |    |
|               |                  |             |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |        |       |    |    |
| JEAN SMITH    | Access road      | 0.50        | xxFT  |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |        |       |    |    |
|               | Treatment works  | 0.75        | xx    | xxxxx | xxxxx | xxFxT |       |       |       |       |        |       |    |    |
|               | Superstore       | 2.25        |       |       |       |       | x     | xxxxx | xxxxx | xxxxx | xxxxx  | xxFxT |    |    |
|               |                  |             |       |       |       |       |       |       | hhhhh |       |        |       |    |    |
|               |                  |             |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |        |       |    |    |
| GRAHAM THOMAS | Fast Transport   | 0.70        | xxxxx | xxxxx | xxxxx | xxxxx | xxxxx | xxFxT |       |       |        |       |    |    |
|               |                  |             |       |       |       |       |       |       |       | hhhhh |        |       |    |    |
|               |                  |             |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |        |       |    |    |
|               |                  |             |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |        |       |    |    |
|               |                  |             |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |        |       |    |    |
| Total         |                  | 6.15        |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |        |       |    |    |

Key: F = Final review T = Tender date h = holiday

Fig. 1.3 Estimating programme

## Estimating and Tendering for Construction Work

plan their input; they may also wish to attend the final review meetings. A tender register is also needed (Fig. 1.4) to record the main details of each tender such as reference number, client, price, tender date and an analysis of performance in relation to the competition.

The success ratio for a construction firm is often quoted at about 1 in 6 although it can be as high as 1 in 10 and down to 1 in 3 where competition is limited. Since the directors of a company are more concerned with turnover and profit, then success is better measured in terms of value, and the estimating department may be given annual targets to meet. Clearly, negotiated work can save a great deal of abortive tendering.

Estimators are drawn from two sources: direct from school with some good grades in GCSE subjects which suggest a potential to study to a higher technician or professional level, or from experienced staff where management has identified an aptitude and willingness for the job. In both cases a reasonable time must be spent on site to gain experience in construction methods, materials identification, use and practice. The skills, which are needed, are the ability to read and interpret technical documentation, the ability to communicate with clients, specialists and other members of the team, and the faculty to make accurate calculations.

Technically an estimator must have a working knowledge of all the major trades, to identify packages of work to be carried out by sub-contractors, and the direct workforce, to foresee the time and resources that will be needed. It is also necessary to have the ability to take off quantities from drawings, where there are no bills of quantities. When bills of quantities are provided, the estimator will need to check the principal quantities to understand how corrections to the quantities during the contract will affect the profitability of the scheme.

An estimator needs to refer to many information sources either in book form or through more modern means such as microfiche, CD-ROM and on-line databases. The following list shows some of the basic material required:

- Code of Estimating Practice (COEP) ... *pro-formas for estimators.*
- Code of Procedure for the Selection of Main Contractors ... *tendering procedures.*
- Standard Method of Measurement (SMM) ... *explanation of item coverage.*
- Standard forms of contract ... *contractual obligations.*
- Standard specifications for highways and water industries ... *specifications for pricing.*
- National Working Rule Agreement ... *labour rates.*
- Definition of prime cost of daywork ... *pricing daywork percentages.*
- Daywork plant schedules ... *pricing daywork percentages.*
- Trade literature:
  - (a) standard price lists
  - (b) technical product information.

| CB CONSTRUCTION LIMITED |              |               |          |        | TENDER REGISTER       |                |       |                   | page |               |             |
|-------------------------|--------------|---------------|----------|--------|-----------------------|----------------|-------|-------------------|------|---------------|-------------|
| Tender no.              | Tender title | Date received | Location | Client | QS Architect Engineer | Tender details |       | Tender evaluation |      |               |             |
|                         |              |               |          |        |                       | Date           | Price | No. of tenderers  | Rank | % over lowest | % over mean |
| 001                     |              |               |          |        |                       |                |       |                   |      |               |             |
| 002                     |              |               |          |        |                       |                |       |                   |      |               |             |
| 003                     |              |               |          |        |                       |                |       |                   |      |               |             |
| 004                     |              |               |          |        |                       |                |       |                   |      |               |             |
| 005                     |              |               |          |        |                       |                |       |                   |      |               |             |
| 006                     |              |               |          |        |                       |                |       |                   |      |               |             |
| 007                     |              |               |          |        |                       |                |       |                   |      |               |             |
| 008                     |              |               |          |        |                       |                |       |                   |      |               |             |
| 009                     |              |               |          |        |                       |                |       |                   |      |               |             |
| 010                     |              |               |          |        |                       |                |       |                   |      |               |             |
| 011                     |              |               |          |        |                       |                |       |                   |      |               |             |
| 012                     |              |               |          |        |                       |                |       |                   |      |               |             |
| 013                     |              |               |          |        |                       |                |       |                   |      |               |             |
| 014                     |              |               |          |        |                       |                |       |                   |      |               |             |
| 015                     |              |               |          |        |                       |                |       |                   |      |               |             |
| 016                     |              |               |          |        |                       |                |       |                   |      |               |             |
| 017                     |              |               |          |        |                       |                |       |                   |      |               |             |
| 018                     |              |               |          |        |                       |                |       |                   |      |               |             |
| 019                     |              |               |          |        |                       |                |       |                   |      |               |             |
| 020                     |              |               |          |        |                       |                |       |                   |      |               |             |
| 021                     |              |               |          |        |                       |                |       |                   |      |               |             |

Fig. 1.4 Tender register

## *Estimating and Tendering for Construction Work*

- Trade directory of suppliers and sub-contractors ... *lists of suppliers to receive enquiries.*
- Reference data for weights of materials ... *unit rate pricing.*

The work of the estimating department is an important part of the company's quality management system. The decisions made at tender stage will often determine the way in which the project is carried out. It is therefore important when preparing a tender to ensure that the client's requirements are understood.

Many organizations have adopted a standard approach to the process of estimating and prepared documented procedures that detail the preparation, review and submission of a tender. This is particularly useful for newly appointed staff as it provides a standard framework for the preparation of an estimate and ensures consistent records and reports for others. The preparation of documented procedures has come with the introduction of a British Standard (BS) which provides a model for quality assurance. Now known as the BS EN ISO 9000 series, this standard was first introduced to the construction industry as BS 5750 in 1979.

The objective of a quality assurance system is to provide confidence that a product, in this instance the tender submission, is correct, is provided on time and produces the right price. This price might be defined as that which the client can afford and deems reasonable, and is sufficient for the contractor to meet his objectives. However, it is acknowledged that tenders are always submitted on time, but owing to time and information constraints, the price may not always be the 'right price'.

The benefits of implementing quality assurance in the estimating function are:

1. *Profitability* – an improvement to the profitability of the organization.
2. *Accuracy* – a reduction of errors.
3. *Competence* – better trained staff.
4. *Efficiency* – work properly planned and systematically carried out.
5. *Job satisfaction* – for the whole estimating team.
6. *Client satisfaction* – leading to likelihood of repeat business.

Safety is high on the agenda of construction organizations. Estimators must understand the implications of current legislation for the design and procurement stages and include sufficient costs to carry out the work safely.

A client's professional team contributes to the writing of a pre-tender health and safety file, by assessing hazards which might be inherent in the design. These hazards include possible dangers to construction operatives, staff and the public – during construction, for occupants and in carrying out repairs over the life of the building. The health and safety file tells the estimator about the project, setting out hazards associated with the design, and dangers known about the existing site.

The Construction (Design and Management) Regulations 1994 impose greater responsibilities on design and build contractors. Their tasks will often be extended by clients to include:

1. The role of planning supervisor.
2. Vetting of designers for competence in designing safely.
3. Producing the pre-tender stage health and safety file.

# 2

## *Procurement paths*

---

### **Introduction**

The Banwell Report, published in 1964, expressed the view that existing contractual and professional conventions do not allow the flexibility that is essential to an industry in the process of modernization. The report of the committee asked the industry to experiment to secure efficiency and economy in construction.

The traditional method of organizing construction work starts with appointing a consultant designer, usually an architect or engineer, or both. Other specialists may be needed, in particular a quantity surveyor is appointed to provide cost information, prepare bills of quantity, compare bids and maintain financial management during construction.

Since the early 1960s, the construction industry has experienced significant changes in the way in which contracts are managed. In some cases, contractors have been brought in at an early stage as full members of the design team, in others clients have appointed project managers to act on their behalf. During the 1980s clients became increasingly concerned about problems such as poor design, inadequate supervision, delays and increased costs. They were also critical of the separation of design from construction, particularly between the building professions.

In an attempt to overcome some of these long-standing criticisms, the British Property Federation (BPF) published its manual for building design and construction in 1983. It wanted to introduce a new system to change attitudes and alter the way in which the members of the construction team deal with one another. The BPF also tried to remove some of the overlap of effort between quantity surveyors and contractors without the need for the traditional bill of quantities. This system for building procurement was little used and to some extent was superseded by new forms of contract such as the Engineering and Construction contract. This had the support of Sir Michael Latham in his report, *Constructing the Team* (HMSO 1994), although its implementation has been slower than Sir Michael Latham had recommended.

The design and build method has gradually grown in popularity during the last three decades by offering single point responsibilities, certainty of price and short

overall durations. Management contracting was used in the 1970s and 1980s for large complex projects but construction management is now seen as a more attractive choice. An alternative, which is sometimes forgotten, is the client's own in-house design team, usually led by a project manager who supervises designers, cost specialists and contractors. This method accounts for a large part of construction work because it is the one commonly used in the public sector; but even this is being replaced with new systems, in particular the Private Finance Initiative (PFI) and Prime Contracting.

## **Clients' needs**

Client organizations are divided between those in private and public sectors although this distinction is becoming more difficult to define since the privatization of many national bodies. The private sector includes industrial, commercial, social, charitable and professional organizations, and individuals. The public sector is taken to mean government departments, nationalized industries, statutory authorities, local authorities and development agencies. The experience which a client has of building procurement ranges from extensive, in the case of a client with a project management team, to none, where a private individual may want a development only once in a lifetime.

Clients will usually identify their needs in terms of commercial or social pressure to change; by an examination of primary objectives such as:

1. Space requirements: the need to improve production levels, add to production capacity, accommodate new processes or provide domestic or social accommodation;
2. Investment: to exploit opportunities to invest in buildings;
3. Identity: to enhance the individual's or organization's standing in its market or society;
4. Location: could lead to a better use of resources, capture a new market or improve amenity;
5. Politics: mainly in the public sector.

The client's experience of building will influence his expectation of the industry. Property developers on the one hand can influence their professional advisers and the contractual arrangements, and select a contractor with the right commitment to meeting project targets. The main aim is to achieve a degree of certainty in the building process. On the other hand, individuals and inexperienced clients are guided by their advisers and contractors, and will be offered what the construction team think they need.

## *Estimating and Tendering for Construction Work*

In general a client aims to appoint a team which he can trust and rely on to reduce uncertainties during a building's design, construction and use. This is achieved by control of the following:

1. The design: by designing to a budget, taking advantage of the contractor's experience, avoiding excessive use of new systems, designing for buildability, safety, security, producing a good life expectancy and low maintenance, allowing flexibility for future change and employing environmental and energy efficient designs;
2. The time: by contractors accepting more responsibility for meeting completion dates, and designers being more aware of the importance of complete information well in advance of work on site;
3. The cost: by achieving realistic cost estimates and tenders which reflect the final cost, reducing risk of contractual claims stemming from poor documentation and late receipt of information, and avoiding delays which can cause loss of revenue and costly funding arrangements.

Many clients are prepared to pay for a good service and see these objectives being met through alternative methods of contracting.

The client has traditionally occupied a passive role in the construction process. Standard forms of contract require the employer to pay for work properly executed, give possession to the site on the agreed date and appoint his professional team to design, supervise and inspect the work and account the finances. A more realistic view is that the client is the most important member of the team because, as patron for the scheme, he identifies the need for the building and he must pay everyone who is directly or indirectly involved in the construction process. This is why we now see clients taking a more active part in the control of construction work and in part explains the emergence of construction management in the UK.

## **Contractor involvement**

During the late 1980s, clients were looking for procurement methods which could quickly produce (or refurbish) large buildings with complex designs. Clearly the contractor needed to contribute to the design phase and continue to advise on the design during construction. At the same time, where projects were less complex, design and build systems were being adopted for both building and civil engineering projects.

In order to respond to these different needs, contractors have developed a wider range of construction services, sometimes setting up separate divisions within a company. The danger is that a contractor more used to working in a traditional market may fail to achieve the objectives expected by the clients. This can occur where there

is a lack of trained staff and there is an over-reliance on specialists, for design and construction, who are sometimes engaged on onerous conditions of sub-contract.

For construction management, as seen in the USA, to flourish, contractors must accept the responsibility for producing detailed drawings and cost-effective production techniques. Whichever method is used, there will usually be a number of tendering stages that encourage the parties to harmonize their aims and develop cooperation and trust which did not always happen in the past. If this is the way ahead, then architects and quantity surveyors will concentrate on creating an outline of the client's requirements, providing financial advice and setting up independent monitoring systems on site. Partnerships between clients and contractors provide the benefit of more open relationships based on trust and cooperation. By relaxing many of the traditional contract conditions and formalities the parties can achieve their goals of repeat business and a less adversarial approach.

In civil engineering, there are generally fewer professional interests, and an engineer whether working for a client or contractor works in a similar way. Civil engineers understand standard documentation which is used for most engineering schemes. Contractors can, however, influence the design for civil engineering work significantly, and often submit tenders with alternative bids, which can offer substantial savings to a client. Again partnership arrangements have developed in contracting, principally in process engineering, water industries and where modularization and standardization have been used.

## Partnering

During the mid-1990s, partnering emerged in a number of forms, partly to reverse the suicidal fall into institutionalized conflict with appalling relationships between contracting parties in the construction industry, and more recently as a means of securing more work by creating a competitive advantage.

Attempts to foster cooperation between contractors and clients first appeared in standard contracts with the publication of the Joint Contracts Tribunal (JCT) Management Contract in 1987. The New Engineering Contract (NEC) introduced the principles of trust and cooperation to general contracting in the early 1990s and Sir Michael Latham's 1994 report *Constructing the Team* asked for core clauses to be added to the NEC contract to establish that the employer and contractor would undertake the project 'in a spirit of mutual trust and cooperation, and to trade fairly with each other and with their subcontractors and suppliers'. Sir Michael Latham also recommended a key objective must be 'that "win-win" solutions to problems should be devised in a spirit of partnership'.

These developments are clear attempts to get the parties to construction contracts to work together with less adversarial methods of procurement. But is a positive working spirit the same as closing the gap between design and construction?

## *Estimating and Tendering for Construction Work*

In many ways consultants and contractors still assume their specialist roles and prejudices without having precisely the same aims. It is difficult to imagine the prescriptive method of partnering, through carefully worded contracts, being successful. It is not appropriate to draw up a contract to say you will agree with each other each time an unexpected problem arises.

Perhaps this is the reason for the growth of contractor-led partnerships. It has often been observed that contractors have developed partnering schemes in order to add a powerful ingredient to what may be a highly competitive bid. For many years alternative tenders have been submitted by civil engineering contractors based on changes to the design or specification. More recently, partnering has been used as the basis for alternative bids to combine technical innovation with an offer to look for additional savings such as sharing site staff and testing equipment; continuous improvement; ensuring quality and eliminating claims.

So which approach is better for clients? A prescriptive arrangement embodied in a modified standard form of contract or the acceptance of a contractor's proposal with an ad-hoc verbal or brief partnering agreement? In order to answer this question, and explore the expertise of tenderers, many public and influential clients are asking for elaborate pre-selection submission documents (and interviews) whereby contractors must demonstrate a proven track record in partnering with other clients.

There is some evidence that clients are satisfied with partnering arrangements particularly when an element of competition has been provided at an early stage of the scheme. It is clearly encouraging to have a team working to a set of mutual objectives which can achieve a project within the budget, no claims and completion on time. Contractors have also benefited when work has been scarce by first securing the work, then receiving a reasonable gross margin and finally by sharing cost savings as the project develops.

Partnering is not about the allocation of risk. Risk will depend on the contract option: design and build, lump sum or prime cost, and the nature of the works. Unforeseen ground conditions, for example, can be a risk which can be minimized by open and frank problem solving, but are by definition unpredictable. Partnering should ensure that the team (consultants, contractor, sub-contractors and suppliers) work together with what Sir Michael Latham calls a 'shared financial motivation'.

It is worth noting that clients have been prepared to pay a fair price for a good job for hundreds of years. It is for the construction industry to prove that it can deliver the service that clients deserve.

## **Apportionment of risk**

The procurement system, and associated contractual arrangement, will dictate the financial and other risks borne by the parties to the contract. Risk cannot be

eliminated by choosing a particular form of contract, but will be shifted towards one party or the other. A guide to how the risks are divided for each contractual arrangement is given in Fig. 2.1.

Lump-sum contracts based on complete pre-tender design and full documentation spread a smaller risk of cost overrun evenly between the parties. Results may be further improved by using a selective list of tenderers, avoiding nominations, checking ground conditions, and reducing the guesswork needed by contractors at tender stage.

A contract where the price is calculated from a schedule of rates has two major problems:

- 1. The contractor is unable to identify the full extent of the work at tender stage, he is thus unable to plan and accurately assess his overheads; and
- 2. The client will not know the full price of the work until the contract is complete.

A cost-reimbursement contract allows the contractor to claim all the prime cost of carrying out the work on an 'open book' basis and amounts are paid for site overheads and the management fee. Although this arrangement has the benefit of a quick start, there is little incentive to save time or costs. It would be unfair to say, however, that management contracting or construction management is more expensive than an alternative approach. All the package contracts are let competitively and the management fees are surprisingly low. It has been suggested that in the case of management contracting the management contractor makes more money by looking after the payments to package contractors. This point is often




Fig. 2.1 A guide to the apportionment of financial risk

*Estimating and Tendering for Construction Work*


made in acclaiming the benefits of construction management where the client deals directly with the specialist contractors.

**Traditional method**

The traditional structure for project procurement shown in Fig. 2.2 is a sequential method because the employer takes his scheme to an advanced stage with his professional team before appointing a contractor. The consultant's role is seen as an independent one. The designer is employed to advise the client, design, ensure the work is kept within the cost limit and complies with the standards required. A quantity surveyor can be engaged to give guidance on design costs and budgets, prepare bills of quantities, check tenders, prepare interim valuations and advise on the value of variations. Consultant structural and services engineers may be employed either by the client, or his advisers, to design the specialist parts of the project.

Separating responsibilities for design and construction is seen as the main reason for the move away from traditional contractual arrangements. The building industry suffers from the old distinctions between the professional interests and suspicion brought about by ignorance of each other's work. In civil engineering there is more freedom for individuals to move to and from consultants and contractors' organizations – there is an understanding of each other's point of view.

Instead of the direct appointment of consultants, many major building owners and developers make use of in-house project managers either to control independent consultants or to carry out all the design and financial control of the project. Project management is therefore seen as a management tool and not a




**Fig. 2.2** *The traditional procurement method*

procurement system. The JCT Standard Form of Building Contract 1998 and JCT Intermediate Form of Contract 1998 with the Agreement for Minor works are the most popular forms for building work. The Institution of Civil Engineers (ICE) Form of Contract is used for most civil engineering work in both the public and private sectors, and GC/Works/1 is used for traditional civil engineering and building contracts let by central government departments. The continuing high sales of these contracts point to the commanding position of traditional methods.

## Design and build

The design and build arrangement is an attractive option for clients. It simplifies the contractual links between the parties to the main contract (see Fig. 2.3) because the contractor accepts the responsibility for designing and constructing.

The benefits include: single-point responsibility, prices which reflect more closely the final cost to the client, inherently more buildable designs and an overlap of design and construction phases leading to early completion. A distinction is sometimes drawn between design and build and package deal, the latter being an agreement for the contractor to provide a semi-standardized or off-the-peg building which can be adapted to meet the client's needs. The contractual arrangement known as 'Turnkey' allows a client to procure from a single contractor all the requirements of a scheme in the shortest possible time. Apart from the usual design and construction responsibilities the agreement will often include land acquisition, short- and long-term finance, commissioning, fitting out and recruitment and training of personnel.



**Fig. 2.3** *The design and build system*

## *Estimating and Tendering for Construction Work*

A design and build contractor may commission design and cost services from outside consultants or can employ a design team from within his own organization. Occasionally the client will ask the contractor to adopt a design started by his preferred consultant. Assigning (or 'novating') a design team in this way can arise when a client decides to switch to design and build from a traditional method, or more commonly, clients need to use a design team in order to carry out feasibility studies and gain planning consents prior to the appointment of a contractor. A designer needs a flexible outlook when he is thrust from the freedom of private practice, talking to a valued client, to being responsible mainly to the contractor, working to tight financial and time constraints, seeking solutions which satisfy the client's brief and enlarge the contractor's profits. It is generally believed that novation of consultants in design and build contracts is a recipe for friction between the parties and the contractor seldom maintains full control over his consultant team. The main drawback of novation of designers is that once the designers are fully acting in the employment of the contractor, the client finds himself without his team of advisers needed to check the tender and monitor post-tender changes and quality of work.

At tender stage the employer will introduce some competition, either open or selective tendering, which is followed by clarification of the agreement and negotiation. The National Joint Consultative Committee for Building (NJCC) published an advisory booklet in 1985 for private clients and public authorities planning to engage a contractor who would be responsible for the design and construction of a building project. The Code of Procedure for Selective Tendering for Design and Build stresses the importance of full and clear documents setting out the Employer's Requirements. The number of contractors invited to submit tenders in the form of Contractor's Proposals should be limited to three or four firms to reduce the high tendering costs. Each firm invited to tender for design and build work is carefully selected not only for its financial standing and construction record but its design capability and management structure for the work.

The Code of Procedure recognizes the need for longer tendering periods (often three to four months and longer on more complex schemes) and where extensive specialist work or negotiations with statutory bodies is required even more time may be needed. The employer must clearly state the form and content of the contractor's proposals and say whether the price alone will determine the offer accepted. The Code suggests that the design proposals and contract sum analysis are supporting documents which could be submitted separately. The contractor's proposals must be checked with great care because if there is a discrepancy in the employer's requirements the contractor's proposals will prevail, without any adjustment to the contract sum. The Code was replaced in 1997 by the Code of Practice for the Selection of Main Contractors, published by the Construction Industry Board.

Before entering into a design and build arrangement a client should consider the drawbacks. A contractor may offer a functional design which is not aesthetically

appealing; he is inclined to develop a low-cost design with opportunities to increase his margins. A contractor might make a client's brief fit his own preferred solution; the long-term life of a building might be overlooked and if the brief is vague, the client could pay an inflated price or take possession of an inferior building. A client may not realize the importance of independent professional advice. The cost of abortive designs and tendering is a heavy burden on contractors' overheads and eventually the costs will be passed on to clients.

It would be difficult to support these criticisms now that design and build is so well established. Professional contractors have taken a pride in their approach to this system, which reduces conflict between the parties and gives the client single-point responsibility for design, time and cost.


In 1981 the JCT published a new form of building contract with contractor's design (now WCD98), and an addendum for changing existing standard forms where the contractor must prepare the design for only part of the works. The new form was based on the 1980 standard form of building contract, with quantities. The contract is for a lump sum price payable in stages or monthly. In place of a bill of quantities the form provides for a contract sum analysis to assist those preparing interim valuations and valuing variations. It must be said, however, the contract sum analysis only helps with significant variations and is of no use with day-to-day changes. The JCT published Practice Note CD/1B in 1984 which includes a useful explanation about the purpose and recommended structure for the contract sum analysis.

With a greater number of public contracts let under the PFI, contractors have formed consortia to provide services which include the whole design and construction process together with responsibility for financing costs, fitting out, staffing, revenue collection, operation costs, maintenance and replacement.

## **Management contracting**

During the 1980s, clients were attracted to management contracting because it offered early starts to large-scale and often complex construction projects. The management contractor is appointed to work with the professional team, to contribute his construction expertise to the design and later to manage the specialist 'package' or 'works' contractors. He is responsible for the smooth running of the work on site so that the contract can be finished within time and cost. Although most major contractors have undertaken work using management contracts, there has been a feeling that it is not a final solution and a better method will evolve in the future. One development has been a combination of design and build and management contracting whereby the contractor produces a design and guaranteed maximum price, and the work is later assigned to a number of major package contractors.

## Estimating and Tendering for Construction Work



**Fig. 2.4** *Management contracting*

Figure 2.4 shows the system adopted by the JCT in 1987 for its Standard Form of Management Contract (now MC98). The contract was needed to meet the growth in this procurement method and the need for standard documentation to replace the many improvised forms which had been used.

A management contractor is selected using the following criteria:

1. Experience of management contracting.
2. Quality and experience of project staff.
3. Fee.
4. Programme and method statement.

The consultants, grouped under the title of ‘the professional team’, prepare the drawings, specifications and bills of quantities for the various works contracts. The Architect (or Contract Administrator) leads the Professional Team and issues instructions to the management contractor on behalf of the employer. The management contractor’s role is in coordinating the design and preparing cost studies at the pre-construction stage. During construction his duties include placing and letting contracts with specialists, cost studies, setting out, provision of shared facilities, plant, and scaffolding, planning and monitoring the work, and coordinating all the activities on site, but not carrying out the permanent works. The management contractor’s main duty is to cooperate with the professional team in the above functions.

The JCT Management Contract is not a lump-sum contract. The Employer pays the prime cost of carrying out the work and the fees for providing the management services. These fees will be either a lump sum or calculated as a percentage of the contract value. The recommended retention is 3% applied to both the management and works contracts, but not the fee because fees are calculated after

retention is deducted. Trade discounts including the 2.5% contractor's discount are deducted from the management contractor to the benefit of the employer.

Clients are attracted to management contracting for the following reasons:

1. Construction can start before design is complete, and design can be changed during the construction phase;
2. Construction expertise is available to improve on the design;
3. Better coordination of specialist contractors through detailed planning of work packages and common facilities;
4. A contractor's knowledge of construction costs is used to maintain tight budgetary control.

Some problems have emerged, and management contracting has declined, except for a few very large projects. Contractors are less enthusiastic now that margins have fallen and sub-contractors have demanded prompt payments. For works contractors the conditions of contract are becoming more demanding with regard to the management contractor's right of set-off, liquidated damages, performance bonds and guarantees. The specialists often carry the burden of late changes to drawings and specifications which are more common when design development takes place during construction. The client cannot be sure of the final cost and will carry more risk. This is because the management contractor can pass on all the costs incurred for each trade, site staff and site facilities.

## **Construction management**


In the USA, where the roles of the professionals are different, the client or his project manager will take a more active part in the construction phase. A construction manager is appointed as a professional consultant with powers to inspect work on site and issue instructions (see Fig. 2.5).

The client has a greater control over funds during construction because he has a contract with all the trade and specialist contractors. These contractors welcome the direct links with the client partly for the higher status this brings but more importantly because the lines of communications are clearer and payments are made sooner.

There have been some spectacular building failures in the USA; a congressional inquiry in 1984 found that design quality can be impaired by excessive speed and cost cutting exercises. Problems have been found when designers, who are often selected on a least fee basis, pass preliminary designs to works contractors who produce the detailed drawings. This is a division of responsibilities which can lead to errors and legal action.

In the UK, some clients would not want to deal directly with sub-contractors or be involved in every problem of time and cost that could arise. Construction

## Estimating and Tendering for Construction Work



**Fig. 2.5** *Construction management*

management has, however, grown steadily in the 1990s and there is ample evidence of experimentation by large property developers who want firm control of and involvement at all stages in building projects. Construction management also allows for change, and the delay of decisions until the latest possible time. In large businesses which rely on new technologies this can be important. Standard documentation has been prepared by the JCT and published in January 2002. Previously variants of main forms of contract have been drafted by large client bodies, and it is likely that these will continue to be used.

At tender stage, each specialist contractor receives specifications, drawings, method statements, and details of the scope of works from which each estimator can prepare his own bills of quantity. A number of onerous responsibilities are placed with package contractors, such as:

1. All risks associated with the preparation of bills of quantity which must include all work needed to complete the package whether shown clearly on the drawing or not. In some cases contractors must assess reinforcement quantities, for example, before the reinforcement is designed;
2. The need to complete elements of the design to the satisfaction of the architect;
3. Payment retentions may be kept for up to 12 months after the completion of the whole project;
4. Complex warranties for all contractors with design responsibilities.

## Private finance initiative

The UK government is committed to PFI for major projects. This procurement option has been successful in delivering high quality facilities for public services

since the early 1990s. By June 2003, over 280 projects had been signed with a total value exceeding £35 billion.

In July 2003, the Treasury report '*PFI: Meeting the Investment Challenge*' highlighted some key issues underpinning the PFI approach. The main points were:

- PFI investment in public services represents about 13.5% of total investment.
- Of 61 operational projects, 89% were delivered on time or early and all within public sector budgets.
- Benefits are achieved in new build large capital projects (small projects and schemes which are subject to rapid technological changes are less effective, and will be discouraged).
- PFI should only be used where it can be proved to be value for money.
- There is a need for the Government to ensure that value for money is not obtained at the expense of employees' terms and conditions.

There are concerns in certain sectors that there is a need to evaluate competitive interest and market capacity. An amount of money will be set aside by the public sector to ensure that, if this is not the case, there will be sufficient funds to proceed using a traditional procurement route.

## *Terminology*

### *Awarding authority*

The public sector body (department agency, NHS trust, local authority etc.) which is procuring a service through PFI.

### *BAFO*

Best and final offer. Final priced bid submitted by tenderers following the evaluation of initial bids.


### *Benchmarking*

A procedure for testing whether the standard and price of services is consistent with the market standard, without any formal competitive tendering. This is usually adopted during the project concession period to ensure FM services continue to represent value for money.

### *Consortium*

The group of private sector participants who have come together for the purpose of tendering for a PFI contract. Also becomes a Special Purpose Company (SPC) or Special Purpose Vehicle (SPV). The generic term is the Project Company, which is established by the preferred tenderer and is the contracting party for a project (see Fig. 2.6).

Estimating and Tendering for Construction Work



**Fig. 2.6** *Private finance initiative*

*ITN*

Invitation to negotiate. The first stage at which a design and competitive bid is submitted.

*Market testing*

A procedure for repricing the provision of services on a periodic basis by means of competitive tender.

*Output specification*

The specification which sets out the requirements in non-prescriptive terms, so that the tenderers can determine how to provide the services.

*Public sector comparator (PSC)*

The PSC is an assessment of the scheme which includes capital costs, operating costs and third party revenues. The PSC is a benchmark against which value for money can be gauged. Clients use technical advisers to produce a reference project – sometimes called the Public Sector Scheme (PSS).

*Service level specification*

The specification given in the agreed project agreement setting out the standard to which the service must be provided. This is accompanied by an agreed performance monitoring regime.

*TUPE*

The Transfer of Undertakings (Protection of Employment) Regulations 1981.

### *Unavailability*

The test for determining deductions from unitary payment by reference to standards for the provision of the facility.

### *Unitary payment*

The payment by the awarding authority to the project company for the provision of the facility.

### *Variant bid*

A bid which does not comply with the prescribed requirements of the awarding authority for a reference bid but which a tenderer is proposing as offering better value for money.

## *The PFI process*

- Step 1** The Client identifies the need for a new building in the area. This is done on the basis of its own priorities. There is no private sector involvement in the choice.
- Step 2** The Client identifies the operational requirements that it is seeking to provide in the area concerned: for schools, the number of places required, the age group to be served, the curriculum to be taught, the methods of teaching. For a hospital the requirements might be the number of scanners, operating theatres and number of beds.
- Step 3** Sites are identified for the proposed new buildings.
- Step 4** Private sector firms are invited to express an interest in providing the facilities on a Public Private Partnership (PPP) basis.
- Step 5** A short list is drawn up and an invitation to tender is issued.
- Step 6** The tenderers are asked to provide a site plan and building design, and to indicate the annual charge for building, equipping, maintaining and operating the new premises (including the grounds). At least 20% of the annual charge must be on a performance-related basis. Potential income from dual use of premises will need to be taken into account.
- Step 7** The tender responses are evaluated on the basis of best value for money.
- Step 8** The Client names a preferred bidder and negotiates a contract on a PPP basis.
- Step 9** The site is leased to the private contractor for 30 years. The premises are built, equipped and made available to the Client from the agreed dates.
- Step 10** All operational matters remain the sole responsibility of the Client (governors and the Council in case of a school and an NHS Trust in the case of a hospital).

## *Estimating and Tendering for Construction Work*

- Step 11** The buildings and grounds are maintained and operated to agreed standards over a 30-year life span at the expense of the private sector. The Client pays an annual fee (unitary payment) or in some cases where a toll can be imposed on users the project can be financially free-standing.
- Step 12** At the end of the 30-year period the building and grounds will usually revert to the Client.

## *Frequently asked questions*

### **What is PFI?**

‘The involvement of private sector skills which offer the prospect of better value for money’ – Gordon Brown, Chancellor of the Exchequer.

### **Have there been any real benefits?**

In February 2003 the National Audit Office published its report on building projects carried out under the private finance initiative. It found that of the 37 PFI construction projects looked at, less than a quarter came in over the original contract price. Previous experience of similar schemes indicated three-quarters of public sector schemes exceeded the price agreed at contract.

There were similar improvements for timely delivery with only a quarter of PFI projects delivered late which compares with three-quarters of similar projects which ran over schedule previously.

### **What are the commercial foundations of PFI transactions?**

In many cases it is a service which is being sold to the public sector over a defined period, for example the provision of computers to a government department. As an alternative, the project can be financially free-standing where the costs are recovered from private users. Examples are the Second Severn Crossing and A69 through road tolling. There are many projects where the costs are met from public funds and partly from asset development, such as the shared use of the facility or development of other parts of the site.

### **People talk about better value for money. How does this happen for PFI projects?**

1. Innovative and economical design calculated on whole life basis. Since the project company is responsible for maintaining the asset it is more likely to take care to secure quality of construction work.

2. Allocation of risks to the parties able to manage them at least cost. The National Audit Office Report published in February 2003 noted that some PFI contractors have actually lost money during the construction phase. This indicated that the private companies were absorbing risk that would previously have been borne by the taxpayer.
3. Greater exploitation of assets – additional income from shared use of facilities or the sale of redundant assets.
4. Integration of design, build and service operation.

### **What are the real costs of all the elements of a PFI contract?**

The costs are typically divided between:

- Initial construction costs (30%);
- Maintenance costs (10%);
- Services (50%);
- Financing charges and project management costs (10%).

### **Are PFI bids tendered on a competitive basis?**

Yes. The bid takes place in three stages:

1. Tenders respond to an advertisement by completing a Pre-qualification Questionnaire (PQQ stage).
2. Invitation to negotiate stage leads to firm bids from a short list of tenderers. A preferred bidder can then be selected.
3. Negotiation to complete the detailed contract terms with a preferred bidder.

There is also a cost check against a public sector comparator. This is a calculation showing what it would cost to provide the outputs from the private sector by a non-PFI route.

### **Are all risks transferred to the private sector?**

Not necessarily. Sponsors need to understand which party is best placed to take responsibility for managing risks with costs being kept to a minimum, such as:

- Design and construction;
- Planning;
- Routine repairs and maintenance;
- Demand for the facility, e.g. number of people crossing a bridge;
- Residual value;
- Technology and obsolescence;

## *Estimating and Tendering for Construction Work*

- Legal requirements and regulation;
- Taxation;
- Project financing.

Risks will be different for different projects. For older buildings, for example, there might be a greater emphasis on maintenance. Furthermore, there could be defects which have been ignored for a number of years – backlog maintenance.

### **Who can provide the service to the public sector?**

In the past, a SPC has been set up to engage in the PFI contract. This is formed by a contractor joining forces with an FM service provider and various developers and financiers.

### **How does a public body test the willingness of the private sector to engage in the tender process?**

The simple answer is by discussing the scheme with potential operators before sending out the invitation to negotiate. For defence contracts this is achieved by holding an ‘industry briefing’ day. The key issues, which need to be discussed, are:

- Size and length of the PFI contract;
- The amount of asset provision and service delivery;
- Structure of the contract;
- Scope for transfer of risks;
- Management of people;
- Scope for shared use and alternative uses of the asset;
- Ownership of the asset at the end of the contract.

## *The estimating process for a PFI project*

### **Enquiry documentation**

The awarding authority will issue ‘Invitation to Negotiate’ (ITN) documents to the bidders. The content will vary for different public sector schemes, but usually includes the following:

- A business case for the development.
- A fully documented public sector scheme (usually comprising drawings, cost plans, area schedules and financial projections).
- Output specifications.

- Lists of questions (answers to the questions become the framework for the submission documentation).
- Operational policies.

### Estimating methods for PFI construction

It can be seen in Chapter 5 that the estimating method chosen will depend on the amount of information available and the design stage reached. For hospitals, there are four stages:

|      | Stage                            | Typical number of bidders | Duration | Estimating method                       |
|------|----------------------------------|---------------------------|----------|-----------------------------------------|
| PQ   | Pre-qualification                | Over 5                    | 1 month  | Single rate approx. estimating          |
| PITN | Preliminary invitation to tender | 3–5                       | 4 months | Short elemental cost plan               |
| FITN | Final invitation to tender       | 2–3                       | 6 months | Detailed elemental cost plan            |
| PB   | Preferred bidder                 | 1                         | 8 months | Elemental cost plan with market testing |

At FITN and PB stages there will be some input from supply chain, in the form of first-stage procurement.

The project team must read the extensive enquiry documents carefully to understand the requirements for the formal submission. In particular the financial aspects must comply with the forms to be submitted. It is sometimes difficult to assess how much the design should be developed, particularly when bidding costs must be kept under control. At an early client meeting it would be wise to ask for a copy of the selection criteria.

*Start the submission document from day one!*

The preparation of the submission document can be a mammoth task. A senior member of staff should be nominated as presentation manager.

Agree with members of the team what they are going to provide. Consider the following: site masterplan, design concepts, specification, floor plans, elevations, artist impressions and electronic ‘fly-through’ or ‘fly-past’ presentations.

Although clients ask for documents to be exchanged electronically, they often demand paper copies, sometimes running to 30 or 40 copies. It is prudent to ensure that the lorry delivering the tender can reliably transport over a tonne of cargo!

## Estimating and Tendering for Construction Work

### CB CONSTRUCTION LIMITED

#### Pricing Strategy for Stansford NHS Trust PFI Hospital

This is a combined PITN/FITN scheme leading to selection of preferred bidder. At the start of the 26 weeks tender period no design has been produced and the Trust's Public Sector Scheme will be issued shortly.

There are three stages as follows:

1. Target setting;
2. Cost control and net construction cost;
3. Submission documents.

#### 1. TARGET SETTING

##### Affordability

Assessment by Consortium following discussions with Trust finance director.

Eg: £180 000 000

##### Gross internal floor area

Set area target.

Eg: £180 000 000 divide by £2 750 /m<sup>2</sup> = 65 500 m<sup>2</sup>

##### Area breakdown

Break down area into departmental gross, communications and plant.

Eg: 14% on departmental gross for communications; 11% for plant

So Departmental gross = 52 400 m<sup>2</sup>

Communications = 7 340 m<sup>2</sup>

Plant = 5 760 m<sup>2</sup>

##### Schedule of accommodation

- Health planner produce departmental schedule of accommodation Eg: 52 400 m<sup>2</sup>.
- List departments in same order as Trust.
- Estimator check maths in schedule of accommodation.
- Produce strategy for closing gap between affordability and drawn area.

##### New build and reconfigure

Assess where accommodation can be provided in retained estate. Potentially convert Pathology into University labs and Fred Jones ward into outpatients.

Produce categories of reconfiguration: Heavy/Medium/Light or more refined.

Tactics might be:

- 90% of cost for heavy Refurb/reconfiguration.
- 55% of cost for medium Refurb/reconfiguration.
- 20% of net cost for light Refurb.

##### First pass cost plan for run of financial model

Produce a single sheet cost plan:

- Buildings priced on £/m<sup>2</sup> costs.
- Add abnormals.
- Add equipment.
- Add infrastructure charges.
- Add typical mark-ups for risk, fees, inflation and margin.

Fig. 2.7 Contd

**Target cost plan for cost control**

- Elemental breakdowns for target affordability.
- Research elemental cost plans for typical hospital buildings.
- Check elemental costs against similar projects.

**2. COST CONTROL AND NET CONSTRUCTION COST****Cost control**

- Design to cost document – issue target cost plan and elemental costs to design management.
- Attend design meeting and advise on compliance with target costs.
- Do sufficient taking off to check elemental costs.

**Procurement**

- Market testing to extent possible within design programme constraints.
- Consider: site works and frame; fitting and furniture; equipment.
- Advise cost team on current budget costs.

**Programme for capital building price**

| Activity                                               | From week | To week |
|--------------------------------------------------------|-----------|---------|
| 1 Issue of ITN documents                               | 1         | 1       |
| 2 Project appreciation                                 | 1         | 2       |
| 3 Affordability target                                 | 1         | 3       |
| 4 Gross internal floor area (GIFA)                     | 3         | 3       |
| 5 Area targets for communications and plant            | 3         | 3       |
| 6 New build/reconfigure splits                         | 3         | 5       |
| 7 First pass cost plan for Financial Model             | 5         | 5       |
| 8 Target cost plan                                     | 2         | 6       |
| 9 Attend design meetings and monitor costs             | 4         | 24      |
| 10 Report on cost plan at regular intervals            | 4         | 24      |
| 11 Draft cashflows for interim runs of financial model | 4         | 24      |
| 12 Take off and price external works and shell         | 16        | 20      |
| 13 Advice from trade for major packages                | 16        | 22      |
| 14 Measure final GIFA on submission drawings           | 22        | 24      |
| 15 Complete estimate cost plan                         | 20        | 24      |
| 16 Complete site overheads book (project overheads)    | 23        | 24      |
| 17 Final review meeting                                | 24        | 24      |
| 18 Final cashflow to include in financial model        | 25        | 25      |
| 19 Insert costs in submission documents                | 25        | 26      |
| 20 Submit bid                                          | 26        | 26      |

**Net construction cost**

- Produce data sheets from previous hospital tenders/contracts.
- Compare Trust brief with developing scope to identify over-provision.
- Identify scope items that exceed the benchmark costs.
- Modify elemental costs where necessary.
- Use costs current at time of tender. Inflation can be dealt with separately.
- Produce project-specific project overheads.
- Produce project-specific equipment schedule from room data sheets.
- Use spreadsheet format that accords with submission requirements.

**Fig. 2.7** *Contd*

## Estimating and Tendering for Construction Work

### 3. SUBMISSION DOCUMENTS

#### Drawings and specification

- Check submission drawings meet requirements of cost plan.
- Check submission specification meets requirements of cost plan.

#### Costs workbook

1. Single spreadsheet workbook with elemental cost plans, and summaries of risk, fees, pre-lims, cashflow and inflation.
2. Schedule of equipment costs.

#### Produce early cost plan, by week three if possible.

Your financial adviser will need some costs in order to set up a spreadsheet model.

#### Pick an architect who fully understands the market sector.

For example, up-to-date experience in education is vital for a school project. There is a temptation to choose an architect or engineer because he is well known by the client or is willing to work at risk in the early stages.

#### Decide who is responsible for each aspect of cost.

Show on a chart who is pricing: capital maintenance, routine maintenance, decanting, life cycle fund, new furniture, up-grading existing building stock etc.

#### Do people understand their roles?

#### Completing the price

Design fees  
Infrastructure charges  
Risk  
Inflation  
Margin

#### Cashflow forecast

Input to financial model, may also be required for submission.

#### Life cycle costs analysis (capital replacement costs)

What information is needed for the life cycle cost model?

#### Tender submission

Including FM, site developments, variant bids and finance.

**Fig. 2.7** Example of a pricing strategy for a PFI hospital scheme

Consider getting specialist help to produce copies of the submission documents. The tender team will not have sufficient time for collating and binding submission documents.

### *Decide on estimating method to adopt*

It is important to know the construction cost from an early stage. An approximate estimate method should be used at the start, such as superficial cost plan or unit of accommodation. Then costs can be refined when design information is available from the designers.

Since PFI projects are very large, and the design developing during the whole tender period, it is unlikely that contractor's bills of quantities can be produced in the tender period. There is a reliance on cost planning and approximate estimating techniques.

Figure 2.7 is the pricing strategy for a hospital scheme at the Invitation to Negotiate (ITN) stage of a PFI hospital scheme.

# 3

## *Forms of contract*

---

### **Introduction**

Standard forms of contract exist to identify the roles and responsibilities of the parties, and their agents; and provide rules to protect and direct the parties should things go wrong. Clients have a wide choice of standard contracts for construction work, in particular the forms used for building, which cover most of the common procurement systems. Standard conditions have been written by bodies such as the Joint Contracts Tribunal (JCT) and Institution of Civil Engineers (ICE), following changing procurement methods in the industry – they seldom lead. The alternative approach would be to produce a common form of contract for all construction work whether in the public or private sector, building or civil engineering, English or Scottish law. This idea is not new; it was one of the principal recommendations of the Banwell Report in 1964. Sir Michael Latham also addressed the problem in 1994. One of his recommendations was for public and private sector clients to begin to use the New Engineering Contract (NEC) family of contracts, in particular the Engineering and Construction contract. These ideals have not borne fruit, and there are more forms of contract published every year using different principles, terminology and apportionment of risk.

Where a standard form of contract is proposed, an estimator must carefully examine the information which will be inserted in the Appendix and note any amendments to the standard conditions so that the terms of the offer can be evaluated. An estimator should assess the cost of complying with certain terms and advise management of any onerous conditions that may influence the bid. Non-standard forms of contract are sent to the commercial department, company secretary or director so that the conditions can be evaluated before the final review meeting.

### **Essentials of a valid construction contract**

Construction contracts are the same as any other contract, and in the end, will depend on general principles of law. A short definition of a contract is ‘an agreement

between two or more parties which is intended to have legal consequences'. In construction, the contract is generally for producing a building or part of the built environment, and can be entered in one of four ways:

1. Implied by conduct of the parties; a contractor may submit an offer and later have access to the site.
2. By word of mouth; typically where an offer is accepted by telephone.
3. By exchange of letters; common for small domestic works of extension, alteration or repair.
4. Using a written contract; the contract documents often include the enquiry documents, the written offer, minutes of meetings, tender-stage correspondence, a programme, a method statement and a formal contract with the agreed terms.

An estimator should keep a separate file containing all papers which will form the basis of the agreement. This is most important where negotiations take place after a formal offer has been made. If the estimator secures the work, he will need to present the contractor's undertakings to the construction staff at a handover meeting. The importance of written evidence cannot be overstressed because usually the formal documents will be the only evidence of what exactly had been agreed at the beginning of a project.

To make a contract valid and legally enforceable, certain simple rules are applied, as follows:

1. There must be an offer by one party and an acceptance by the other or others.
2. Each party must contribute something of value to the other's promise; a client is responsible for making payments and the contractor must complete the construction.
3. Each party must have the legal capacity to make a contract.
4. The parties must have exercised their own free will, without force or pressure.

A contract comes into existence when an offer has been unconditionally accepted. In construction the offer is the 'tender', 'estimate' or 'bid' and suppliers and sub-contractors sometimes refer to their offers as 'quotations'. The term 'estimate' could be used in a wider context to mean a guide to how much something will cost. This ambiguity should be avoided wherever possible.

A contractor expects to receive an acceptance in clear terms from the client or his adviser. A letter of intent is often used to let a contractor know that he should prepare to start work. This statement should state clearly that all work carried out by the contractor and specialists, even if the contract does not follow, will be paid for in full.

## *Estimating and Tendering for Construction Work*

An offer must be distinguished from an 'invitation to treat' which is an invitation for others to make an offer. In an auction sale, for example, an auctioneer invites offers which he may accept or reject. In a similar way, a client seeking tenders is not bound to accept the lowest or any bid. An offer cannot be accepted once it has terminated. Termination happens:

1. On death of either party if the contract is for personal services.
2. By the contractor withdrawing the offer.
3. After a specified time (usually stated in the tender instructions or stated by the contractor in his tender) or after a reasonable time.
4. When there has been outright rejection by the client, or where the client makes a counter-offer, usually in the form of a qualified acceptance.

Although contractors and sub-contractors can withdraw their tenders at any time before acceptance, this practice can lead to many problems for the recipient. A main contractor, awarded a contract, could lose a large sum of money if a sub-contractor's offer, used in a tender, is withdrawn or changed. The main contractor should clearly state in his enquiry documents the acceptance period for sub-contractors' tenders taking into account the requirements of the main contract and the possible delay in placing contracts. A contractor can reduce this risk by thoroughly checking quotations for sufficiency, completeness and compliance with the tender requirements. Clearly it is important to maintain up-to-date lists of reliable trade contractors.

## **Standard forms of contract**

The standard printed forms of contract have been developed over many years to take account of the many events which could occur during and after a construction project. Contract law will of course deal with many of the problems, but there are many matters peculiar to construction which need clarification. Once these terms have been incorporated, they reduce the likelihood of disputes which can lead to arbitration or litigation. Contract conditions are outlined by a reference being made to the standard conditions in the tender documents, with amendments to suit the particular project. The parties to most of the JCT contracts sign copies of the printed forms, which is not the case for the ICE and GC/work/1 forms, which could be used by reference to an 'office' copy. JCT contracts are now printed in two parts: the Agreement which is signed by the contractor and sub-contractor, and Conditions of Sub-contract, which are incorporated by reference in the Agreement.

Some clients require a contract to be executed under seal; the standard forms have provision for this after the Articles of Agreement. A contract executed as a

deed (or speciality contract) would allow an action to be brought within 12 years as opposed to 6 years for simple contracts. It is unwise to amend the conditions of a standard form because great effort has gone into producing a carefully drafted document with many links between clauses and other documents. Nevertheless, all contracts take effect by agreement and so standard contracts can be amended in any way the parties choose.

The standard form contracts currently in use between client and contractor are:

|                                                                    |         |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|
| 1. Standard Form of Building Contract – with or without quantities | JCT98   |
| 2. JCT Standard Form with Contractor's Design                      | WCD98   |
| 3. JCT Agreement for Minor Building Works                          | MW98    |
| 4. JCT Intermediate Form of Building Contract                      | IFC98   |
| 5. JCT Standard Form of Management Contract                        | MC98    |
| 6. GC/Works/1 for Government Contracts                             | 1998    |
| 7. ICE Conditions of Contract 7th Edition                          | 1999    |
| 8. NEC Engineering and Construction Contract                       | 1996    |
| 9. ACA Project Partnering Contract                                 | PPC2000 |
| 10. JCT Major Project Form                                         | MPF2003 |

The JCT is made up of bodies representing differing interests in building work, including the British Property Federation (BPF), Construction Confederation, Royal Institute of British Architects (RIBA), Royal Institution of Chartered Surveyors (RICS), Local Government Association, consulting engineers and specialist contractors' associations. The Standard Form of Building Contract has six variants that cater for local authority and private clients, contracts with bills of quantities, without quantities and those with approximate quantities. The six forms do not differ in substance, but describing and costing the work is easier with bills of quantities. The local authority forms are similar to private forms but contain extra terms for local government law and practice. Each of the variants creates a lump-sum contract: the lump sum is that which the contractor expects to be paid but is subject to adjustment in many carefully defined ways, mainly following the issue of an instruction. A bill of quantities is also used with the ICE conditions for civil engineering work, but the conditions create a remeasurement or 'measure-and-value' contract where all the bill items will be remeasured as the work proceeds. The ICE conditions are alone in defining permanent and temporary works; the ICE form makes it clear that temporary works are solely the responsibility of the contractor except where they have been designed by the engineer. The GC/Works/1 and NEC contracts are used for building and civil engineering works. The NEC forms use non-technical language, which allows their use for a variety of construction and engineering projects. The basis for valuing work is also flexible – there are options for bills of quantity, activity schedules or a cost reimbursement

## *Estimating and Tendering for Construction Work*

basis. GC/Works contracts are also available in many variants, including major and minor works, design and build, construction management and M + E contracts.

It can be seen that new editions of most standard forms have been published in the late 1990s. This was in response to the 'Latham' Report 1994 and many changes brought about by the Housing Grants, Construction and Regeneration Act 1996. In particular many changes have been made for the following:

1. Electronic Data Interchange, EDI (requires a separate EDI agreement to be entered into).
2. CDM Regulations.
3. Construction Industry Scheme (a contractor cannot pay a sub-contractor unless the sub-contractor has provided valid authorization in the form of a registration card or tax certificate).
4. Third party rights (contracting out).
5. Landfill tax (addition to fluctuations clause).
6. Contractor's retention bond.

The appendix section of standard forms enables the parties to insert provisions that vary from job to job, such as:

1. *Sums of money* for liquidated damages and insurances;
2. *Periods of time* for carrying out the work and making payments;
3. *Percentages* for retaining parts of the interim payments;
4. *Statements* giving the options which apply to the contract, an important example would be to show which clause has been selected for dealing with price fluctuations.


This information must be given to tenderers, otherwise they will make their own assumptions.

## **Sub-contract forms**


The contractual links between parties using standard forms of contract are shown in Figs 3.1 and 3.2.

### *Terms used*

*Nominated sub-contractors* are persons whose final selection and approval, for supplying and fixing materials or goods, has been reserved to the architect (clause 35 JCT98). In the ICE conditions nominated sub-contractors are 'any merchant



**Fig. 3.1** Contractual relationships between parties using JCT98



**Fig. 3.2** Contractual relationships between parties using ICE 7th Edition

tradesman specialist or other person firm or company nominated in accordance with the contract to be employed by the contractor for the execution of work or supply of materials for which a prime cost has been inserted in the contract ...'. There is usually a right of objection to the nomination of particular sub-contractors because it would be contrary to contract law to insist that a party enters a contract involuntarily.

## *Estimating and Tendering for Construction Work*

*Domestic sub-contractors* are engaged where a contractor elects to sub-let part of the work with the written consent of the architect (clause 19 JCT98). The ICE contract goes on to say that the contractor shall not sub-let all the works without the written consent of the Employer.

'*Named sub-contractor*' is the term used in IFC98 and ACA84 where the contractor is required to enter a (domestic) sub-contract with a firm named by the architect.

Many contractors sub-let large portions of their work to specialist contractors, the main exceptions being where reliable building workers are needed for difficult or small maintenance contracts. Under clause 19 of JCT98, there are two arrangements for sub-letting work to domestic sub-contractors:

1. The architect approves the sub-letting of the works to a firm of the contractor's choosing.
2. The contractor must choose a sub-contractor from a list of at least three names which have been included in the specification, schedules of work or contract bills, (in the case of bills, for work fully measured in the bills and priced by the contractor).

The latter arrangement is used sometimes to replace nominated sub-contractors with a short list of specialists who may have expressed an interest in doing the work. Where large service installations are required, the quantity surveyor can send the drawings and specification to each of the sub-contractors on the list so the main contractors can avoid unnecessary duplication. The estimator just sends his enquiry letter with details of the conditions which the sub-contractor will be expected to sign.

If a single firm is named in the contract bills to carry out work that is measured, then it should in effect be a nominated sub-contractor. In fact this would be bad practice because SMM7 requires a PC sum for work to be executed by a nominated sub-contractor. This problem is unfortunately all too common. An example is where a client or consultant wants a particular window system but wishes to avoid setting up a formal nomination. The standard form of contract does not allow the architect to choose a specialist who is to become a domestic sub-contractor.

The standard forms commonly used between contractors and their sub-contractors are:

1. DSC/C: Domestic sub-contract conditions produced by JCT for use with the JCT98 main forms, with or without quantities. These conditions are widely used by main contractors often with amendments.
2. JCT is to publish a short form of domestic sub-contract in 2003 that can be used in connection with most standard forms of contract but principally with the Agreement for Minor Building Works.

3. DOM/2: Domestic sub-contract for use with the JCT Standard Form with Contractor's Design 1998. The document comprises the articles of agreement with a schedule of changes, such as:
  - (a) Delete 'Architect' and insert 'Employer';
  - (b) Provision for stage OR periodic payments.The JCT version of this sub-contract is due for publication late in 2003.
4. CECA: Form of sub-contract for use with the ICE Conditions of Contract (commonly referred to as the 'Blue Form').
5. NAM/SC: Conditions for named sub-contractors under the Intermediate Form of Contract 1998.
6. IN/SC: Domestic sub-contract for use with IFC 1998.
7. GW/S: Standard form of sub-contract for use with GC/Works/1; published by Construction Confederation and approved by specialist trade associations. The terms of this sub-contract stem from DOM/1, NSC/4 and obligations passed down from GC/Works/1.
8. The Engineering and Construction Sub-contract.

Most sub-contract forms are printed in two parts: the articles of agreement and conditions. This could be to save money since only the articles of agreement are needed each time contracts are signed.

Non-standard forms of sub-contract are sometimes used by main and management contractors to impose extra obligations and ensure the sub-contractor is bound by the same conditions found in the main contract. The trade bodies which represent the views of specialist sub-contractors claim that their members have suffered under terms such as:

1. The 'pay when paid' arrangement which means that a sub-contractor will be paid when the main contractor has received a payment. This practice is now negated by the Housing Grants, Construction and Regeneration Act 1996.
2. The 'discount fiddle' happens when the 2.5% discount for prompt payment is held by the main contractor, well beyond the agreed time.
3. Reduced attendances provided by main contractors, in some cases expecting sub-contractors to provide their own scaffolding, temporary services, disposal of rubbish and hoisting.
4. The sub-contractor's right to an extension of time might only be granted when the main contractor himself receives an extension.
5. The main contractor can hold wide-ranging rights to take sums of money from payments, sometimes without having to prove that a loss has occurred.
6. A requirement for a sub-contractor to protect his work even when he is not present on site.
7. Badly drafted 'on demand' bonds and parent company guarantees irrespective of the size or stature of the company.

## *Estimating and Tendering for Construction Work*

It is becoming more common for main contractors to be on the receiving end of some of these practices. In particular, some clients want set-off clauses and performance guarantees which can be taken 'on demand' and may be kept in place for a long time after the project is complete. Both main and sub-contractors when faced with such enquiries should submit their tenders with a statement asking to discuss the terms of contract with the client before entering a formal agreement.

The practice of nominating sub-contractors has declined to the point of extinction because although the main contractor is contractually responsible for all the works there is a reduced liability for the work sub-let under the nomination system. The JCT98 Form of Contract makes the following provisions:

1. Delay by a nominated sub-contractor is a relevant event that can lead to an extension of time under clause 25.
2. Breach by the nominated sub-contractor imposes a duty on the architect to nominate a new sub-contractor if the first is incapable of performance.
3. Failure of design by a nominated sub-contractor under clause 35.
4. Delay caused by a nominated sub-contractor who gives late information.

In a traditional contract, where a decision to adopt a particular supplier or sub-contractor is needed before appointing a main contractor, a nomination is required. It allows the architect to prepare full working drawings, integrating and coordinating specialist design with building design. Costs are saved at tender stage because the specialist prepares one tender on a standard set of conditions.

The main documents for nomination introduced by the JCT80 contract are:

1. NSC/1: JCT Standard Form of Nominated Sub-contract Tender and Agreement is used to invite tenders from potential nominated sub-contractors which gives the sub-contractor information about the contract, allows the sub-contractor to submit his tender and later agree programme and attendance details with the main contractor.
2. NSC/2: JCT Standard Form of Employer/Nominated Sub-contractor Agreement which sets out the obligations of the sub-contractor to exercise reasonable skill and care in the design of the works and perform satisfactorily when under contract to the main contractor. It is important to remember that neither the architect nor the contractor is party to this contract, which is used to provide a warranty agreement to protect the client's interests. The client also has obligations, mainly to pay for design work and materials before and after the start of construction works.
3. NSC/3: JCT Standard Form of Nomination is an instruction from the architect for the contractor to enter a sub-contract.
4. NSC/4: JCT Standard Form of Nominated Sub-contract that should be read in conjunction with clause 35 of the main contract form.

## Comparison of forms

*Contract documents* all include the various parts of the standard forms plus:

|            |                                                                                      |
|------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| JCT98      | Contract drawings, contract bills                                                    |
| WCD98      | Employer's requirements, contractor's proposals (including contract sum analysis)    |
| MW98       | Contract drawings, contract specification, priced specification or schedule of rates |
| IFC98      | Contract drawings, contract specification, schedules of work or bill of quantities   |
| MC98       | Project drawings, the project specification, Contract cost plan and the schedules    |
| GC/Works/1 | Drawings, specification, bills of quantities or schedule of rates                    |
| ICE        | Drawings, specification, bill of quantities, tender and the written acceptance       |

The employer may deduct a *retention* as follows:

|            |                                                                                                                                  |
|------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| JCT98      | 5% until practical completion then 2.5% (3% if estimated value is over £500 000)                                                 |
| WCD98      | 5% until practical completion then 2.5%                                                                                          |
| MW98       | 5% until practical completion then 2.5%                                                                                          |
| IFC98      | 5% until practical completion then 2.5% (no retention on insurance payments)                                                     |
| MC98       | 3% for management contractor and works contractor (not applied to fees) and 1.5% for work which has reached practical completion |
| GC/Works/1 | 5% of each advance payment and nil for the value of variation instructions                                                       |
| ICE        | Recommended not to exceed 5%, recommended limit is 3% of tender total, and retention halved following certificate of completion. |

All the standard forms contain terms for insurances but only the ICE conditions include a recommended form of bond. The new (Edition 3) of the GC/Works/1 contract has brought in some radical changes which have an effect on the tender. For example, there is no longer a discount retained by the contractor from PC sums for nominated sub-contractors. Valuations made monthly by reference to a pre-determined stage payment chart would undervalue the work at the beginning of a contract. The Minor Works agreement does not cater for long projects

*Estimating and Tendering for Construction Work*

which need a price fluctuations clause and does not provide for nominations by the supervising officer.

**Selection of contract forms**

For many clients the choice of contract will be dictated by the type of work, size of contract and their position in society. A local authority carrying out a

**CONTRACT SELECTION CHECKLIST**

|                         |                       |  |
|-------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| Procurement method      | Lump sum              |  |
|                         | Measurement           |  |
|                         | Cost reimbursement    |  |
|                         |                       |  |
| Design                  | Employer              |  |
|                         | Part by contractor    |  |
|                         | Contractor            |  |
|                         |                       |  |
| Cost control document   | Bills of quantities   |  |
|                         | Schedule of rates     |  |
|                         | Priced specification  |  |
|                         | Contract sum analysis |  |
| Payment                 | Stage                 |  |
|                         | Time-related          |  |
|                         | Turnkey               |  |
|                         |                       |  |
| Roles and relationships | Client                |  |
|                         | Contractor            |  |
|                         | Design team           |  |
|                         | Specialists           |  |
| Time                    | Open                  |  |
|                         | Fixed                 |  |
|                         | Acceleration          |  |
|                         | Damages               |  |

**Fig. 3.3** *Simplified checklist for the selection of a contract*

£2 million refurbishment contract, for example, is likely to choose the JCT Standard Form of Building Contract, Local Authorities Edition, with approximate quantities. A contractor offering his services to design and build a factory unit will suggest the Standard Form with Contractor's Design WCD98. Perhaps the most difficult decisions to be made by a client are the composition of the professional team and how financial risks will be shared. In particular he must decide whether to commission a bill of quantities or ask for tenders on a lump sum. Figure 3.3 shows the primary elements which need to be considered. Clearly a non-construction client would need professional advice in selecting a contract that satisfies all his needs.

The Joint Contracts Tribunal publishes a guide to selecting the appropriate JCT form of contract which is available on their website: [www.jctltd.co.uk](http://www.jctltd.co.uk).

# 4

## *Tender documentation*

---

### **Introduction**

The key to a successful project often lies in the understanding and cooperation that is essential from all participants; each must be clearly aware of his duties and rights. The documentation is the vital link between design and construction.

Adequate and accurate drawings and specifications are indispensable if the team is going to achieve success in terms of quality, time and cost. Drawings in particular have served the construction industry well for hundreds of years as the primary means of communication. Unfortunately, poor specification writing continues to be a weak link in the information chain and leads to disputes, particularly in a competitive market where estimators will use a strict interpretation of the documents to arrive at the lowest tender. Another cause of friction is when bills of quantities differ from the drawings and specification. This often happens when the quantity surveyor is short of information from the designers.

Time spent on preparing documents, which aid the contractor's understanding of the work, will benefit the finished product. In 1964, the report of the committee chaired by Sir Harold Banwell stated:

It is natural that a client, having taken the decision to build, should wish to see work started on site at the earliest possible moment. It is the duty of those who advise him to make it clear that time spent beforehand in settling the details of the work required and in preparing a timetable of operations ... is essential if value for money is to be assured and disputes leading to claims avoided. It is also necessary for the client to be told of the need to give the contractor time to make his own detailed arrangements after the contract has been let, and of the penalties of indecision and the costs of changes of mind once the final plans have been agreed.

Tenderers will assess the quality of documentation, partly because poor information can add to the time wasted by site supervisors and partly because unreliable information can lead to claims. If the contractor has enough information he can avoid guesswork, include all the important items in his tender and will not need to add global sums for poorly defined elements of work.

## **Coordinated project information**

The Coordinating Committee for Project Information was set up in 1979 to look for improvements in the way construction documents are produced and presented. The committee published its recommendations in December 1987 for drawings, specifications and bills of quantities for building work; and included proposals for ways in which the following problems may be overcome:

1. Missing information – not produced, or not sent to site.
2. Late information – not available in time to plan the work or order the materials.
3. Wrong information – errors of description, reference or dimension; out-of-date information.
4. Insufficient detail – both for tender and construction drawings.
5. Impracticable designs – difficult to construct.
6. Inappropriate information – not relevant or suitable for its purpose.
7. Unclear information – because of poor drafting or ambiguity.
8. Not firm – provisional information often indistinguishable from firm information.
9. Poorly arranged information – poor and inconsistent structure, unclear titling.
10. Uncoordinated information – difficult to read one document with another.
11. Conflicting information – documents which disagree with each other.

The Building Project Information Committee (BPIC) encouraged the use of CPI throughout the UK building industry. To endorse their work, Sir Michael Latham, in his 1994 report, says ‘CPI is a technique which should have been normal practice years ago ... its use should be made part of the conditions of engagement of the designers’.

## ***Drawings***

Drawings are the most common means of communication for all types and sizes of project; the main exceptions being some maintenance contracts and minor works which can be scheduled or described in a written statement. The CPI initiative includes a production drawings code that gives advice on good practice for planning and producing drawings. The code stresses the need for careful coordination of the information, shown on drawings, with the other documents. One way to avoid mistakes is to replace specifications on drawings with reference numbers, which refer to the written specification. This could, however, lead to confusion on site if taken to an extreme case such as a drainlayer asked to lay a drain

## *Estimating and Tendering for Construction Work*

R12/123 in a trench type R12/321. Would he need to be armed with the drawing and specification? Probably not; because designers understand the need for clear information for those working on site and on large-scale projects, site engineers interpret the drawings for the operatives.

The CPI code is to be read with BS 1192:1984 'Construction Drawing Practice'. This British Standard (BS) was being rewritten during the 1980s and published in five parts. This revision was brought about by the need for international standardization of drawing practice; and many industrialized countries have taken part in the search for suitable conventions and methods. Part 5, dated 1990, is a guide for the structuring of computer graphic information. The aim of the new standard is to provide good drawing practice which will provide communication with:

1. Accuracy.
2. Clarity.
3. Economy.
4. Consistency.

between architects, contractors, civil engineers, service engineers and structural engineers.

There are four main types of drawing commonly used in construction:

1. Survey drawings – which are based on a measured survey or an Ordnance Survey sheet; and are used to produce block and site plans.
2. Preliminary drawings – which are the designer's early interpretation of the brief.
3. Production drawings – include general arrangement drawings, layout drawings, assembly drawings, standard details such as those provided for highways drainage, schedules and additional detail drawings as necessary. They are used to go with applications for statutory approvals, to invite contractors to tender, and construction purposes.
4. Record drawings – are used to show a record of construction as it has been built and services installed. They provide essential information for maintenance staff.

Since the publication of SMM6, some drawn information can now be provided with bills of quantities. SMM6 recommended the use of bill diagrams to help describe an item of work.

In SMM7, general rule 5.3 states 'dimensioned diagrams shall show the shape and dimensions of the work covered by an item and may be used in a bill of quantities in place of a dimensioned description, but not in place of an item otherwise required to be measured'. The intention is for these diagrams to be prepared by the quantity surveyor and included in the bill of quantities. Often this has not

happened with either SMM6 or SMM7. This might be because bills are produced using text-based computer systems and more drawings are now sent to contractors at tender stage.

## *Specifications*

A specification is prepared by an architect or consulting engineer to provide written technical information mainly on the quality of materials and workmanship. The specification would be a contract document in its own right if the contractor tenders on the basis of drawings and specification only. Where bills of quantities are used for building work the specification is included with the bill of quantities as preambles. In this way the specification again becomes part of the contract documents.

There are some standard specifications published for civil engineering contracts – in particular specifications for highways and the water industry. A bill of quantities for civil engineering work will include specification clauses and a preambles section which is used to define any departures from the standard method of measurement.

The designer notes the matters needing detailed specification clauses as he prepares the drawings. The quantity surveyor will advise on a proper format for the bill of quantities. On small contracts, where a PQS is not appointed, an architect could produce a specification which is broken down into parcels of work. The contractor would be expected to price the document to assist post-contract cost control, such as the preparation of valuations. In this context, this document is sometimes called a schedule of works or priced specification. Another document in the CPI suite is a code for specification writing. The Project Specification Code is a guide to good practice.

Many architects, engineers, quantity surveyors and contractors will subscribe to the National Building Specification (NBS), which is written in line with the Common Arrangement of Work Sections (CAWS). The NBS is a library of clauses, regularly updated, using either the CI/SfB classification or the recommended CAWS method which divides building into over 300 work sections which aim to reflect the way work is sub-contracted. In broad terms CI/SfB relates to the elements of a building and the CAWS is in trade order. Normally, only a fraction of the work sections will be used on a simple project.

Specifications are prepared by design teams (or contractors in the design and build contract) using their own procedures and often vary widely in coverage and technical content. It has been said that specifications have lagged furthest behind drawings and bills for quality and helpfulness. This is probably unfair where the NBS is carefully edited and changes thoroughly researched with assistance from manufacturers and specialist sub-contractors.

## *Estimating and Tendering for Construction Work*

There is a danger that specifications may be ignored by contractors, sub-contractors and suppliers because they:

1. Contain many standard clauses which are not relevant to the job.
2. Are usually too long.
3. May be a collection of protection clauses, for example: 'to the best quality', because the designer is not sure what quality to specify.
4. Are sometimes out of date.

Traditionally the architect has been responsible for the specification, but may delegate the printing to the PQS. The CPI initiative assumes that the designer provides more reliable specification information before tender stage. The PQS must ensure the bill descriptions do not conflict with the specification. With the introduction of SMM7, bill descriptions include cross-references to the specification, which will remove duplication.

The Project Specification Code recommends improvements, so specifications will be:

1. Complete – covering every significant aspect of the work.
2. Project specific – produced for the project, without irrelevant material.
3. Appropriate – for available materials and skills; and can be checked and standards enforced.
4. Constructive – helping all the parties to understand what is expected of them.
5. Up-to-date – using current good building practice and most recent standards.
6. Clear – economically worded.

## *Bills of quantities*

The traditional purpose of bills of quantities is to act as a uniform basis for inviting competitive tenders, and to assist in valuing completed work. Bills of quantity are first designed to meet the needs of estimators, although some estimators say the bill format has changed to assist the consultants, in cost planning exercises through the widespread use of elemental bills.

A contractor can also make use of the bill of quantities in many ways, for example:

1. To plan material purchasing (note the danger in ordering from a bill: the contractor should always order materials from drawn information and the specification, making the contract administrator aware of any differences).
2. Preparing resourced programmes.
3. Cost control during the contract to ensure work is within budget.
4. Data collection during construction for bonus systems and feedback information for estimators.

Unlike drawings and specifications, there have been rules for measuring building work for many years. The first edition of the Standard Method of Measurement for Building Works was published in 1922 and has been a compulsory document since its incorporation in the RIBA (now JCT) contract 1933. The civil engineering methods include rules for highways and the water industry but the publication for mainstream civil engineering works is the Civil Engineering Standard Method of Measurement (CESMM3) now in its third edition 1991.

Bills of quantities for building are divided into the following sections:

1. Preliminaries.
2. Preambles.
3. Measured work.
4. Prime cost and provisional sums.

There are number of formats for civil engineering bills of quantities. CESMM3 gives the following sections:

1. List of principal quantities.
2. Preamble.
3. Daywork schedule.
4. Work items (Class A General items may be grouped in a separate part of the bill of quantities).

In both sectors of construction, the estimator prices sections 3 and 4 and the specific items described in the preliminaries, having taken full account of all the requirements in the other sections.

The preliminaries (general items) section gives general details about the project and contract conditions, as follows:

1. Description of the work, location of the site, site boundaries, names of parties, and lists of drawings;
2. The form of contract used, with any amendments clearly defined, with contract appendix details giving information such as the retention percentage, liquidated damages, possession and completion dates and fluctuation provisions;
3. Specific requirements which should be priced by the contractor as fixed or time-related items to reflect the actual costs arising from supervision, site accommodation, temporary works, site running costs, general plant, transport, client's requirements and safety.

CESMM3 and SMM7 provide for fixed and time-related items so that a contractor can show the cost of bringing plant or facilities to site, their maintenance during the job and removal on completion. The SMM7 Measurement Code suggests that prices should be split between fixed and time-related sums only if the

## *Estimating and Tendering for Construction Work*

tenderer wishes to do so. He rarely does! There should also be space in the preliminaries section of a bill for the contractor to add to the list of items to suit his particular methods of working. In CESMM these are called 'method-related charges'.

In bills of quantities for building work, the preambles contain specification clauses which provide information about the expected type and standard of materials and workmanship. They should relate to the work in the bill and so reduce the length of work descriptions. The measured work section of the bill of quantities is divided into trade or element headings and measured according to the rules of a standard method of measurement. SMM7 defines its role by the statement 'The standard method of measurement provides a uniform basis for measuring building works, and embodies the essentials of good practice. Bills of quantities shall fully describe and accurately represent the quantity and quality of the works to be carried out.' The Standard Form of Building Contract JCT80 requires the use of the standard method of measurement where the contract includes bills of quantities. Clause 2.2.2.1 states 'the contract bills shall be prepared in accordance with SMM7'.

Accuracy in preparing a bill is essential because the contract conditions allow the contractor payment for any omission or error in description or quantity. Clause 2.2.2.2 states '... an error is treated as a variation' and clause 8.1 states '... all materials, goods and workmanship shall be to the standards described in the contract bills'. Clause 1.10.3 JCT Work Contract/2 used with the Management Contract similarly states 'the quality of the work included in the Works Contract Sum or Tender Sum shall be deemed to be that which is set out in the bills of quantities'.

SMM7 begins with general rules for preparing bills, followed by details of preliminary particulars and about 300 work sections under 24 main headings. Rule 4.1 is an example of a rule of particular interest to an estimator:

Dimensions shall be stated in descriptions generally in the sequence length, width, height (or depth). Where ambiguity could arise the dimension shall be identified.

Where work can be identified and described in a bill of quantities, but the quantity cannot be accurately determined, an estimate of the quantity can be given and identified as an 'approximate quantity'. This will typically occur when dealing with ground problems such as stone filling to make up levels, or maintenance work such as cutting out defective rafters.

A provisional sum in a bill of quantities is for work which cannot be described and given in items, which follows the measurement rules. SMM7 introduced two kinds of provisional sum, defined and undefined, both for work which is not completely designed. 'Defined' means the nature and quantity of the work can be identified, and the contractor must allow for programming, planning and pricing

preliminaries. ‘Undefined’ means that the scope of the work is not known, and the contractor will be paid for all costs associated with carrying out the work, planning the work, and overheads, which are reasonable.

A contingency sum is often included in a bill, as a provisional sum, for unforeseeable work, such as difficult ground conditions. The reason for its inclusion is not stated in the bill. The sum is spent at the discretion of the architect/contract administrator. SMM7 does not mention the contingency sum.

A prime cost sum is provided in a bill of quantities for work to be carried out by a nominated sub-contractor (SMM7 A51) or for materials to be obtained from a nominated supplier (SMM7 A52). Work by statutory authorities is now given as a provisional sum (SMM7 A53). SMM7 does not define PC sums to the extent found in SMM6 presumably because the form of contract deals with this. The term ‘prime cost’ is also used in connection with:

1. An allowance for the cost of a material such as bricks when the final selection has not been made; for example, Facing Brickwork PC £250.00 a thousand (the estimator must be told how to deal with waste, transport and other on costs).
2. The basic cost of labour, materials and plant in cost-plus arrangements such as daywork contracts and some management contracts. SMM7 now gives dayworks as a provisional sum (A55).

The SMM7 Measurement Code recommends clear information about nominated sub-contractors’ work, so that the tenderers can assess their responsibilities, for their programme. At tender stage the contractor should know:

1. The extent of the nominated sub-contractor’s work with approximate quantities or values in each part of their work;
2. The location of the work, in particular where large items of plant will be situated;
3. Special attendance which is needed by the specialists, with the location and dimensions being given wherever possible (if details of special attendance are not available then a provisional sum should be used).

SMM7 provides for certain drawings to be issued to contractors at tender stage. More detailed guidance on which drawings are needed is given at the beginning of each work section in SMM7. The following drawings are considered to be essential:

1. Block plan.
2. Site plan.
3. Plans, sections and elevations.

Component drawings are required by general rule 5.2 to show the information necessary for the manufacture of components. The work sections, which require component drawings, are listed in Appendix 2 of the Measurement Code.

## *Estimating and Tendering for Construction Work*

### *Bill formats*

The development unit, which prepared SMM7, made some general recommendations for good practice, as follows, and included some of them in the SMM7 Measurement Code:

1. The full benefits of the CPI initiative will be gained if bills and specifications are prepared using the CAWS. By the late 1990s this recommendation had been implemented and well established for fully documented building schemes.
2. Items for separate buildings should be kept separate, by providing separate bills.
3. Items for external works should be given in a separate bill.
4. Provisional sums, prime cost sums and dayworks should form a separate section at the end of the measured work part of the bill (avoiding confusion during the tender stage). Provisional sums inserted in the preliminaries bill cause a great deal of confusion and can be missed by an estimator expecting to find all written-in sums grouped in a dedicated section.
5. The summary should be at the end of the bills of quantities.

Estimators have a strong preference for trade bills which separate work strictly in accordance with the measurement rules and trade headings of SMM7. This is convenient for sending enquiries to suppliers and sub-contractors, but does not help in showing the relative quantities for each building in a development.

Elemental bills relate to the functional parts (or elements) of a building; for example, upper floors, roofs, and external walls. This has the benefit of helping the quantity surveyor check his cost analysis and collect data for future cost exercises, and the estimator can find the location of work. The main disadvantage is that it produces a longer bill which is not only less efficient to prepare but will add to the work of the estimator. He must bring together items for each trade from various parts of the document, which can produce great deal of paperwork.

Sectionalized trade bills could be used to overcome the disadvantages of the elemental bill. For estimating purposes the trade order bill is subdivided into elements. If each element is printed on separate sheets, it is possible to assemble the bill in trade *or* elemental order.

Computer packages are available for producing bills of quantities and subsequent financial control. They are usually based on a library of standard items that can be called up by using codes or by accessing a hierarchal database through menus. Measurements can be entered either manually or using digitizers and the computer will sort the items before printing the complete bill of quantities.

## CPI and the estimator

The CAWS was developed to align packages of work more closely with the pattern of sub-contracting in the industry. For example, SMM7 now clearly distinguishes between many cladding methods and materials in group heading H, Patent glazing, Curtain walling and many kinds of sheet cladding. Unfortunately, this fine subdivision has some awkward results. For example, an enquiry for plumbing will include measured work from Group R Disposal systems, Group S Piped water supply systems, Part T Mechanical heating, Group N Sanitary appliances and Group Y for pumps and calorifiers. Furthermore, with an elemental bill any of the 300 work categories can be repeated for each element.

Two of the objectives of SMM7 were: (1) to simplify bills of quantities and (2) to develop a method which could help with computer applications. To an extent, modern bills of quantity have been accepted by estimators because they have developed an understanding of the coding system and descriptions have not been shortened by the amount envisaged when SMM7 was published. Many quantity surveyors have avoided a total reliance on specifications; they are aware that estimators need more than an abbreviated description.

With the SMM7 there are now shorter bills of quantities. Many items have been removed where they had little cost significance. Other items have been grouped, again to lessen the number of measurable items of work. The nominal size of bar reinforcement is stated but its location is not. This means that the bill rate for 12 mm reinforcement in an eaves beam will be the same as 12 mm bars in a ground floor slab. An estimator may be able to identify the weights in each location by studying the drawings and bar schedules, but will all the estimators and sub-contractors do the same? Since SMM6 was introduced, estimators have been faced with formwork measurements grouped in height bands, which effectively mean that he does not know the actual quantity. As an example, 200 linear metres of formwork 500 mm–1.00 m high could be as little as 100 m<sup>2</sup> or as much as 200 m<sup>2</sup> of shuttering. It would take some time to measure the real area from the drawings received at tender stage.

The move towards computer-aided billing and estimating has been difficult, and many doubt whether SMM7 has helped. On first sight, the tables of measurement rules appear to be an aid to all those involved in computer-aided bill production and pricing. Unfortunately there have been some problems:

1. There are too many rogue items in an average bill, which do not match a standard coding system.
2. Libraries of standard descriptions do not use the numbering system given in SMM7.
3. Computer packages have moved away from code numbers for items, preferring to use windows of items from which relevant descriptions and resources can be selected.

## *Estimating and Tendering for Construction Work*

It is also argued that the standard method of measurement is not a method for producing bills nor is it an aid to pricing bills. It is purely a set of rules about how work is measured and what is to be included in an item (item coverage).

The CESMM3 states that the system of work classification adopted by the method should simplify the production of bills of quantities making the use of computers easier. The foreword to the First Edition encourages the use of work reference numbers to identify work items. This uniform (and coded) description of work was seen as a way to standardize the layout and contents of bills of quantities; and the engineer is recommended to use the standard method numbers in bills of quantities.

This recommendation does not exist in SMM7. The use of code numbers as item references in civil engineering bills causes some confusion when inputting items in a computer system. Many estimators change the reference system to the familiar A, B, C etc. format and use the code numbers as a sort code reference.

Every estimator, whether working for a contractor or sub-contractor, must understand the coverage rules of the standard method, which applies to the contract. This is important where sundry items are now included in the main work item. For example, in SMM7 formed joints in *in-situ* concrete are deemed to include formwork; and working space allowance must include the extra cost of work below ground water level and breaking out existing hard materials.

By making the detailed specification the central reference document under CPI, the way in which estimators work has changed. Enquiries to sub-contractors and suppliers must include all relevant specification clauses, preliminary section items and appropriate drawings; otherwise the prices will not reflect the true value of the work. Bill descriptions are shorter by adding references to the specification. The following example illustrates the problem:

### *Forming cavities in hollow walls*

60 mm wide; wall ties spec F30:310;

cavity insulation spec F30:560, 30 mm  
thick

115 m<sup>2</sup>

If a sub-contractor receives an incomplete enquiry, he is likely to guess what he is being asked to fix. Fortunately many quantity surveyors have recognized this problem and have enlarged item descriptions so that their meanings are clearer, for example:

### *Forming cavities in hollow walls*

60 mm wide; stainless steel wall ties,

F30:310 as System Ties Ltd, 210 mm

long; Becker rigid board cavity

insulation, F30:560, 30 mm thick fixed

to ties with retaining clips

115 m<sup>2</sup>

Experience of pricing documents, which have been produced using the CPI guidelines, shows that some new problems have emerged, as follows:

1. Many specifications have no page numbers. The explanation is that the estimator must use the NBS codes to find the relevant clauses. The problem for the estimator is that the page numbers are needed for printing and distributing pages to sub-contractors.
2. There is confusion with the way work section numbers are used in specifications. On one page the estimator might find clause 310 which is for laying bricks and on another page clause 310 could be for cavity wall ties. The problem is that the work section reference is missing; in the first case it should be F10:310 and the second F30:310. The work category numbers must be repeated on each new page if this problem is to be solved.
3. Some sub-contractors have argued that they received the bill but not the specification; with SMM6, the bill description often had enough detail to price the work. This may be the estimator's fault but in some cases the specification references are more complex. The estimator might find the correct clause referred to in the bill but not notice the specification clause includes references to other clauses. For example, a patent glazing specification could itself refer to a separate glazing specification, which the estimator must also send to the patent glazing sub-contractor.
4. Defined provisional sums are being used incorrectly. The tender documents should provide information about the nature of the work, a statement about how and where the work is fixed, quantities to show the scope of work, and any limitations. It is common to see defined provisional sums such as: 'drainage outfall to culvert' or 'additional dry-rot treatment'.
5. The number of drawings needed by sub-contractors at tender stage has increased dramatically. This is due to the reduction in the number of bill items; or as some would say, 'the quantity surveyor doing less work'. Many contractors have incurred an increase in printing costs since the introduction of SMM7. This may also be due to smaller margins and the need to ensure that sub-contractors will tender on exactly the same basis as the main contractor.

Now that main contract bids rely heavily on quotations from sub-contractors, the estimator must exercise great skill and care in dealing with changing procedures and new methods of measurement for bills of quantities. The PQS still has the responsibility to provide adequate information for the estimator to price. As SMM7 insists 'More detailed information than is required by these rules shall be given where necessary in order to define the precise nature and extent of the required work'.

## **Documents used as the basis of a tender**

The basis of the tender will dictate the way in which the contractor will be paid and the relative accuracy of the estimate. The contractor's bid will be for one of the following:

1. Fixed price contract: where the sum of money is stated in the contract as payment for work, the payment may be adjusted according to strict conditions in the contract.
2. Measurement contract: will allow the contract sum to be calculated later, usually as the aggregate of various rates submitted by the contractor. The contract sometimes includes a target price.
3. Cost-reimbursement contract: an arrangement whereby the cost, whatever it may be, will be paid by the client on the basis of the actual cost incurred by the contractor, plus overheads and profit.

### *Fixed price contracts*

The price is fixed in advance but is subject to variation under the terms of the contract. This could include a fluctuations clause to pay for the increases caused by inflation. This definition leads to much confusion in the construction industry where 'fixed price' is the term for a price, which will not be subject to fluctuations. An arrangement which is not subject to fluctuations is better described as 'firm price'.

Lump-sum contracts are the simplest type where a lump-sum offer is made by a contractor to carry out the work, which might be outlined on drawings and described in a specification but no quantities have been prepared. This is the usual form for a small job carried out by a local builder. Where a full set of working drawings and a specification are available, a drawings and specification ('plan and spec') arrangement is popular for small projects. The main advantage is the saving in time and money needed to prepare a bill of quantities. The client will also have a reasonable estimate of the total cost before the contract is signed. For small contracts where the client's requirements are clear and there are good drawings and specification, this can be a useful way to enter a contract. There are, however, some serious drawbacks. Each contractor must prepare his own bill of quantities and the employer must bear in mind the time needed during the tender stage. If construction details or specification requirements are missing, it is common to find each contractor tendering on different assumptions. The contractor must allow a contingency for the risk of making mistakes in taking off. There will be no detailed breakdown of the tender sum which would be needed for interim payments and for valuing variations. To overcome some of these disadvantages,

the specification should include a description of work in a series of numbered items, each of which is to be priced.

Bills of quantities provide the most detailed basis for estimating cost. Each contractor tendering for work will be familiar with their use and can save wasteful effort in preparing quantities for the same building. They represent a clear list of items included in the contract and a schedule on which variations may be valued. Bills of quantity give a fair basis for competition, and a firm contract sum is known in advance. The main disadvantages are the time needed for the accurate preparation of bills (less of a problem with computer techniques) and the risk carried by the client for quantities. Firm bills of quantities remove the onus for correct quantities from the contractor but may inflict higher charges on the employer if discrepancies exist between the documents.

When a contractor tenders for a design and build project, he prepares his own bill of quantities (from his own drawings and specification) in order to invite sub-contract bids and arrive at a cost for direct work. Where the design team is novated to the contractor, construction drawings and specifications are usually well advanced prior to tender stage.

The contractor's proposals include a contract sum analysis. The purposes of the contract sum analysis are:

1. To value changes in the employer's requirements;
2. To value provisional sums given in the employer's requirements;
3. To allow the use of price adjustment formulae where they apply.

The contract sum analysis should be divided into sums of money for design work carried out before and during construction, and the following:

1. Preliminaries.
2. Provisional sums.
3. Trade headings similar to those in SMM6 or SMM7.

Work in different buildings and external works are usually shown separately. Alternatively, and more commonly in practice, the client's agent produces a list of items for a contract sum analysis using elemental headings in order to compare the tender against the elemental cost plan set up as the scheme budget.

Bills of approximate quantities provide a fair basis for tendering when drawing details are not complete. The bills will represent an estimate of the quantities of work in the project. By definition, the work will be subject to remeasurement and a firm value will not be known at the start of the project. This method is commonly used with refurbishment work where the full extent of the work cannot be accurately determined. The quantities set out in bills of quantities for civil engineering are the estimated quantities and are not to be taken as the

## *Estimating and Tendering for Construction Work*

actual quantities; the actual quantities are measured during the construction phase.

### *Measured contracts*

The total cost of a contract can be calculated by measuring the work as it advances on site and pricing the measured items using the rates given in an agreed schedule of rates or approximate bill of quantities. A schedule of rates lists all the items likely to arise, in a similar way to a bill of quantities, but no quantities are included. A schedule of rates is also used with drawing and specification contracts to value additional work. There are two principal types of schedule.

1. A standard schedule of rates, issued or published by an employer, will usually list standard items and rates, and the tenderer is asked to submit an overall percentage addition or deduction to reflect current pricing levels. Since the tender is a single figure, contractor selection is simple. Schedules of this sort enable orders to be placed before the project details are complete.
2. An ad-hoc schedule of rates is a pricing document prepared for a particular job. Only those items needed for the project will be incorporated. This type of schedule is difficult to use because, in the absence of quantities, tenders are difficult to compare and the value of the project is not known at the start. An ad-hoc schedule should contain approximate quantities to help overcome these problems. With all schedules of rates used at tender stage, the estimator is unable to foresee the full extent of the work. Contractors have been asked to quote for drainage trenches, for example, without knowing the ground conditions. Should the contractor assume that the ground conditions were good, and free of obstructions and other services? If he does then there is a chance he would ask for reimbursement for additional costs for bad ground conditions.

### *Cost reimbursement contracts*

The basis of this method is for the contractor to be repaid with the prime cost of completed work as defined in the contract, and a management fee to cover overheads and profit. The fee can be based on a percentage of cost (cost plus percentage contract) or a lump sum based on the estimated project cost (cost plus fixed fee contract). The advantages of this method are: the project can start quickly, the contractor can contribute to the design, competition can be introduced through the size of the fee, and the contractor is unlikely to cut corners. The disadvantages may be: the contractor has little incentive to save on time and

resources (in some management contracts if the construction costs rise the fee to the management contractor rises), the client is unable to predict the total cost accurately, and it can be tedious to calculate costs during the construction stage. It should be remembered that most of the work is carried out by package contractors who tender for work on a traditional bill of quantities.

## Formal tender documents

### *Formal invitation*

The Code of Procedure for Single Stage Selective Tendering gives an example letter. The letter is not long because essential information is normally set out in the tender documents. The letter is needed to tell the contractor which drawings have been sent, arrangements for site visits, date for return of tender and how the tender should be submitted. If the tenderer wishes to decline an offer he should have done so at pre-selection stage. The client should issue the tender documents on an agreed date in order to enable the contractor to plan his estimating workload. A typical invitation to tender letter is given in Fig. 4.1.

### *Bill of quantities*

If a priced bill of quantities is required with the tender then two copies should be sent to each contractor. As much information as possible should be included in the bill to reduce the need for many drawings to accompany enquiries to sub-contractors. If domestic sub-contractors are named in the bill then the consultants can send copies of the drawings and specification direct to the specialists to assist the contractors not least in reducing the reproduction and postage costs.

### *Drawings*

The bill of quantities will list the drawings which were used in preparing the documents. With standard methods of measurement aimed at producing shorter bills of quantities, there is a greater reliance on drawings by the tenderers. Tendering costs could be cut if copy negatives or reduction prints can be produced. Full-size drawings are clearly essential if the contractor or sub-contractor is responsible for taking-off quantities. For large projects, drawings are often issued electronically. This might be on CD-ROM, by e-mail or with tenderers downloading drawings from a secure website.

## *Estimating and Tendering for Construction Work*

John Price & Partners  
Chartered Quantity Surveyors  
32 Westgate Road  
Northbridge NB33 6XD

28 May 2004

CB Construction Ltd  
8 Brecon Road  
Northbridge  
NB21 8DR

Dear Sirs,

### INVITATION TO TENDER NEW OFFICES FOR FAST TRANSPORT PLC

Following your agreement to tender for the Fast Transport contract, we enclose the following documents:

1. Two copies of the bill of quantities.
2. The general arrangement drawings.
3. Health and Safety Plan.
4. Two copies of the form of tender.
5. Envelope for the return of the tender.

The completed form of tender is to be sealed in the envelope provided, and sent to the architect's office to arrive not later than 12 noon on Tuesday 13th July 2004.

The complete set of contract drawings and site investigation report may be inspected during normal working hours at the offices of the architect, the Swallow Partnership, at 102 Cantilever Drive, Stansford. Arrangements to visit the site should be made with the project architect, Mrs K. Edwards tel: 0123 344334.

Please acknowledge receipt of this letter and tender documents.

Yours faithfully,

**Fig. 4.1** *Typical formal invitation letter*

FORM OF TENDER

To: Fast Transport Ltd, Stansford

Tender for: Proposed Office Building, Stansford

Dear Sirs,

Having examined the conditions, drawings and bills of quantities, we offer to carry out and complete the works described, for the FIRM price of:

£ (in words)

and complete within 34 weeks from the date of possession.

This tender will remain open for acceptance for three months from the date of return of tender.

We agree that should any obvious pricing or arithmetic error be discovered before acceptance of this offer in the priced bills of quantities then these errors will be corrected using Alternative 1 in Section 6 of the Code of Procedure for Single Stage Selective Tendering.

We understand that we are tendering at our own expense and that neither the lowest nor any tender need be accepted.

Signature: Date:

Company:

Address:

Fig. 4.2 Typical form of tender

*Estimating and Tendering for Construction Work*

|                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <p>CB Construction Ltd<br/>8 Brecon Road<br/>Northbridge<br/>NB21 8DR</p> <p>13 July 2004</p> <p>Fast Transport Ltd<br/>Stanton Lane<br/>Stansford</p> <p>Dear Sirs,</p> <p>New Offices, Stansford</p> <p>Alternative Tender</p> <p>Following discussions with the architect and engineer during the tender period, we have examined an alternative design which would lead to a significant saving of time and money, as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. By a small increase of plan dimensions (to the lines shown on our layout drawing F/1 attached) including some accommodation in the roof space, there would be no need for the basement construction.</li><li>2. You will see on our preliminary programme (our drawing number F/2) the contract duration can be reduced by 4 weeks to 30 weeks, with completion by 22nd December.</li><li>3. Our alternative proposals would offer a financial saving amounting to £49 552 and a tender sum of £1 013 100.</li></ol> <p>We hope that this will help you in your appraisal of the scheme and would be pleased to provide more information and discuss the work with you soon.</p> <p>Yours faithfully,</p> <p>J Lewis<br/>Regional Manager<br/>For CB Construction Ltd</p> |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|

**Fig. 4.3** *Example of an alternative tender*

## *Form of tender*

The form of tender is a pre-printed formal offer, usually in letter form, which ensures that all tenders are received on the same basis and should be simple to compare. The tenderer fills in his name and address and a sum of money, for a lump sum offer. It may be sent with a collusive tendering certificate and appendices that are used for declarations about 'fair wages' or 'basic lists of materials'. A typical tender form is shown in Fig. 4.2; and Fig. 4.3 is an example of an alternative tender which might be produced in addition to a compliant bid.

## *Health and safety plan*

A pre-tender health and safety plan is a requirement of the Construction (Design and Management) Regulations 1994. This document is produced by the planning supervisor appointed by the client, and included in the tender documents. The principal contractor is then required to develop the health and safety plan before work starts on site, and keep it up to date throughout the construction phase.

The pre-tender health and safety plan will include information which the client can provide about the existing site or buildings; details of significant risks identified in the design; construction materials which could be hazardous to site personnel; and operational hazards on an occupied site.

## *Return envelope*

Each contractor should be provided with a pre-addressed envelope clearly marked 'Tender for ...'. They are to be marked so that they will be easily recognized and not opened too early or by the wrong person. Some clients insist that the contractor's name must not appear on the envelope, in order to avoid any opportunity for tampering with a particular tender.

The Construction Industry Board, CIB, has published a comprehensive list of tender enquiry documents in its 'Code of Practice for the Selection of Main Contractors' 1997.

# 5

## *Estimating methods*

---




*'Brian does his estimates on the back of a cigarette packet'*

### **Introduction**

During the first half of the twentieth century six methods of estimating were used (Fig. 5.1). The methods are much the same today. The main difference is the current popularity of elemental cost models, which are used by quantity surveyors and contractors alike, in advising clients on their likely building costs, and helping designers to work within a budget.

Methods of estimating, used in the early stages of cost planning, depend on reliable historical cost data whereas an analytical approach to estimating is based on



**Fig. 5.1** Estimating methods in 1930s and today

applying current prices for resources to a well-developed design. A contractor may use a combination of estimating methods in developing a cost for a design and build project. For example, a client could be given a cost range for construction using the unit method and an elemental cost plan would be produced when the client’s outline brief is received. Approximate (or builder’s) quantities are used to produce a formal tender and when a contractor has received an order a full bill of quantities may be written for financial control during construction. The two main benefits of cost planning are:

1. To ensure tenders received do not exceed the budget. This is achieved by making design decisions early with advice from the cost team. Changes made early in the design process can be accommodated without too much affect on other elements.

## Estimating and Tendering for Construction Work

2. To collect cost information from a number of buildings, at various stages of development, thus improving the quality of cost data for future projects.

In some sectors of construction, *cost limits* have traditionally been applied well before a scheme is well defined. This can sometimes lead to unrealistic targets that can produce poor designs, to the detriment of the building's functionality. For example, in public schemes a great deal of effort is given to driving down floor areas. A school library could be located in a wide corridor or hospital consultants might be expected to share open-plan offices.

In recognition of these problems the concept of 'value for money' has been adopted. In the case of new hospitals, the Government has pledged more money to pay for 'consumerism', which for hospitals means more friendly spaces and more space around patients' beds. Nevertheless, central government still sets challenging targets for public buildings and value for money is appraised during an examination of tenders submitted.

The first step in cost planning is to advise a client of a budget at the inception of a project. An example of a development budget for construction costs is given in Fig. 5.2. Once preliminary drawings have been produced, a cost plan can be produced. The contractor is in the unique position of having detailed knowledge of current prices for all the resources used in construction. The Private Quantity Surveyor (PQS) has the benefit of rates submitted in priced bills of quantities from a broad selection of contractors although he must be aware that rates do not necessarily reflect the actual cost of individual items of work.

The final cost of construction may be different from the forecast, for many reasons, namely:

1. The type of building; schools may be easier to predict than a bridge, the extent of repairs in a maintenance contract can be difficult to foresee;
2. The effect of competition in the market;
3. The amount and quality of historical data available;
4. The amount of design information available;
5. The performance of the design team;
6. The nature of the workplace in terms of weather, ground conditions, resource prices and other uncertainties;
7. Changes introduced by the client;
8. The estimator's skill and method used.

The degree of certainty increases as the design stages evolve. Figure 5.3 illustrates a diminishing cost range for a project from inception (setting a budget) to agreement of final account.

The contractor's estimator has the dual roles of forecasting the cost of construction and advising how competing organizations will bid for the same job. Although

## St John's Church

## Development Financial Summary

January 2004

| New Church Hall (GIFA: 200 m <sup>2</sup> ) and refurbishment of Church |                            |                                           | Budget         | Actual cost | Notes                                           |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------------------|----------------|-------------|-------------------------------------------------|
|                                                                         | <b>Total costs</b>         |                                           | <b>315 165</b> |             |                                                 |
| 1                                                                       | Development costs          | Concept architect                         | 6 500          |             | Concept architect taking early retirement       |
|                                                                         |                            | Planning consent fees                     | 600            |             | Check for other application                     |
|                                                                         |                            | Building regulation fees                  | 1 500          |             | Check for other application                     |
|                                                                         |                            | Additional insurances during construction | 500            |             | Amount not known                                |
|                                                                         |                            | Photocopying costs                        | 150            |             | Tender documents                                |
| 2                                                                       | Professional fees          | Architect – pre-contract                  | 9 000          |             |                                                 |
|                                                                         |                            | Architect – post-contract                 | 10 000         |             | Includes inspection role                        |
|                                                                         |                            | Structural engineer                       | 3 850          |             | Includes unrecoverable VAT                      |
|                                                                         |                            | Planning supervisor                       | 600            |             |                                                 |
|                                                                         |                            | Quantity surveyor                         | 2 250          |             | Produce valuations and value variations         |
|                                                                         |                            | Risk assessment – fire                    | 650            |             | Develop spec for fire alarms                    |
| 3                                                                       | Construction costs         | Main contract                             | 320 565        |             | New hall and church refurbishment excluding VAT |
| 4                                                                       | Value added tax            | Unrecoverable VAT                         | 16 000         |             | Refurb portion of the works                     |
| 5                                                                       | Direct suppliers – fit out | Costs for sanctuary furniture             | 11 000         |             | Self-financing (see 6)                          |
|                                                                         |                            | Refurbish kneelers                        | 3 000          |             |                                                 |
|                                                                         |                            | Loose furniture                           | 5 000          |             |                                                 |
| 6                                                                       | Cost recovery              | Sale of land (old hall)                   | –65 000        |             | Net income from sale and agent's fee            |
|                                                                         |                            | Donations for sanctuary furniture         | –11 000        |             | £9 400 so far pledged or banked                 |

Fig. 5.2 Example of a development budget

Estimating and Tendering for Construction Work




Fig. 5.3 Degree of certainty for a typical construction project

the commercial tender is the responsibility of management, the estimator must tell his managers how market trends will affect the prices, particularly where sub-contracting has a strong influence on the tenders.

Single-rate approximate estimating

Unit of accommodation method

This method is commonly used by national bodies such as the education and health services at the inception stage of construction. If a client has an amount of money to spend (a budget) then it would be possible to consider the likely number of functional units which can be provided. From experience, it might be found that the cost of providing a study bedroom in student accommodation is £20 000. Using this figure an expenditure of £12 million would provide accommodation for approximately 600 students. On the other hand if the number of units is known, a budget cost (usually expressed as a cost range) can be calculated.

Providing there are recent comparable data available, the unit method is useful where a simple and quick cost range is needed in the early stages. It is difficult, however, to adjust the costs for specific projects, in different locations, with varying ground conditions and so on.

## *Floor area method*

The main reason for the popularity of the floor area method is its simplicity. There are few rules to remember and the cost per square metre is well understood by property developers. A proposed building is measured at each floor level (between inside faces of external walls); no deductions are made for internal walls, stairs or lift zones. Previous similar building costs are used by dividing the construction cost by the internal floor area. Adjustments can be made for location and inflation; but specification adjustments are much more difficult to estimate. Subjective judgments are made for size, shape, number of storeys, services, ground conditions and standard of finishes. A separate assessment should be made for external works, demolitions, incoming services and drainage which can be significantly different for similar buildings.

There are many buildings where the unit of accommodation method is impracticable; such as warehouse projects or open-plan offices. In these cases the superficial floor area method is found to be reliable with an accuracy of 10% to 15%. This method also works well with certain external works contracts such as concrete paving or macadam surfacing.

Sometimes contractors are asked to quote for building work using sketch drawings and a square metre price. It is unlikely that a contractor would risk signing a contract on this basis. First a clear scope of works would be needed together with a site survey and soil investigation report. The price must accurately reflect the amount and specification of works.

## *Building volume method*

There are several methods, which use the volume of a building as the cost yardstick, but they are not widely used today. In some European countries, architects and engineers are familiar with building costs expressed as cubic metre prices. In Germany, there are publications, which list typical building costs in terms of their volume, and the procedure for calculating volumes is given in a DIN standard.

# **Multiple-rate approximate estimating**

## *Elemental cost plans*

A cost plan is prepared from the designer's preliminary drawings. It is a list of the elements of a building such as substructure, frame and upper floors, each with its share of the total budget cost (see Fig. 5.4).

*Estimating and Tendering for Construction Work***CB Construction Limited, Northbridge****Proposed Workshop for Fast Transport Limited**

|                             |              |
|-----------------------------|--------------|
| <b>GIFA (m<sup>2</sup>)</b> | <b>2 310</b> |
|-----------------------------|--------------|

|          | <b>Element</b>                | <b>Cost £/m<sup>2</sup></b> | <b>Element cost</b> |
|----------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|
| <b>1</b> | <b>Substructure</b>           | 65                          | 151 210             |
| <b>2</b> | <b>Superstructure</b>         |                             |                     |
|          | Frame                         | 66                          | 153 280             |
|          | Roof coverings                | 32                          | 74 560              |
|          | Roof drainage                 | 4                           | 9 450               |
|          | External walls                | 33                          | 75 410              |
|          | Windows                       | 13                          | 29 550              |
|          | External doors                | 5                           | 11 850              |
|          | Internal walls                | 7                           | 15 201              |
|          | Internal doors                | 6                           | 13 541              |
| <b>3</b> | <b>Internal finishes</b>      |                             |                     |
|          | Wall finishes                 | 11                          | 24 856              |
|          | Floor finishes                | 4                           | 8 513               |
|          | Ceiling finishes              | 4                           | 8 145               |
| <b>4</b> | <b>Fittings and furniture</b> | 2                           | 3 990               |
| <b>5</b> | <b>Services</b>               |                             |                     |
|          | Sanitary appliances           | 3                           | 6 050               |
|          | Internal drainage             | —                           | inc                 |
|          | Hot and cold water            | —                           | inc                 |
|          | Heating                       | 12                          | 28 560              |
|          | Electrical installation       | 9                           | 21 650              |
|          | BWIC                          | 1                           | 1 520               |
| <b>6</b> | <b>External works</b>         |                             |                     |
|          | Site works                    | 39                          | 89 525              |
|          | Drainage                      | 11                          | 25 140              |
|          | External services             | 3                           | 7 520               |
| <b>7</b> | <b>Preliminaries</b>          | 40                          | 92 850              |
| <b>8</b> | <b>Contingencies</b>          | 16                          | 37 150              |
| <b>9</b> | <b>Budget total</b>           | <b>£ 385</b>                | <b>£ 889 521</b>    |

**Fig. 5.4** *Elemental cost plan for portal-framed building*

The forecast cost of each element can be calculated in two ways:

1. By measuring the approximate quantity of each element and applying a unit rate;
2. By calculating the proportion of total cost for each element on a similar building and using this ratio to divide the budget for the proposed building into its elemental breakdown.

The second method is better shown by example. If a contractor has built some portal-framed factories he will know the costs of each element and can express this information as costs for each unit of floor area. Figure 5.5 illustrates a typical analysis for a factory building. The site team has been asked to feed back cost information to the estimator by converting package values to elemental costs.

A cost plan for another similar factory can be generated by multiplying each rate by the new floor area. Figure 5.6 shows the second factory which the contractor will further adjust for inflation, and significant specification changes. Typical examples would be the number of sanitary appliances, internal doors, roller shutter doors and ground improvements. In this example the contractor was confident about this approach because he found the floor area and wall to floor ratio to be similar to the earlier factory.

If a budget is wanted for another factory with a much smaller floor area, say 1200 m<sup>2</sup>, for example, then a different approach would be needed, since the wall/floor ratio will be greater. The estimator should look at some elements such as external walls and apply a rate per square metre. The preliminaries cannot be assessed using the floor area either. An allowance for preliminaries should be calculated using the cost per week of time-related costs for a similar factory and multiplying by the duration for the new scheme. In this way, a combination of historical data (the cost of elements per square metre of floor area) and calculated costs for certain elements is used.

Contractors and PQSs are becoming more adept at using this method and have adapted the basic principles for computer systems. A spreadsheet template can store the information shown in Fig. 5.6 and the effect of changes can be seen immediately they are made. In fact computers are now used to produce sophisticated budgets for clients at the early stages of design.

## **Approximate quantities**

There are many ways in which approximate quantities are used depending on who uses them and for what purpose. A PQS may want an alternative estimating technique to check cost forecasts before tenders are returned. Measurements will be concentrated into as few items as possible for grouped work components. A simple example is a cavity wall measured and priced with both skins included

*Estimating and Tendering for Construction Work*

**CB Construction Limited, Northbridge  
Factory for Hitech Cables Limited**

**COST FEEDBACK**

|          |                               | <b>GIFA (m<sup>2</sup>)</b> | <b>3 120</b>                |
|----------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
|          | <b>Element</b>                | <b>Element cost</b>         | <b>Rate £/m<sup>2</sup></b> |
| <b>1</b> | <b>Substructure</b>           | 186 450                     | 60                          |
| <b>2</b> | <b>Superstructure</b>         |                             |                             |
|          | Frame                         | 207 410                     | 66                          |
|          | Roof coverings                | 120 360                     | 39                          |
|          | Roof drainage                 | 11 520                      | 4                           |
|          | External walls                | 96 580                      | 31                          |
|          | Windows                       | 23 950                      | 8                           |
|          | External doors                | 16 580                      | 5                           |
|          | Internal walls                | 8 780                       | 3                           |
|          | Internal doors                | 15 340                      | 5                           |
| <b>3</b> | <b>Internal finishes</b>      |                             |                             |
|          | Wall finishes                 | 17 860                      | 6                           |
|          | Floor finishes                | 10 050                      | 3                           |
|          | Ceiling finishes              | 5 960                       | 2                           |
| <b>4</b> | <b>Fittings and furniture</b> | 7 250                       | 2                           |
| <b>5</b> | <b>Services</b>               |                             |                             |
|          | Sanitary appliances           | 7 410                       | 2                           |
|          | Internal drainage             | inc                         | —                           |
|          | Hot and cold water            | inc                         | —                           |
|          | Heating                       | 25 550                      | 8                           |
|          | Electrical installation       | 36 870                      | 12                          |
|          | BWIC                          | 3 630                       | 1                           |
| <b>6</b> | <b>External works</b>         |                             |                             |
|          | Site works                    | 126 550                     | 41                          |
|          | Drainage                      | 33 210                      | 11                          |
|          | External services             | 5 120                       | 2                           |
| <b>7</b> | <b>Preliminaries</b>          | 144 550                     | 46                          |
| <b>8</b> | <b>Contingencies</b>          | 56 280                      | 18                          |
| <b>9</b> | <b>Budget total</b>           | <b>£ 1 167 260</b>          | <b>£ 374</b>                |

**Fig. 5.5** *Elemental cost plan for building under construction*

in the unit rate. The rate will include forming the cavity, wall ties, plastering and pointing. Rates for composite items can be found in price books, calculated from rates in priced bills of quantities or calculated from first principles. A contractor needs to produce bills of approximate quantities when tendering for work based

CB Construction Limited, Northbridge

COST FEEDBACK

NEW PROJECT

|   | Element                       | Hitech Cables |                       | Pluto Blinds |
|---|-------------------------------|---------------|-----------------------|--------------|
|   |                               | GIFA          | 3 120                 | 2 860        |
|   | Element                       | Element cost  | Cost £/m <sup>2</sup> | New budget   |
| 1 | <b>Substructure</b>           | 186 450       | 60                    | 170 913      |
| 2 | <b>Superstructure</b>         |               |                       |              |
|   | Frame                         | 207 410       | 66                    | 190 126      |
|   | Roof coverings                | 120 360       | 39                    | 110 330      |
|   | Roof drainage                 | 11 520        | 4                     | 10 560       |
|   | External walls                | 96 580        | 31                    | 88 532       |
|   | Windows                       | 23 950        | 8                     | 21 954       |
|   | External doors                | 16 580        | 5                     | 15 198       |
|   | Internal walls                | 8 780         | 3                     | 8 048        |
|   | Internal doors                | 15 340        | 5                     | 14 062       |
| 3 | <b>Internal finishes</b>      |               |                       |              |
|   | Wall finishes                 | 17 860        | 6                     | 16 372       |
|   | Floor finishes                | 10 050        | 3                     | 9 213        |
|   | Ceiling finishes              | 5 960         | 2                     | 5 463        |
| 4 | <b>Fittings and furniture</b> | 7 250         | 2                     | 6 646        |
| 5 | <b>Services</b>               |               |                       |              |
|   | Sanitary appliances           | 7 410         | 2                     | 6 793        |
|   | Internal drainage             | inc           | inc                   | inc          |
|   | Hot and cold water            | inc           | inc                   | inc          |
|   | Heating                       | 25 550        | 8                     | 23 421       |
|   | Electrical installation       | 36 870        | 12                    | 33 798       |
|   | BWIC                          | 3 630         | 1                     | 3 328        |
| 6 | <b>External works</b>         |               |                       |              |
|   | Site works                    | 126 550       | 41                    | 116 004      |
|   | Drainage                      | 33 210        | 11                    | 30 443       |
|   | External services             | 5 120         | 2                     | 4 693        |
| 7 | <b>Preliminaries</b>          | 144 550       | 46                    | 132 504      |
| 8 | <b>Contingencies</b>          | 56 280        | 18                    | 51 590       |
| 9 | <b>Budget total</b>           | £ 1 167 260   | £ 374                 | £ 1 069 988  |

Fig. 5.6 Elemental cost plan for similar factory building

on drawings and specifications. He will seldom allow the entire ancillary and subsidiary work items found in the standard method of measurement; but must be careful to tell sub-contractors the assumptions made. There is a strong case for attaching a preamble on the rules of measurement used, so any misunderstandings and disputes will be reduced (Figs 5.7a and 5.7b).

The accuracy of this method is related to how far the design has developed. At least the quantities are based on the planned construction and not a previous job and realistic allowances are made for plan shape, height of building, type of ground, quality of finishes etc. For these reasons it is widely used and being developed with computer systems using database and spreadsheet software to produce standard bills for repetitive building types. The danger is the cost calculated using approximate quantities can appear to be as accurate as a full bill of quantities based on working drawings. It is more likely to be an underestimate of the cost of construction unless a generous contingency is added for small components, fittings, fixings and design development.

[illegible]

**Fig. 5.7a** *Example of ‘builder’s quantities’*

|                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     |                                 |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Factory for Hitech Cables Limited                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | February 2004                   |
| PREAMBLE TO BILL OF QUANTITIES                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |                                 |
| EXCAVATION WORKS                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |                                 |
| The following information is provided to outline the location and layout of the excavation works:                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |                                 |
| (a) Drawing 3409/1                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | Site plan                       |
| (b) Drawing 3409/2                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | Details of foundations          |
| (c) Drawing 3409/4                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | Details of ground slab and beam |
| (d) Drawing 3409/7                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | Details of machine pits         |
| (e) Ground investigation report                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     |                                 |
| Excavation work has been measured under the following headings, followed by item coverage.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |                                 |
| ITEM COVERAGE                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |                                 |
| Excavation rates to include:                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |                                 |
| <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Excavation in any type of subsoil to the depths shown on the drawings.</li><li>2. Dealing with surface water affecting the excavations.</li><li>3. Dealing with ground water entering the excavations.</li><li>4. Excavating over or around existing services.</li><li>5. Any extra width of working space needed for sub-structure work.</li></ol>        |                                 |
| Excavate to red level                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |                                 |
| <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. The quantity of excavation includes an allowance of 500 mm for working space. from the outside face of external walls.</li><li>2. The depth of excavation is not stated.</li><li>3. Any necessary earthwork support is deemed to be included in the rates.</li><li>4. Levelling and compacting the ground is deemed to be included in the rates.</li></ol> |                                 |

Fig. 5.7b Example of preamble for 'builder's quantities'

In common with all approximate estimating techniques there are some difficulties which need to be recognized when advising clients. Some of the difficulties to be faced are:

1. The reliability of historical data must always be questioned.
2. Preliminaries are usually unique to a particular job and should be calculated whenever there is deviation from an identical scheme.
3. Incoming services are seldom the same on different sites and can only be assessed after detailed consultation with service providers.
4. Contract conditions can vary markedly between projects; the requirements for bonds, insurances and liquidated damages can be particularly onerous.
5. The contingency sum for design development must be estimated for each job.

## **Analytical estimating**

Analytical estimating is a method for determining unit rates by examining individual resources and the amounts needed for each unit of work. This method for pricing bills of quantities is described in the CIOB Code of Estimating Practice, in four stages:

1. Establish all-in rates for the individual resources in terms of a rate per hour for labour, a rate per hour for items of plant and the cost per unit of material delivered and unloaded at the site.
2. Select methods and outputs to calculate net unit rates to set against items in the bill of quantities.
3. Add to the net cost project overheads, contingencies, inflation and risk.
4. Summarize resources and prepare reports for management.

The ability to analyse unit rates is an important skill for all those engaged in construction. Quantity surveyors and architects may need to value variations using clause 13.5.1.3 of the Standard Form of Building Contract. This states ‘where the work is not of similar character to work set out in the contract bills the work shall be valued at fair rates and prices’. This presumably means a properly built-up unit rate. Contractors rely on the pricing carried out by their sub-contractors for an increasing share of the work. A contractor’s estimator should be able to build up rates for his direct work and be able to check the rates offered by sub-contractors.

Analytical pricing of bills of quantities is more than just applying resources to items of work to produce a unit rate. The constituents of a rate are inserted in the bill; and totalled for each page, each section, and carried to the summary, so that the contractor has a complete picture of the resource costs at the final review meeting. Figure 5.8 shows a typical printout from a contractor’s bill where the rates and totals are shown between the item descriptions. Figure 5.9 is an example of a contractor’s bill of quantities priced analytically using a spreadsheet package.

The benefits of analytical pricing of bills of quantities are:

1. The total cost of labour is needed to calculate the cost of insurances, transport of operatives, small tools and equipment, and workforce levels.
2. The breakdown of resource costs is needed to calculate the allowance for firm price tenders.
3. Labour and plant totals for elements of the work are used to calculate activity durations for the tender programme.
4. A breakdown of prices is needed in each trade to make comparisons between direct work and labour-only sub-contracts.
5. The costs of resources are needed to calculate the cost commitment cashflow forecast.

## Factory for Hitech Cables Limited

February 2004

| Description                                       | Quant    |          | Unit     | Rate           | Total            |
|---------------------------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------------|------------------|
| Breakdown                                         | Lab rate | Plt rate | Mat rate | Sub rate       |                  |
|                                                   | LAB      | PLT      | MAT      | SUB            |                  |
| a Excavate to reduce level                        |          |          | 332      | m <sup>2</sup> | 4.00 1 328.00    |
|                                                   | 1.14     | 2.86     |          |                |                  |
|                                                   | 378.48   | 949.52   |          |                |                  |
| b Excavate for foundations ne<br>1.0 m deep       |          |          | 248      | m <sup>3</sup> | 5.25 1 302.00    |
|                                                   | 1.71     | 3.54     |          |                |                  |
|                                                   | 424.08   | 877.92   |          |                |                  |
| c Excavate machine pits ne<br>4.0 m deep          |          |          | 112      | m <sup>3</sup> | 6.63 742.56      |
|                                                   | 2.29     | 4.34     |          |                | —                |
|                                                   | 256.48   | 486.08   |          |                | —                |
| d Disposal of surplus from<br>site                |          |          | 445      | m <sup>3</sup> | 17.91 7 969.95   |
|                                                   | 5.71     | 12.20    |          |                | —                |
|                                                   | 2 540.95 | 5 429.00 |          |                | —                |
| e Backfilling with selected<br>excavated material |          |          | 247      | m <sup>3</sup> | 4.34 1 071.98    |
|                                                   | 1.14     | 3.20     |          |                | —                |
|                                                   | 281.58   | 790.40   |          |                | —                |
| f DOT type 1 under slab<br>400 mm thick           |          |          | 1 330    | m <sup>3</sup> | 20.09 26 719.70  |
|                                                   | 1.14     | 3.20     | 15.75    |                |                  |
|                                                   | 1 516.20 | 4 256.00 | 20 947.5 |                |                  |
| <b>Total to summary</b>                           |          |          |          |                | <b>39 134.19</b> |
| Breakdown                                         | 5 398    | 12 789   | 20 948   |                | 39 134           |

Fig. 5.8 Contractor's bill of quantities priced analytically

- Adjustments can be made to any part of the estimate right up to the submission date.
- The resource breakdowns will be used on site for post-tender cost control, bonus systems, monitoring and forward costing.

**Fig. 5.9** Contractor's spreadsheet for weighbridge foundation

Most contractors know the benefits of analytical estimating but sometimes have difficulty finding time to apply the technique to all tenders. The two main problems are that *all* the rates must be priced analytically for the system to work, and many extra calculations are needed to extend the rates to totals. A computer estimating system is designed to overcome these difficulties and will produce the resource summaries automatically.

Unit rate pricing of a bill of quantities is carried out to certain conventions; those which are expected by the client's representative, and those which the contractor has developed. The notes at the beginning of a bill of quantities usually include instructions such as:

1. All rates shall be inclusive of labour, materials, transport, plant, tools, equipment, establishment and overhead charges, and all associated costs, margins and profit.
2. All items shall be priced; the value of any items unpriced shall be deemed to be included elsewhere in the bill of quantities.

The contractor, on the other hand, is likely to produce rates which exclude:

1. General overheads and establishment charges;
2. Profit, which can only be calculated after the net estimate is complete;
3. Restrictions, which apply to more than one item such as difficult access, difficult handling and protection;
4. Plant, which is common to several activities such as compressors, hoists, mixers, dumpers and cranes.

Contractors may include a nominal mark-up or 'spread' to the rates, which can be supplemented by sums in the preliminaries part of the bill when the true overheads and profit are known after the final review meeting. A computer-aided estimating system would allow some of the overheads and profit to be spread over various parts of the bill of quantities. For example, a contractor might want to add 20% to the earthworks rates. This could improve the cashflow position of the project but would put the contractor at risk if the extent of earthworks reduced.

There are many PQSs and civil engineers who would want to introduce analytical bills. This would be a bill format with extra columns for labour, plant, materials, sub-contractors, and overheads/profit, which would be submitted by the lowest tenderer before entering into a contract. The client's consultants argue that although contractors may resist this duty to reveal confidential information, the idea has the following advantages:

1. There would be a clearer basis from which to value variations.
2. The settlement of final accounts could be based on an examination of which elements had changed, and the effect on the programme may be clearer.

## *Estimating and Tendering for Construction Work*

3. The design team could see where they had chosen designs which were labour-intensive.
4. If the analysis was extended into valuations, the contractor could use the data for his own cost monitoring systems without doubling his effort.

There may be contractors who will object to giving a full breakdown of their rates. In order to comply with the instructions to tender, they may insert all their rates in the sub-contract column, and argue that the work will be sub-contracted. Change could come through trust – brought about by partnerships between clients and contractors.

## **Operational estimating**

Operational estimating is a form of analytical estimating where all the resources needed for part of the construction are considered together. For example, an estimator pricing manholes using the Civil Engineering Standard Method of Measurement (CESMM) needs to gauge the time taken to build a complete manhole, whereas a building estimator is expected to price all the individual items for excavation, concrete work, brickwork etc., measured under the rules of the appropriate work sections.

The following examples show some of the many other situations where work is priced as whole packages:

1. Excavation including trimming, consolidation and disposal;
2. Placing concrete in floor slabs including fabric reinforcement, membranes, isolation joints and trowelling;
3. Formwork to complex structures including a unique design, hired-in forms and falsework;
4. Drain runs including excavation, earthwork support, bedding, pipework and backfill;
5. Repairs which often involve more than one trade or a multi-skilled operative;
6. Roof trusses including the use of a crane, a suitable gang of operatives and temporary works.

It must be said that building estimators have become skilled at applying production outputs to units of work and then occasionally employing operational estimating techniques to check the results. Civil engineers, on the other hand, usually examine methods and durations before pricing the work. This is because different construction methods for civil engineering can have a significant effect on costs. There is also a greater reliance on the specification, the drawings and preambles which give the item coverage.

The term 'operational estimating' is often applied to methods that rely on a forecast of anticipated durations of activities, and a resource levelling exercise. The

estimator must start with an appraisal of the details on the drawings, the extent of the work described in the specification and bill, and a study of the site conditions. Next, the sequence of work will be found by considering the restraints brought about by site layout, client's requirements, the design, time of year, and temporary works. The critical operation at each stage of the construction can then be plotted and the rest of the activities sketched in. Labour and plant schedules can be drawn up for direct work, specialist sub-contractors will be asked for their advice about their work. It may be necessary to change the programme if there are any unwanted peaks and troughs in the resources needed on site. The estimator will then have a list of resources for each operation from which to calculate costs. This approach will often produce a cost based on a particular method for carrying out the work. If this has brought about a saving in costs the estimator will prepare a method statement so site staff can understand the assumptions made in preparing the estimate.

When a building estimator uses operational estimating with a traditional bill of quantities he has great difficulty dividing the cost of a piece of work among all the related bill items. Where, for example, should an estimator put the rate for casting a concrete floor which includes a DPM, fabric reinforcement, power floating and sealer? The PQS often insists on rates being inserted against items that have a value, so there is better financial control during construction. Clearly this is not a problem with a contractor's bill of quantities produced for design and build or plan and specification projects, because there is no bill of quantities submitted. Another solution to the problem for building estimators would be to rough price the bill, early on in the tender period and adjust the balancing sums of money when operational methods highlight greater or lower costs. This is commonly done during the final review stage, and the rough pricing technique is popular with those using computer systems.

The advantages of operational estimating are:

1. Activities are examined to select those methods that are practicable.
2. Outputs are based on a programme, which includes holiday breaks, time of year, idle time, facilities available on site etc., giving a more realistic guide to the time needed for labour and plant.
3. Alterations and repair work are usually measured as global items which can be overpriced if all the possible trades are examined separately.
4. In a competitive market, the estimator may only look at the labour and plant needed for the core item of work; such as the brickwork in a manhole assuming the bricklayer can fix the cover while finishing the brickwork and the excavator can dig the pit when it digs the pipe trench.

Figure 5.9 is a contractor's bill of quantities for a weighbridge foundation priced analytically. The estimator used an all-in rate of £12.00/hour for all his labour and applied his usual labour outputs from his tables of constants.

*Estimating and Tendering for Construction Work*

The site manager has kept records from previous similar jobs which show that this type of weighbridge foundation usually takes two weeks to construct with four men, and a return visit is needed for two men to grout in the equipment. A backacter and roller costing £28.00/hour is needed for three days. This gives the following net cost for labour and plant:


|        |      |   |         |   |          |   |        |   |                  |
|--------|------|---|---------|---|----------|---|--------|---|------------------|
| Labour | 4 nr | × | 2 weeks | × | 45 hours | × | £12.00 | = | 4 320.00         |
|        | 2 nr | × | 2 days  | × | 9 hours  | × | £12.00 | = | 432.00           |
|        |      |   |         |   |          |   | Total  | = | <u>£4 752.00</u> |
| Plant  | 1 nr | × | 3 days  | × | 8 hours  | × | £28.00 | = | <u>£672.00</u>   |

It can be seen from the comparison that when the project is assessed as a whole, the net cost of labour and plant is more than the total from the unit rate analysis (Fig. 5.9). The estimator may have used his normal constants for labour and plant without checking whether there is a continuous flow of work for labour and plant resources. Perhaps the site manager should next look at materials wastage that he has experienced, in particular blinding concrete and fabric reinforcement, which could be significantly higher for such a small contract.

# 6

## Contractor selection and decision to tender

---



*'Let me run that through our computer'*

### Introduction

How does a construction organization maintain its turnover? Some enquiries arrive 'out of the blue' arising from hearsay, the *Yellow Pages*, or advertising. Others are sent on the strength of earlier successful contracts or following a direct salesman approach. New markets can be entered by replying to invitations for open tenders; some opportunities can be created by speculation. The greater part of work carried out in the construction industry is secured through a process of tendering which is intended to be an unbiased means of selecting a contractor to carry out work. The client through an evaluation of his needs determines the criteria for

## *Estimating and Tendering for Construction Work*

selection. The aims of selection are to find a contractor who can supply a product for a competitive price, and can demonstrate the following:

1. A reputation for good quality workmanship and efficient organization.
2. The ability to complete on time.
3. A strong financial standing with a good business record.
4. The expertise suited to the size and type of project.
5. An understanding of the requirements of the scheme in terms of the type of work, the quality expected and the need to achieve target completion dates.

The construction industry is rarely concerned with providing off-the-shelf products; most projects involve unique designs, with purpose-written specifications to be finished in a time which is often difficult to predict. Construction clients must balance the importance of cost, quality and time because it is rare for all three to be satisfied. A client can reduce the financial risks by fully designing the project before selecting contractors.

It is not only clients who need to establish the financial standing of the other party. The contractor will have to be satisfied that the client has the ability to pay, and on time. In the past contractors have not been so careful about selecting their clients. This has changed with the introduction of bonds and guarantees which are now used by both parties to contracts. The word 'trust' is unfortunately absent from conventional agreements, and lawyers are often the main beneficiaries. Recent partnership agreements have been developed using large-scale modifications to standard forms, or occasionally the New Engineering Contract (NEC) Engineering and Construction contract that is plainly written with mutual understanding at its core. The report of Sir Michael Latham in 1994 expresses concern that endless changes to the existing conditions will not avoid confrontation.

Clearly tendering in a competitive marketplace is the norm and will remain the basis for procuring most construction work. Contractors and clients both see the need for longer-term relationships. Since the mid-1990s, partnering between the parties to a construction project has emerged as a route to better communications and a means to improve business performance. There are many forms of partnering, ranging from improved interaction in a traditional contract to long-term relationships using common objectives throughout the supply chain in order to deliver continuous improvement over time. As a result it should be possible to secure lower costs, improved quality and a reasonable profit for everyone.

## **Competition and negotiation**

Contractors may be selected by competition or negotiation and sometimes by a combination of both. Open competition is an arrangement where an advertisement

in local newspapers or trade journals invites contractors to apply for tender documents. A deposit is usually required to ensure that only serious offers are made; presumably it is needed to cover the cost of copying the documents. Local authorities have been advised against open tendering because it often leads to excessive tender lists where the cost of abortive tendering is considerable. There are instances of selection criteria being applied after the tender has been submitted, so a bid could be rejected if a contractor does not belong to an approved trade association, for example, after he has submitted his tender. They argue that this method allows new contractors to join the market and increases the chance of gaining a low price. Regional and national contractors avoid this method because they can see no reason to compete against anyone who asks to be included on the tender list and later be subjected to the further hurdle of contract compliance clauses.

Selective tendering consists of drawing up a list of chosen firms and asking them to tender. It is by far the most common arrangement because it allows price to be the deciding criterion; all other selection factors will have been dealt with at the pre-qualification stage. There are three ways in which selective tendering lists are drawn up:

1. An advertisement may produce several interested contractors and suitable firms are selected to tender.
2. The consultants may contact those they would wish to put on an ad-hoc list.
3. Many local authorities and national bodies keep approved lists of contractors in certain categories, such as work type and cost range.

Contractors who ask to be included on select lists of tenderers are usually asked to provide information about their financial and technical performance, particularly about the type of work under consideration. The National Joint Consultative Committee for Building (NJCC) has written the 'Standard form of tendering questionnaire – private edition' so contractors can prepare answers to relevant questions in advance. The questions mainly deal with projects carried out during the previous three years. Once the form has been completed, it can be used for specific projects or for those compiling lists of selected contractors. Sir Michael Latham in his 1994 report recommended a single qualification document for contractors wanting to tender for public sector work. Recommendations for the use of a single qualification document were published by the Construction Industry Board (CIB) in its 1997 document 'Framework for a National Register of Contractors'.

For many years, the building industry has used the 'Code of Procedure for Single Stage Selective Tendering' (CPSSST) also published by the NJCC. It was replaced in 1997 with the CIB Code of Practice for the Selection of Main Contractors. These procedures follow a number of well-defined stages for

## *Estimating and Tendering for Construction Work*

pre-selection and tender stage actions. Their success relies on complete designs before tenders are invited and the use of standard forms of contract but can be used with other procurement systems. The following points illustrate the coverage of the codes:

1. Preliminary enquiry – contractors are given the opportunity to decide whether they wish to tender by receiving a preliminary enquiry letter, four to six weeks before the despatch of tender documents.
2. Number of tenderers – the recommended number of tenderers is a maximum of six (three or four for design and build) and further names could be held in reserve.
3. Tender documents – the aim of the documents is that all tenders will be received on the same basis so that competition is limited to price only.
4. Time for tendering – normally at least four working weeks should be allowed, and more time may be needed depending on the size and complexity of the project.
5. Qualified tenders – tenderers should not try to vary the basis of their tenders using qualifications. Queries or unacceptable contract conditions should be raised at least 10 days before tenders are due. The consultants can then tell all the tenderers of their decisions and if necessary extend the time for tendering. A contractor should be asked to withdraw significant qualifications or else face rejection. This is necessary to ensure tenders are received on a like-for-like basis.
6. Withdrawal of tenders – a tender may be accepted as long as it remains open; a definite period is usually stated in the tender documents. The tenderer may withdraw his offer before its acceptance, under English law.
7. Assessing tenders – the tenders should be opened as soon as possible after they are received. Priced bills may be submitted in a separate envelope by all the contractors, or more likely only the bills of the lowest tenderer will be called for and submitted within four working days. Once the contract has been let, every contractor should be issued with a list of tender prices. Alternatively, tender prices should be given in ascending order and the names listed in alphabetical order.
8. Examination and adjustment of priced bills – the PQS will treat the information in the tender documents as confidential and report errors in computation to the architect and client. There are two methods for dealing with errors. Alternative 1 gives the tenderer the opportunity to confirm his offer or withdraw it. Alternative 2 allows the contractor to confirm his offer or amend it to correct genuine errors. If the contractor amends his offer with a revised tender which is no longer the lowest, the tender of the lowest will be considered.
9. Negotiated reduction of tender – the code of procedure recognizes the need to look for savings in the cost of a project where the tender exceeds the

employer's budget. This can be achieved by negotiation with the lowest tenderer, or the next lowest if negotiations fail.

Two-stage selective tendering may be adopted as an alternative to single-stage selection when a contractor's assistance is needed during the design stage. The first stage will produce a competitive tender based on approximate bills of quantities using preliminary design information. The contractor selected at the first stage helps with design, programming, and cost comparisons, and submits a final tender for the works, without competition, based on the original pricing levels.

The NJCC has published codes of procedure for two-stage selective tendering and selective tendering for design and build. The principles are the same as those described for single-stage tendering. For design and build schemes the client must ascertain the design and build experience of each contractor and limit the number of tenderers to three, or four at the most, because there are large costs involved in preparing designs and cost proposals. Contractors must be told the basis for assessment where the price is not the sole basis for the award. The code suggests that the relative importance of cost, quality and time for construction should be included in the Employer's Requirements. An employer could, for example, state the target cost and time-scale in his tender documents so the principal criterion for selection will be the quality and appearance of the building.

When a contract is negotiated, a contractor is often selected on the basis of past performance, recommendation, familiarity with the work, or from previous experience with the client or his advisers. In certain circumstances only one contractor may be able to provide the service required as in the case of system building. It is more difficult for those in the public sector to negotiate because EC directives insist that projects over a specified value must be subject to competition. Negotiation allows early contractor selection where the extent of work is not fully known and time is of the essence, and more time would be wasted in preparing full tender documents.

The process of negotiation starts with an outline design and a pricing document such as a bill of approximate quantities. The contractor will insert rates which will be agreed by negotiation between the PQS and contractor's QS or estimator. Without competition the initial price may be higher than would be gained by other means, but this may not be a serious problem. An employer is often looking for other factors such as confidence, reliability, speed and experience of working with a known contractor.

Serial tenders allow a number of similar projects to be placed with a particular contractor and thereby provide the incentive of a continuous flow of work. The contractor is normally selected using a priced master bill. Separate contracts for each individual project can then be arranged using the priced master bill as a basis for pricing levels.

## **Abuse of tendering procedures**

The NJCC Codes, and Practice Notes, have encouraged all those involved in tendering to use fair and efficient methods which are the best and most professional techniques in use today. The prime aim is to select the right contractor who will give the client good value for money. Unfortunately, individual interests and lack of time can stand in the way of good practice, and the parties to a contract are often unclear about the true nature of the agreement. Some of the problems faced by the estimator are:

1. *Large tender lists* Open competition has been widely criticized in the construction industry, but it continues to be used, mainly by local authorities. They argue that there cannot be the suspicion of favouritism and the lowest possible price will be secured. They fail to recognize the advice of every committee and working party, which has looked at this matter since the early 1940s. The reports of the Simon (1944) and Banwell (1964) committees stress the need to avoid the temptation to rely on price alone; there should be a sensible number of competent firms selected who can comply with the quality and time requirements. Some clients impose performance bonds to make up for the failings in the system, thus adding to the cost of construction and hoping that a poor job can be corrected when a contractor fails to complete satisfactorily. With the high costs of tendering in mind, many reputable contractors will not willingly take part in open tendering, particularly where local authorities have been known to receive tenders from over 30 contractors. In one example an authority issued tender documents to 28 firms interested in tendering for a multi-storey car park using the design and build system. It is difficult to understand the logic of so many architects producing designs with such a small chance of success.
2. *Short tender periods* The time for tendering should be determined by three factors: the size of the project, the complexity of the project and the standard of the documents. In practice the design and tender documentation is often late with clients wanting to make a start on site quickly, thus eroding the time available for the estimate. A 'rough' estimate could be produced quickly but a contingency sum would be needed for unknown risks. Contractors would prefer to examine the project, the site, the documents and agree methods with the contract staff and sub-contractors, prepare a programme and look for tipping facilities. In fact the longer the tender period, the more likely it is that the contractor will find savings which would increase the possibility of winning the contract and may produce a better price for the client. The estimator will try to respond to such short tender periods by telephoning his enquiries to suppliers and sub-contractors, making use of information from previous jobs, manually or with the help of a computer. The depth of analysis will be

reduced, there is a greater risk of errors and the price is likely to be greater to reflect such problems.

3. *Tender documentation* The estimator should receive enough drawings to understand the nature and scope of the works. The minimums needed are elevations and floor plans to measure temporary works (such as scaffolding), site plans to consider materials access and distribution and component drawings where non-standard elements are to be priced. References to brand names and specialist suppliers should include current telephone numbers and addresses. Information must be provided about any restrictions which might affect the contractor's choice of method. The site investigation report (or extracts) should be sent to each contractor. With design and build projects, problems have arisen when all contractors have been expected to carry out their own site investigations – clearly an enormous waste of effort and a further burden on the already considerable costs of tendering.

Perhaps differences between documents might be expected at this stage, and so the bills of quantities are used to specify the amount and quality of the works. Discrepancies between the bill descriptions and specification clauses do cause problems but should reduce with the use of Coordinated Project Information (CPI). There will always be people who want to change the agreed conventions. The estimator needs to be alert to traps such as: 'earthwork support shall include all means of holding up the sides of excavations including sheet piling' (normally measurable) or 'hack off external render where necessary and renew' (*where necessary* could be small isolated sections or the whole wall if the contract administrator so decides). Amendments to the tender documents should be avoided but can be allowed early in the tender period. Once quotations have been received from suppliers and sub-contractors, changes will be difficult to build into the bid.

Estimating without bills of quantities is much more time consuming, not only because so much time is needed to take off quantities but enquiries to sub-contractors are delayed and the risk of errors is greater.

4. *Asking for tenders when the work is unlikely to proceed* There is a tradition in the construction industry for estimates to be given without charge to the client. This can be at great cost to unsuccessful contractors. Some have reported that it costs about 0.25% of the tender price to prepare a bid for a traditional lump-sum form of contract: a design and build tender can cost as much as 2%. Contractors will continue to accept this financial risk providing they are submitting tenders to clients who use selective tendering and eventually award a contract to one of the bidders.
5. *Qualified tenders and alternative bids* The tenderer should submit his bid without adding conditions to his offer. All contractors must consider the terms of their offers, and sometimes will not be able to comply fully with the instructions of the client. On the other hand they should recognize the need for a common

## Estimating and Tendering for Construction Work

basis from which the best bid can be selected. Contractors may produce an improvement to the design or see a method for completing quicker, and often can calculate an alternative price. Providing an offer is made which complies with the original brief, alternative tenders are considered by employers.

6. *Failure to notify results* A contractor can monitor his tender effectiveness when he receives information about his performance in relation to other tenderers. Tender prices should be published if a contractor is to review his suitability for the type and value of projects. Clients are becoming increasingly reluctant to publish figures because the lowest tenderer could attempt to recover the difference in value between his tender and the second lowest, either before the contract is awarded or later during the construction period. Contractors commonly ask for a briefing on their performance, but will not be told the other tender sums.
7. *Late receipt of tender documents* Estimators do their best to deal with requests for tenders sometimes at short notice, but when tender documents arrive later than promised their programme of work will be affected, and other opportunities to tender may be harmed. It has become common practice for tender submission dates to be held firm regardless of how late the tender documents are despatched.

## Decision to tender

All employees of the firm should be made aware that they have a part to play in capturing the opportunities that arise. Senior management will feed back knowledge of projects gained from conversations with prospective clients and partners in related professions at business and social events. Equally, a job surveyor may well gain knowledge picked up while having a pint with his opposite number from the PQS office. All such snippets of information should be fed to the central source and recorded. A list of expected tenders may become a formal report in bigger organizations so resources can be used effectively. Invitations to tender arrive at a contractor's office in a variety of ways and it is important that they should be channelled to a central source for collating and monitoring. Where the organization has a marketing section then this may be the most suitable location. Alternatively, they can be held within the estimating department.

Formal invitations to tender are normally communicated by either letter or telephone. It is to be hoped that the enquiry follows the format laid down in the CPSSST and communicated by letter or facsimile. Compliance with the recommendations given in the Code should be honoured by all parties. The client's professional adviser should provide in good time basic information about the

project and ask the contractor if he wishes to be considered for inclusion on a selective tender list. The contractor then has the opportunity to decide, knowing there will be a limit on the number of tenderers.

Regrettably, telephoned enquiries persist! The person answering the phone needs to ask for all the information he would have if a preliminary enquiry had been sent, as detailed in Appendix A of the CPSSST. He is sometimes asked for a decision immediately, which is usually 'Yes' because he knows that his boss can reverse the decision when the documents come in. It is suggested that a pad of forms be available by the telephone of all those likely to accept a call asking if the firm is willing to tender (see Fig. 6.1). The form contains some basic headings as an *aide-mémoire* to those receiving the request. An abstract from the forms and formal letters of invitation could be kept on a weekly report form.

The decision to tender should be made by the chief estimator or general manager using the following points:

1. Is the work of a type which the contractor has experience, both in winning tenders and completing profitably? Does it conflict with the company's objectives and future workload?
2. How many contractors will be invited to tender?
3. Has the contractor the necessary supervisory staff and labour available, he may not wish to recruit untried and unknown personnel in key positions?
4. Will the estimating department have staff available with suitable expertise for the type of work to be priced?
5. Does the location of the proposed site fit the organization's economic area of operation?
6. Are there too many risks in the technical and contractual aspects of the project?
7. Will suitable documents be produced for tender purposes? A busy estimating office may give priority to work that has been measured. Poor documentation might give a clue to the standard of working documents during construction.
8. Has enough time been given to prepare a sensible estimate?
9. What will be the cost of preparing the tender? A contractor might limit the number of design and construct tenders, for example, in order to limit his exposure to cost. In the majority of cases, these costs are not recoverable.

As a client needs to establish the contractor's financial standing, so in turn the contractor will need to be satisfied the client has the ability to pay, and on time. Similarly, as the contractor is investigated for performance on similar work, whether his management structure is satisfactory and his present resources can cope with the added workload, so too the contractor will need to consider experience of working with the architect, engineer, or quantity surveyor.

Estimating and Tendering for Construction Work

| CB CONSTRUCTION<br>PRELIMINARY TENDER ENQUIRY |                 |               |                        |
|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------|---------------|------------------------|
| Job title:                                    |                 | Location:     |                        |
|                                               |                 | Value:        |                        |
| Employer:                                     |                 | Architect:    |                        |
| Engineer:                                     |                 | QS:           |                        |
| Brief description:                            |                 |               |                        |
| Form of contract:                             |                 | Bills:        | yes / no               |
|                                               |                 | Fluctuations: | firm / fluct           |
|                                               |                 | Bond:         | yes / no               |
|                                               |                 | Damages:      | £                  per |
|                                               |                 | Nominations:  |                        |
| Programme:                                    | Tender due in:  |               | Start date:            |
|                                               | Tender due out: |               | Duration:              |
| Action taken:                                 |                 |               |                        |
| Comments:                                     |                 |               |                        |
| Signed:                                       |                 | Date:         |                        |
| Approved:                                     |                 | Date:         |                        |

Fig. 6.1 Preliminary enquiry information form

In Appendix A of the CPSSST the draft letter – Preliminary enquiry for invitation to tender – states: ‘... Your inability to accept will in no way prejudice your opportunities for tendering for further work under my/our direction ...’.

This is a plea for the contractor to give an honest answer without fear of being penalized in the future. The Code also states that a contractor, having signified initial agreement to tender, should honour that acceptance except in exceptional circumstances. The exceptions are not indicated but it would be reasonable to

withdraw if the contractor experienced a sudden increased workload or the documents arrived later than expected. Appendix B of the Code gives the wording for the letter sent to the contractors selected to tender. The model letter starts: 'Following your acceptance of the invitation to tender ...'. This is a loaded statement as all the contractor has done is to study the preliminary invitation setting out the basic facts of the proposed project and agreed to be considered for selection. Now he is told he has accepted and here are the documents. Notwithstanding the onus placed on the contractor to honour this obligation, now the full documentation is in his possession, he still has every right to confirm or decline to tender.

If the invitation to tender is to be declined, the client's adviser should be told immediately, preferably by phone giving the reasons, and the documents must be returned quickly so that another bid can be invited from a firm on the reserve list. If the decision is to proceed, the estimator should acknowledge the safe receipt of all the tender documents and confirm that a tender will be submitted.

## **Inspection of tender documents**

The arrival of the tender documents within a contractor's office invariably causes a stir; everyone is eager to have a look. The documents should be passed directly to the estimating department. The Code of Estimating Practice (COEP) states that they should be inspected by the person who will be responsible for preparing the estimate. This should be the head of department – the individual who takes the responsibility for the estimate – not the estimator who will later be appointed to deal with the task. It is most important that the early inspection is carried out by a person experienced in current procedures and documentation, and capable in decision making and effective in communicating with others.

The documents should first be checked that they accord with those listed in the letter of invitation, normally:

1. Two copies of the bills of quantities.
2. Two copies of the general arrangement drawings.
3. Two copies of the form of tender.
4. Addressed envelope for the return of tender (and priced bills if applicable).

If the documentation is not complete the fact should be reported immediately by telephone. If reference is made in the letter that certain sections of the bills will follow shortly and the tendering time is as stated in the preliminary invitation, an appeal should be made for a revision of time to comply with the CPSSST. This clearly states 'that the time for tendering should be calculated from the date of issue of the last section'.

The preliminaries sections of the bills need to be examined carefully at this stage, particularly the general and contractual particulars called for under

## *Estimating and Tendering for Construction Work*

A10–A37 SMM7. The drawings from which the bills were prepared should be listed in accordance with A11 and the drawings set out in General Rule 5 should be enclosed. Drawing number references should match those recorded, for example if the bills state drawing No. 90/3/2910C: if ‘D’ is supplied, then it must be questioned.

If further information is needed (to find the extent of temporary works, for example) more drawings may be sought. It is important that the estimator works from actual full-scale prints rather than making a visual inspection at the consultant’s office. As a general rule, clients issue all information that is relevant and available at the tender stage.

The contractor may already have a guide to the value of the project; if not, he could get a rough guide to the tender figure by applying approximate rates to the principal quantities. The initial inspection of the tender documents is completed by producing a tender information (enquiry record) form which is similar to the Preliminary Enquiry form but with more details of the estimated cost and contract details. The COEP provides a form for this purpose. The Tender Information form is a valuable source of information because it provides management with a summary of the tender which is being prepared and can be kept for all previous tenders whether successful or not.

## **Competition legislation**

The Competition Act 1998 is designed to make sure that businesses compete on a level footing by outlawing certain types of anti-competitive behaviour. The Office of Fair Trading (OFT) has strong powers to investigate businesses suspected of breaching the Act and to impose tough penalties on those that do.

All businesses, no matter how small, need to know about the Act – to avoid becoming a victim, and to avoid breaking the law. The Act should not be viewed in isolation. The Enterprise Act 2002 among other things introduces a cartel offence under which individuals who dishonestly take part in the most serious types of anti-competitive agreements may be criminally prosecuted.

In addition, as a result of amendments to the Company Directors Disqualification Act 1986 under the Enterprise Act 2002, company directors whose companies breach competition law (including the prohibitions in the Act) may be subject to Competition Disqualification Orders, which will prevent them from being concerned in the management of a company for a maximum of 15 years.

### *Prohibiting anti-competitive agreements*

The Competition Act 1998 came into force on 1 March 2000. It prohibits both informal and formal arrangements, whether or not they are in writing. So an

informal understanding where Companies A and B agree to match the prices of Company C will be caught in the same way as a formal agreement between competitors to set prices.

Although many different types of agreement are caught by the prohibition, the Act lists specific examples to which the prohibition particularly applies. These include:

- Agreeing to fix purchase or selling prices or other trading conditions;
- Agreeing to limit or control production, markets, technical development or investment;
- Agreeing to share markets or supply sources;
- Agreeing to make contracts subject to unrelated conditions;
- Agreeing to apply different trading conditions to equivalent transactions, thereby placing some parties at a competitive disadvantage.

Key aspects of the new legislation are:

- Anti-competitive agreements, cartels and abuses of a dominant position are now unlawful from the outset;
- Businesses which infringe the prohibitions are liable to financial penalties of up to 10% of UK turnover for up to three years;
- Competitors and customers are entitled to seek damages;
- The Director General of Fair Trading has new powers to step in at the outset to stop anti-competitive behaviour;
- Investigators are able to launch 'dawn raids', and to enter premises with reasonable force; and
- The new leniency policy will make it easier for cartels to be exposed.

The intention is to create a regulatory framework that is tough on those who seek to impair competition but allows those who do compete fairly the opportunity to thrive.

## *Cartels*

In its simplest terms, a cartel is an agreement between businesses not to compete with each other. The agreement is usually verbal and often informal.

Typically, cartel members may agree on:

- Prices.
- Output levels.
- Discounts.
- Credit terms.

## *Estimating and Tendering for Construction Work*

- Which customers they will supply.
- Which areas they will supply.
- Who should win a contract (bid rigging).

Cartels can occur in almost any industry and can involve goods or services at the manufacturing, distribution or retail level. However, some sectors are more susceptible to cartels than others because of the structure or the way in which they operate. For example, where:

- There are few competitors;
- The products have similar characteristics, leaving little scope for competition on quality or service;
- Communication channels between competitors are already established;
- The industry is suffering from excess capacity or there is general recession.

Cartels are a particularly damaging form of anti-competitive behaviour – taking action against them is one of the OFT's priorities under the Act. A business could be a victim of a cartel or could be breaking the law. Either way, it is vital that people know how cartels can affect their business.

A member of a cartel could be fined up to 10% of its UK turnover for up to three years. As a result of the Enterprise Act 2002, participation in cartel agreements may expose individuals responsible for those agreements to criminal sanctions. However, if a business ends its involvement and confesses to the OFT, it can be granted immunity or a significant reduction in any fine.

If there is a compliance programme in place this may be taken into account as a mitigating factor when calculating the financial penalty. The precise circumstances of the infringement, and in particular the efforts made by management to ensure that the programme has been properly implemented, will be carefully considered.

## *The Enterprise Act 2002*

The Enterprise Act received Royal Assent on 7 November 2002. It covers a range of measures to enhance enterprise through strengthening the UK's competition law framework, transforming the UK's approach to bankruptcy and corporate rescue, and empowering consumers.

The Act builds on the progress made by the Competition Act 1998. The substantive consumer and competition provisions of the Act came into force on 20 June 2003.

The measures in the Enterprise Act will empower consumers, modernize the insolvency regime so that it supports enterprise, and help to make UK markets

more competitive. The main reforms in the Act are: criminal sanctions with a maximum penalty of five years in prison to deter those individuals who dishonestly operate hardcore cartels – agreements to fix prices, share markets, limit production and rig bids. The offence will be tightly defined ensuring that honest businesspeople will have nothing to fear. US research shows that cartels raise the prices of the affected goods and services by 10% on average.

# 7

## *Project appreciation*

---

### **Introduction**

Following management's decision to tender, the tender documents are given to the estimator to prepare the estimate. He should read the documents to gain an overall understanding of the project. A decision can then be made about the help needed from other departments for planning, procurement and commercial appraisal.

If a bill of quantities is available, enquiry schedules can be drawn up immediately, and documents will be prepared for suppliers and sub-contractors (see Chapter 8). Enquiries need to be sent promptly so that specialists have enough time to prepare their quotations.

Once the enquiries are under way, the estimator will broaden his understanding of the project by scheduling principal quantities and PC and provisional sums; he will undertake visits to site and if necessary the offices of the consultants.

### **Estimate timetable**

For most tenders there is an absolute requirement to meet the submission date. The estimator must programme the activities needed to produce a tender to show how the deadline can be met and explain to other members of the team their part in the plan. Each project is different, and some dates such as those for the return of quotations require firm action to maintain the programme dates.

Time allowed for tendering is usually limited by the client's need to start a project quickly. If the design stage has been delayed it is often the tender stage that is shortened. Flexibility is needed to concentrate on the critical parts on the estimate preparation. The estimator can press on to complete his work with a day or so to spare for reconciling and checking the estimate. Much of the early part of the tender period is given over to the dispatch of enquiries to suppliers and sub-contractors and setting up job files when a computer system is used. Figure 7.1 shows a simple timetable for producing an estimate and tender. This programme is simple to produce using a 'blank' standard form because many of the activities are common to all tenders.

|                 |                    |
|-----------------|--------------------|
| CB CONSTRUCTION | ESTIMATE TIMETABLE |
|-----------------|--------------------|

|           |                  |        |         |
|-----------|------------------|--------|---------|
| Project : | Lifeboat station |        |         |
| Ref. No:  | T384             | Date : | 14.6.04 |

|                                            | June |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |   |   | July |   |   |   |   |    |  |  |
|--------------------------------------------|------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|---|---|------|---|---|---|---|----|--|--|
|                                            | 14   | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 1 | 2 | 5    | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 12 |  |  |
| Documents received                         | e    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |   |   |      |   |   |   |   |    |  |  |
| Decision making                            | e    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |   |   |      |   |   |   |   |    |  |  |
| Study documents                            |      | e  |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |   |   |      |   |   |   |   |    |  |  |
| Mark up enquiries                          |      | e  | e  |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |   |   |      |   |   |   |   |    |  |  |
| Dispatch enquiries                         |      |    | b  | b  | b  |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |   |   |      |   |   |   |   |    |  |  |
| Date for return of mat prices              |      |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |   |   |      |   |   |   |   |    |  |  |
| Date for return of s/c prices              |      |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |   |   |      |   |   |   |   |    |  |  |
| Computer entry                             |      |    | a  | a  | a  | a  | a  |    |    |    |    |    |    |   |   |      |   |   |   |   |    |  |  |
| Visit site                                 |      |    |    |    | e  |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |   |   |      |   |   |   |   |    |  |  |
| Visit consultants                          |      |    |    |    | e  |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |   |   |      |   |   |   |   |    |  |  |
| Study methods, temp works<br>and programme |      |    |    |    |    | e  | p  | p  | p  | p  | p  |    |    |   |   |      |   |   |   |   |    |  |  |
| Main pricing                               |      |    |    |    |    |    |    | e  | e  | e  | e  | e  | e  | e | e | e    | e |   |   |   |    |  |  |
| Extend bill rates and<br>chase quotations  |      |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    | a  | a | a | a    | a | a |   |   |    |  |  |
| Project overheads                          |      |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |   |   |      |   |   | e | e |    |  |  |
| Summaries and report                       |      |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |   |   |      |   |   | e | e |    |  |  |
| Final review                               |      |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |   |   |      |   |   |   |   |    |  |  |
| Prepare docs for submission                |      |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |   |   |      |   |   |   |   |    |  |  |
| Submission                                 |      |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |   |   |      |   |   |   |   |    |  |  |

Key: e = estimator, b = buyer, p = planner, a = estimating assistant

Fig. 7.1 A typical estimate timetable

Estimating and Tendering for Construction Work

The estimator is responsible for preparing the estimating timetable showing the key dates for him and the other members of the estimating team. As the team leader, the estimator will need to coordinate the other people in the team. The Code of Estimation Practice (COEP) gives a typical checklist for a coordination meeting (chaired by the chief estimator), which is presumably for large-scale or complex projects.

|                                             |                                                                                  |                                                                                                                              |          |                 |               |
|---------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|-----------------|---------------|
| CB CONSTRUCTION LIMITED<br>Pricing Strategy |                                                                                  |                                                                                                                              | Project: | Ashbury College |               |
|                                             |                                                                                  |                                                                                                                              | Type:    | Design + Build  |               |
|                                             |                                                                                  |                                                                                                                              | Ref. No: | T384            | Date: 28.6.04 |
| Ref                                         | Aims                                                                             | Actions                                                                                                                      | Progress |                 | Owner         |
| 1                                           | To <u>research</u> similar schemes and pricing data                              | Obtain data from Morton College and office database                                                                          |          |                 | JM            |
| 2                                           | To agree a <u>target cost</u>                                                    | Ask Brian to speak to Client about affordability. Use Morton College to produce elemental target cost plan                   |          |                 | JM            |
| 3                                           | To influence the <u>design</u> of the scheme                                     | Take cost plan to first design meeting. Advise architect about target for each element of the building                       |          |                 | JM            |
| 4                                           | To <u>quantify</u> the work                                                      | Send drawings and specs to Joe Clarke. Ensure quants are received within two weeks. Quants to be in spreadsheet format       |          |                 | PC            |
| 5                                           | To <u>monitor</u> the design – ensure it develops within the target cost plan    | Attend design meetings. Get Joe to check quants on all drawings issued                                                       |          |                 | JM            |
| 6                                           | To obtain <u>quotations</u> for at least 85% of the value of works               | Richard to send enquiries to all main trades including groundworks                                                           |          |                 | RH            |
| 7                                           | To quantify and price <u>project overheads</u>                                   | Plant, temporary works, scaffolding and supervision to be quantified by planner. Allow subsistence costs for project manager |          |                 | JM            |
| 8                                           | To complete the estimate for <u>review</u> by management                         | Mid tender review to be on Tuesday 13 July. Final review Wed 4 August 2004                                                   |          |                 | JM            |
| 9                                           | To identify <u>risks and opportunities</u>                                       | Phil to arrange risk meeting on Mon 12 July. Check for overlaps with trade contractors                                       |          |                 | PC            |
| 10                                          | To comply with <u>submission</u> requirements and follow-up by contacting client | Ask James Barker to produce/edit submission document                                                                         |          |                 | JM/JB         |
|                                             |                                                                                  |                                                                                                                              |          |                 |               |

Fig. 7.2 Typical pricing strategy for a college building

## Pricing strategy

Procedures for estimating are well understood by most contractors, and formal plans are not needed for every tender. On the other hand, large-scale projects, such as hospitals and office blocks, need to be planned from the start by agreeing pricing methods and strategies at a ‘start-up’ meeting.

A typical pricing strategy document, shown in Fig. 7.2, lists the aims, explains the actions, records progress and identifies the person responsible for completing the actions.

This is an important document to agree with management – before recourses are allocated to the tender. It would be reckless to wait until a mid-tender review meeting to discover that the approach is not in line with management’s expectations.

## Schedules

The estimator should list all the prime cost and provisional sums to identify the work which will be carried out by other contractors. A summary of costs written into the bill will become part of the estimator’s report for management at the final review stage. The example given in Fig. 7.3 shows the structural steelwork and electrical sub-contractors will be chosen by the architect and no enquiries will be sent by the contractor at tender stage.

The summary of PC and provisional sums can also be used to show the attendances required by each nominated sub-contractor. SMM7 (A51.1.3) gives a list of the items of special attendance which must be given in a bill of quantities if required. The summary can include these items in the form of a checklist. The CIOB Code of Estimating Practice provides an alternative form, which encourages the estimator to produce a breakdown of attendances into labour, plant, materials and sub-contractors. Most of the costs of providing these attendances are evaluated when pricing the project overheads because they can be considered in relation to the project as a whole.

The estimator needs to abstract ‘direct’ work items that will be carried out by the main contractor, such as excavation, concrete work, brickwork and drainage. The trade abstract shown in Fig. 7.4 brings together all the pages to be priced under each trade heading, and helps the estimator to assign pricing duties when more than one estimator is working on the tender.

## The estimating team

The roles of the members of the estimating team (Fig. 7.5) will vary from company to company and will depend on the size of the job. Some companies prefer to

Estimating and Tendering for Construction Work

| CB CONSTRUCTION LIMITED |  |  |  | PC & Provisional sums |      | Project | Lifeboat Station |  |
|-------------------------|--|--|--|-----------------------|------|---------|------------------|--|
|                         |  |  |  | Ref. No:              | T384 | Date:   | 14.6.04          |  |

| Bill ref: | Description | Prov sums | Prime cost sums |          |      |                                 |
|-----------|-------------|-----------|-----------------|----------|------|---------------------------------|
|           |             |           | Gross           | Discount | Nett | Notes for pricing preliminaries |

|      |                                           |  |        |     |        |                                    |  |
|------|-------------------------------------------|--|--------|-----|--------|------------------------------------|--|
| 6/1a | PC SUMS                                   |  | 23 000 | 575 | 22 425 | Special attendances                |  |
|      | Structural steelwork (Steelbuild Limited) |  |        |     |        | Good access roads and hardstanding |  |
|      |                                           |  |        |     |        |                                    |  |
|      |                                           |  |        |     |        |                                    |  |
| 6/1e | Electrical installation (name not given)  |  | 15 600 | 390 | 15 210 | Scaffolding                        |  |
|      |                                           |  |        |     |        | Covered storage                    |  |
| 6/2a | Fire doors (nominated supplier)           |  | 3 840  | 192 | 3 648  |                                    |  |
|      |                                           |  |        |     |        |                                    |  |
|      |                                           |  |        |     |        |                                    |  |
|      |                                           |  |        |     |        |                                    |  |

|         |                             |        |        |       |                         |                                |
|---------|-----------------------------|--------|--------|-------|-------------------------|--------------------------------|
| 6/2m    | PROVISIONAL SUMS            |        |        |       |                         | Prelims for defined prov sums: |
|         | Contingencies               |        |        |       |                         |                                |
|         |                             |        |        |       |                         |                                |
|         |                             |        |        |       |                         |                                |
|         |                             |        |        |       |                         |                                |
|         |                             |        |        |       |                         |                                |
|         |                             |        |        |       |                         |                                |
|         |                             |        |        |       |                         |                                |
|         |                             |        |        |       |                         |                                |
|         |                             |        |        |       |                         |                                |
|         |                             |        |        |       |                         |                                |
|         |                             |        |        |       |                         |                                |
|         |                             |        |        |       |                         |                                |
|         |                             |        |        |       |                         |                                |
|         |                             |        |        |       |                         |                                |
|         |                             |        |        |       |                         | 6/2n                           |
|         |                             |        |        |       |                         |                                |
| 6/2p    | Glazed roof over entrance   | 3 500  |        |       | Scaffolding             |                                |
|         |                             |        |        |       | Protection and cleaning |                                |
| 6/3     | Daywork – labour add 110%   | 1 000  |        |       |                         |                                |
|         |                             | 1 100  |        |       |                         |                                |
|         | Daywork – materials add 15% | 500    |        |       |                         |                                |
|         |                             | 75     |        |       |                         |                                |
|         | Daywork – plant add 60%     | 500    |        |       |                         |                                |
|         |                             | 300    |        |       |                         |                                |
|         |                             |        |        |       |                         |                                |
|         |                             |        |        |       |                         |                                |
|         |                             |        |        |       |                         |                                |
|         |                             |        |        |       |                         |                                |
| Totals: |                             | 12 975 | 42 440 | 1 157 | 41 283                  |                                |

Fig. 7.3 List of PC and Provisional Sums at project appreciation stage

hand a copy of the documents to the buyer for sending out enquiries and others elect to keep control of this activity in the estimating section. A compromise would be for the estimator to abstract the materials and sub-contract packages, forming part of the estimate, and ask the buyer to select suitable companies to

| CB CONSTRUCTION LIMITED |                   |                    |            |      |       |                | Project: | Fast Transport |         |         |
|-------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|------------|------|-------|----------------|----------|----------------|---------|---------|
| Trade abstract          |                   |                    |            |      |       |                | Ref:     | T354           | Date:   | 21.6.04 |
| Trade                   |                   | Bill pages         | Spec pages | Estr | Quant | Unit           | Lab      | Plt            | Mat     | Total   |
| D20                     | Earthworks        | 3/1–5, 4/35–37     | 2/1–12     | JM   | 1075  | m <sup>3</sup> | 7 800    | 7 980          | 5 700   | 21 480  |
| R12                     | Drainage          | 5/1–34             | 2/56–65    | JM   | 823   | m              | 8 650    | 6 520          | 9 520   | 24 690  |
| E10                     | Concrete work     | 3/5–7, 4/38–40     | 2/13–15    | JM   | 956   | m <sup>3</sup> | 12 520   | 3 750          | 51 840  | 68 110  |
| E30                     | Reinforcement     | 3/11, 4/43         | 2/15–16    | JM   | 76    | t              | 13 680   |                | 24 700  | 38 380  |
| E20                     | Formwork          | 3/7–10, 4/40–42    | 2/17–22    | JM   | 2 150 | m <sup>2</sup> | 30 950   |                | 16 530  | 47 480  |
| E40                     | Concrete sundries | 3/7,11–13, 4/44,45 | 2/14       | JM   |       |                | 2 150    |                | 3 180   | 5 330   |
| F31                     | Precast concrete  | 4/46               | 2/35       | PC   |       |                | 1 850    |                | 8 250   | 10 100  |
| F10                     | Brickwork         | 3/19–22            | 2/24–28    | PC   | 76    | th             | 18 460   |                | 19 520  | 37 980  |
| F11                     | Blockwork         | 3/20               | 2/27–32    | PC   | 3 100 | m <sup>2</sup> | 18 880   |                | 17 450  | 36 330  |
| F30                     | Brick sundries    | 3/22,23            | 2/24       | PC   |       |                | 4 520    |                | 5 250   | 9 770   |
| G20                     | Timber            | 3/28–31            | 2/39–44    | PC   | 4 210 | m              | 5 150    |                | 6 210   | 11 360  |
| P20                     | Joinery           | 3/31–38            | 2/39–46    | PC   |       |                | 2 380    |                | 5 310   | 7 690   |
| G12                     | Metalwork         | 3/29,39            | 2/47–48    | PC   |       |                | 2 680    |                | 8 450   | 11 130  |
| P31                     | BWIC              | 3/55               |            | JM   |       |                | 2 110    |                | 2 030   | 4 140   |
|                         | Attendances       | 6/1                |            | JM   |       |                | 410      |                | 385     | 795     |
| Totals                  |                   |                    |            |      |       |                | 132 190  | 18 250         | 184 325 | 334 765 |

Fig. 7.4 Trade abstract for sections to be priced by the contractor

Estimating and Tendering for Construction Work




Fig. 7.5 Coordination of the estimating team

receive enquiries and coordinate and prepare documents for despatch. This allows the estimator to keep control over what prices are sought, and the buyer can use his experience to get better prices. The commercial manager, or quantity surveyor, should be given the opportunity to comment on the form of contract proposed, insurances, bonds and financing requirements. If there are any onerous or unusual conditions that may cause problems with an unqualified bid, they should be challenged by writing to the client for a ruling. This should produce a more satisfactory result than leaving it for management to decide at the final review meeting when it is too late for an amendment to be made to the tender documents.

The commercial manager needs to develop an overview of the scheme in order to produce a ‘risks and opportunities’ register. The register will identify a risk (or opportunity), determine its value and the probability of it happening. Many risks can be reduced, during tender stage, by changing the design, passing the risk to others.

During the tender period, a planning engineer has a great deal to contribute. Instead of looking at the project from a financial point of view he will start by examining the layout of the site, methods for construction, temporary works requirements, distribution patterns, sequence of work, a preliminary construction programme and resource levels. A civil engineering estimator will usually assess the temporary works, and programme the works himself because this is often the preparation needed for operational estimating techniques. A building estimator will tend to rate the items in a bill of quantities and rely on the planner for a more detailed examination of methods.

## Visits to consultants and site

An estimator needs to examine the documents carefully before leaving his office. It may be helpful to mark up a site plan to highlight the main elements, such as: areas of scaffolding; access routes; existing and proposed services; fencing and external hard landscaping. The estimator must identify the work items which will be priced on site including demolitions, alterations and repairs. A preliminary assessment can be made to find areas for general facilities such as site accommodation, crange, storage areas and hard standings. If any relevant information is missing then it might be questioned at the consultant's office or during the site visit.

In a perfect world there should be no need to visit the consultant's office – the tender documents should define the basis of an agreement to construct. There are, however, some benefits, as follows:

1. A critical assessment can be made of the progress made with the construction drawings, which would be important if there is a need for a quick start.
2. The estimator can seek clarification of layouts and details that were not included with the tender documents.
3. The names of adjoining property owners can be used to find sites for disposal of surplus materials and search for sources of fill.
4. The contractor can consider opportunities to offer an alternative bid in terms of time or design.
5. A contractor can explain its track record and interest in future projects.

A site visit must be made before a tender is submitted and should wherever possible be carried out by the estimator in person. The COEP provides a comprehensive standard report form which will cater for most projects.

Site features and existing buildings can be recorded on camera, not only to remind the estimator of site details during the pricing stage but will prove an excellent means of communication at the final review meeting. Occasionally permission will be required to take photographs, such as: inside existing buildings,

## *Estimating and Tendering for Construction Work*

near sensitive production processes and of existing property where security must be preserved. Permission is not needed for photographs taken of any property from outside the site boundary.

In assessing the site, note should be made of the topography, whether it is situated on a hill or in a valley, for example. Some plants could signal that although the ground is dry in summer the same ground may be waterlogged in winter. The general levels of the site need to be related to the information gained from the site investigation report, sometimes the remains of trial holes can be inspected. The contractor must assess the effect of a change of season from summer to winter. Some of the best information is available from residents and landowners. They often have valuable knowledge about flooding and ground conditions met during previous excavation work.

The site location and access routes must be examined. Urban sites need particular consideration. In built-up areas where cranes are to be used permission may be needed to encroach on air space; loading gantries, hoardings, protected walkways and temporary footpaths all need careful consideration when pricing project overheads. In country districts there could be a problem with the loads allowed in narrow lanes. Signboards may be needed to guide traffic from the nearest main road. Will crossovers be needed from public roads to the site, or will they need to be constructed?

There are some questions about existing utility services which must be answered. Who supplies the services, where are the nearest connections and what sizes and capacities are available? Much effort can be saved if site toilets can be connected to a foul drain. Water will be needed early on when the site facilities are set up. Electricity supplies may be present but are they sufficient to meet the heavy demand of running plant? If an electrically powered tower crane is planned a large-capacity supply may be needed. The electricity company is usually reluctant to bring the new main in at an early stage unless the contractor is prepared to contribute to the capital cost.

Security is an increasing problem which must be checked during the site visit. Existing boundaries need to be related to the site boundary and compared with the fencing measured in the bill or described in the preliminaries. A short discussion with a resident might highlight the risks.

Finally and most important are the items which can only be priced by assessing the extent of the work on site. These include: demolitions (usually given as items in the tender documents with overall dimensions), alterations (given as spot items the complexity can be gauged in work-hours), and clearing site vegetation (measured in square metres and varies from site to site). Where the work is predominantly described in spot items, the estimator will make extended and often repeated visits to site on occasions meeting sub-contractors.

8

# Enquiries to suppliers and sub-contractors

## Introduction

Contractors have developed procedures to ensure that tenders are based on up-to-date prices for materials and specialist services. As the COEP states ‘The contractor’s success in obtaining a contract can depend upon the quality of the quotations received for materials, plant and items to be sub-contracted’. To illustrate the point, the following breakdown has been taken from a typical building estimate, and shows that materials and sub-contracts account for 72% of the estimated costs before overheads and PC and provisional sums are added:

| Breakdown of contractor’s costs |     |
|---------------------------------|-----|
| Direct work – Labour            | 23% |
| Direct work – Plant             | 5%  |
| Direct work – Materials         | 28% |
| Domestic sub-contractors        | 44% |

Enquiries must be sent promptly but not at the expense of accuracy. Wrong or incomplete information will lead to delay in receiving comparable quotations. An orderly presentation will do much to avoid mistakes. The COEP provides some model forms for use in abstracting and suggests ways of selecting suitable suppliers and sub-contractors. These forms are further developed according to each company’s procedures. Figure 8.1 shows a form which can be used for abstracting materials or sub-contract packages and later helps to record the receipt of quotations by highlighting the names of firms which have responded.

Most enquiries consist of photocopies of the relevant pages from the bills of quantities with a letter and any related drawings. Ideally those bill items, which are not to be priced, should be crossed out to avoid confusion. With computer-aided estimating systems, a *sub-contractor* bill of quantities can be generated by choosing only those items relating to a trade package. Although sub-contractors should be sent two copies of the bill pages which are to be returned, this practice has died out largely because sub-contractors prefer to photocopy their priced bills. With the introduction of CPI and SMM7, bill descriptions now have references to the

| CB CONSTRUCTION |  |  | ENQUIRY ABSTRACT |  | [ X ] materials     |  | Project  |  | Lifeboat Station |  |
|-----------------|--|--|------------------|--|---------------------|--|----------|--|------------------|--|
|                 |  |  |                  |  | [ ] sub-contractors |  | Ref. No. |  | T384             |  |
|                 |  |  |                  |  |                     |  |          |  | Date:            |  |
|                 |  |  |                  |  |                     |  |          |  | 15.6.04          |  |

| Ref. | Description       | Approx. quants | Bill pages | Spec pages | Drawings | Names                 | Telephone |
|------|-------------------|----------------|------------|------------|----------|-----------------------|-----------|
| M1   | AGGREGATES        |                |            |            |          | SWANFIELD STONE CO    |           |
|      | DOT TYPE 1        | 580 t          |            | 2/5,6      |          | LITTLEGREEN QUARRIES  |           |
|      | DUST              | 55 t           |            |            |          | J.P. HEPPLE           |           |
|      | 20 mm SINGLE SIZE | 110 t          |            |            |          |                       |           |
|      |                   |                |            |            |          |                       |           |
| M2   | CONCRETE          |                |            |            |          | SWANFIELD STONE CO    |           |
|      | C15P              | 25 m³          |            | 2/11–15    |          | DRAY CONC SERVICES    |           |
|      | C20               | 40 m³          |            |            |          | PINTO CONCRETE LTD    |           |
|      | C35               | 400 m³         |            |            |          |                       |           |
|      |                   |                |            |            |          |                       |           |
| M3   | REINFORCEMENT     |                |            |            |          | BARBEND FABRICATION   |           |
|      | A393              | 1 240 m²       |            | 2/19,20    |          | OAKFORD REINFORCEMENT |           |
|      | 10 mm HY          | 1.1 t          |            |            |          | DOWLAIS STEEL         |           |
|      | 12 mm HY          | 3.8 t          |            |            |          |                       |           |
|      | 16 mm HY          | 5.6 t          |            |            |          |                       |           |
|      |                   |                |            |            |          |                       |           |
| M4   | PRECAST CONC      |                |            |            |          | HILBERG CASTINGS      |           |
|      | LINTELS           | 27 nr          | 3/22       | 2/22       |          | TELGAN CONC PRODUCTS  |           |
|      | KERBS             | 360 m          | 4/46       |            |          |                       |           |
|      |                   |                |            |            |          |                       |           |
| M5   | BRICKS/BLOCKS     |                |            |            |          | SIMGROVE BRICK        |           |
|      | FACINGS           | 11 000 nr      | 3/19–22    | 2/28–30    |          | BUSH BROS LTD         |           |
|      | 100 mm BLOCKS     | 550 m²         |            |            |          | HEPPLE BRICK CO       |           |
|      | 140 mm BLOCKS     | 200 m²         |            |            |          |                       |           |
|      |                   |                |            |            |          |                       |           |
| M6   | JOINERY           |                |            |            |          | GOTHIC JOINERY LTD    |           |
|      | AS BILL PAGES     |                | 3/31–37    | 2/36–40    | SK1,2,3  | ST. ANNES TIMBER      |           |
|      |                   |                |            |            | B/27/204 | SHIRE MANUFACTURING   |           |
|      |                   |                |            |            |          |                       |           |

Fig. 8.1 Abstract form for materials or sub-contract enquiries

specification clauses which apply to the item of work. This helps the estimator ensure that he sends the correct specification clauses with the enquiries. For tenders based on drawings and specifications, enquiries must clearly state the scope of work to be priced for each sub-contract package. For example, a flooring sub-contractor will need to know whether to include latex screeding, skirtings and expansion joint covers in their price.

In the past estimators and buyers have kept lists of companies who can supply materials and services in a card index of names usually in trade order. Since desktop computers have been introduced with user-definable databases, many contractors maintain name and address files which can be searched for trade contractors within travelling distance of the site. The software is usually able to address the letters and envelopes and keep track of previous performance, although feedback from site on a sub-contractor's behaviour is not always consistent or reliable. Computer-aided estimating software can also maintain a supplier database. An estimator can then link bill pages to his list of sub-contractors, from within the software running on his computer.

When tendering in an unfamiliar location, the estimator must allow time when visiting the site to tour the area, and note the volume of work going on and what stage individual jobs have reached. Site hoardings which list the sub-contractors being used on a site are a valuable source of information about specialists who are acceptable to your competitors. Another useful starting place, for obtaining names, is the local newspaper; especially when the newspaper has printed a special promotion naming various sub-contractors linked to the completion of a local building. An estimator will often search the Internet or look up nationally published directories. If new sub-contractors are to be invited to tender the buyer should telephone first to ascertain their ability and willingness to prepare a quotation.

## **Enquiries for materials**

Many construction organizations have a standard form of enquiry for suppliers; a typical example is given in Fig. 8.2. Enquiries should give the following information:

1. Title and location of the work; some suppliers complain that enquiries from different contractors are received in varying formats, often making it impossible to decide whether they are for the same job.
2. Description of the materials, supported by specifications.
3. Approximate quantities, so that bulk discounts can be quoted.
4. Date by which the quotation is needed; seven to ten days would seem reasonable although those with complex fabrication work to price, joinery suppliers, for example, may need longer; the most successful approach where time is limited is to say so, and request cooperation by responding as soon as possible.

Estimating and Tendering for Construction Work

Our ref: T384/M5

17 June 2004

Simgrove Brick Ltd  
Unit 3, Northbridge Industrial Estate  
Northbridge  
NB3 5MGG

Dear Sirs,

**NEW LIFEBOAT STATION  
BEACH LANE, STANDSFORD**

We are tendering for the above project and ask you to submit your best rates for the following items to be delivered to the site. The project is due to start on Monday 30 August 2004. If you have queries in relation to this enquiry please contact our Regional Buyer, Mr Frank Applecourt.

Please reply by 29 June 2004 and state any known or anticipated price rises likely to affect our tender.

Yours faithfully,

| Item | Description   | Approx. quants | Supporting documents enclosed            |
|------|---------------|----------------|------------------------------------------|
| 1.   | Facing bricks | 11 000 nr      | Bill pages 3/19–22<br>Spec pages 2/28–30 |
| 2.   | 100 mm blocks | 550 m²         |                                          |
| 3.   | 140 mm blocks | 200 m²         |                                          |

Fig. 8.2 Typical enquiry for materials

5. Name of the estimator dealing with the tender.
6. The contract period with a guide to the dates for deliveries; it can only be a guide because the start date is rarely known and the construction programme is not yet available.
7. Whether firm price or fluctuating price.
8. Minimum discount terms required.
9. Any limitations on access to the site.

The supplier should make every effort to meet the specified submission date and tell the contractor if a delay is expected. If the supplier is himself awaiting information from his sources, he should submit a quotation with a clear statement where prices are to follow.

There is not much point in keeping price lists unless they are updated regularly. It is more important for an estimator to compile a library on material characteristics, quality, sizes and performance standards. Knowledge of available materials and products will enable the estimator to consider alternatives which comply with the specification.

## **Enquiries to sub-contractors**

There are three kinds of domestic sub-contractor who will be approached at tender stage. The conventional sub-contractor who provides a complete service, labour-only sub-contractors who are supplied with their materials and plant, and labour and plant sub-contractors who receive their materials from the main contractor. Sub-letting work to specialists is an attractive arrangement for contractors because much of the technical and financial risk is passed to another party, and a profit is almost guaranteed (providing the work goes to plan). On the other hand sub-contractors can benefit from increased quantities and certain variations. Most contracts state that the main contractor shall get written permission before sub-letting any of the work, and in some (now rare) instances employers will not allow the use of labour-only sub-contractors.

Domestic sub-contractors will need a lot of information about the site, contract conditions, programme, the specification and extent of work. The example given in Fig. 8.3 shows a standard enquiry letter which can be stored as a word-processor file and tailored for each contract and trade. This example is lacking guidance on the timing of the work, probably because this can be found in the extract from the preliminaries. On larger projects, an outline programme might be available and the sub-contractor will be asked to prepare his own tender programme with information about extended delivery periods which might affect the progress of the works.

*Estimating and Tendering for Construction Work*

16 March 2004

Dear Sirs,

NEW OFFICES FOR MANIFOLD METALS PLC.  
NORTH LANE, STANSFORD

We invite you to tender for the PAINTING work for the Manifold Metals project and enclose the following details which describe the quality and quantity of the work:

|                      |         |            |
|----------------------|---------|------------|
| Preliminaries pages: | 1/2–12  |            |
| Bill pages:          | 3/45–48 | (2 copies) |
| Specification pages: | 2/39–40 |            |
| Drawings:            | D/206/1 |            |
| Form of tender       |         | (2 copies) |

The names of the parties, general description of the works, and details of the main contract are given in the extract from the preliminaries. Your form of tender, priced bill of quantities and daywork rates must be delivered to this address to arrive by 6 July 2004.

The form of sub-contract will be DOM/1 incorporating all relevant published amendments and the following:

|                             |   |                         |
|-----------------------------|---|-------------------------|
| Payments                    | : | Monthly                 |
| Discount to main contractor | : | 2.5%                    |
| Fluctuations                | : | Firm price              |
| Liquidated damages          | : | £1 200 per week         |
| Basis of daywork            | : | Current RICS definition |
| Retention                   | : | 5%                      |
| Method of measurement       | : | SMM7                    |
| Defect liability period     | : | Six months              |

We will provide all sub-contractors with water, lighting and electricity services near the work and common welfare facilities on site. Sub-contractors will be required to provide the following services and facilities:

- (a) unloading, storing and taking materials to working areas
- (b) power and fuel charges to temporary site accommodation
- (c) clearing-up, removing and depositing in designated collection points on site all rubbish or other surplus or packing materials
- (d) temporary accommodation and telephones
- (e) day-to-day setting out from main contractor's base lines.

If you have any queries about this enquiry please contact the estimator for the project, Mrs Peggy Carter.

Would you please confirm by return your willingness to tender by the date for tender.

Yours faithfully,

**Fig. 8.3** *Sample enquiry letter to domestic sub-contractors*

The COEP lists the details to be given in a contractor's enquiry letter, as follows:

- Site address and location (with a map if necessary).
- Name of employer, and professional team.
- Relevant details of main and sub-contractor.
- Any amendments to the standard conditions, including bonds and insurances.
- A request for daywork rates.
- Date for return of quotations.
- General description of works.
- Details of access, site plant and other facilities available.
- Where full contract details and drawings can be inspected.
- Contract period, programme and any phasing requirements.
- Any discounts to be included.
- Two copies of the relevant sections of the bills of quantities.
- Copies of drawings and schedules where applicable.
- Services and attendances to be provided by the main contractor.
- A clear statement of how fluctuations will be dealt with.

Labour-only sub-contractors usually quote under different arrangements; in particular they often expect:

1. Setting out by the main contractor.
2. Weekly or fortnightly payments by the main contractor.
3. Modified retention sums to reflect the extent of their work.
4. Materials delivered, unloaded and sometimes taken close to the point of fixing.
5. Major items of plant (which will be used by other sub-contractors) to be provided by the main contractor.

Although most enquiries are in the form of photocopies of bill pages, it is important that the estimator clearly states the portions of the work which the labour-only sub-contractor is expected to carry out. As an example, a concrete specialist might be asked to price placing concrete, fixing reinforcement and labour and materials in fixing formwork. Another firm might be asked to lay concrete in floor slabs and power float the surface.

In 1997 the Construction Industry Board produced a 'Code of Practice for the Selection of Sub-contractors' which recommends a tendering procedure which mirrors that suggested in its parallel publication for main contractors. In other words, sub-contractors should be asked for their willingness to tender, there should be full tender documents, sufficient time must be given for preparing tenders, and sub-contractors should be told about their performance.

For design and build projects, there are additional responsibilities for sub-contractors not least the development of the concept design and completion of

## *Estimating and Tendering for Construction Work*

working drawings. Sub-contractors are expected to submit, with their tender, risks that have been identified and priced in their offer. It is important that the main contractor ensures there is no duplication of risk allowances in the tender.

Sir John Egan's report, 'Rethinking Construction', brought immediate changes to the way in which the construction industry procures supplies and services. John Egan used his experience of other industries to highlight the benefits of smarter procurement through integrated supply chains.

There are efficiencies in working with suppliers and sub-contractors who become part of a close working relationship. Through strong supply chain management, vendor lists are kept small, problems can be shared and organizations begin to work better together. Term contracts can be set up with material and plant suppliers whereby prices are fixed for any site in any location for a fixed period of time.

Defence procurement has taken these concepts further. The prime-contracting route, adopted by Defence Estates, relies on strong relationships between (prime) contractors and their sub-contractors. Commitments to guaranteed maximum cost and risk assessments are made at prime contractor and sub-contractor levels and shared with the client.

# 9

## *Tender planning and method statements*

---

### **Introduction**

The estimating team will consider construction methods and employ planning techniques to:

1. Highlight any critical or unusual activities.
2. Examine alternative ways of tackling the work.
3. Calculate optimum durations for temporary works and plant.
4. Reconcile the labour costs in the estimate with a programme showing resources.
5. Determine the general items and facilities priced in the preliminaries section of the bill.
6. Check whether the time for completion is acceptable.

The effort needed will depend on the size and complexity of the project, the proposed use of heavy plant and the design of major temporary works. Estimating for civil engineering work in particular is dependent on an examination of alternative methods and pre-tender programmes. A civil engineering estimator usually produces a resourced programme to price major aspects of the work operationally.

Pre-tender programmes are prepared by either the estimator or planning engineer, or more likely by working together. The choice depends on company policy, size of project and type of work. The planning engineer's contribution can be seen as producing an appraisal of labour and plant resources and general items – in other words the estimator expresses his solutions in terms of cash, the programmer deals with time. The aim is to reconcile one with the other.

### **The role of the planning engineer**

In a competitive market it is important to look for ways to construct the project more economically. Applying planning techniques can have opposite consequences. Increasing the value of the tender when problems are identified and

## *Estimating and Tendering for Construction Work*

reducing the estimate when methods can be adopted which reduce individual and overall durations. The team must, however, look for the solution, which reflects the 'true' cost of construction. The role of the planning engineer is wider than just producing a programme. His input to a tender can also include:

1. Producing site layout drawings, which are used to locate temporary facilities, such as concrete batching plant, craneage, access routes, restrictions, areas for accommodation and storage, location of services, overhead service, temporary spoil heaps, and areas which will need reinstatement.
2. Examining the most suitable methods in relation to the design and the temporary works required.
3. Preparing method statements not only for pricing purposes but also for submission to clients or consultants when requested.
4. Producing cashflow forecast charts for management and clients who need them.
5. Providing staff structure and resource histograms for general labour, production labour and plant.

The planning engineer will often have a better understanding of current site practice and will be better placed to collect data from monitoring exercises on site. His experience of completed work will be important especially where the overall duration of a project could be reduced. Shorter contract periods can have a substantial effect on the cost of preliminaries where time-related costs (mainly staff, site accommodation, craneage and scaffolding) account for as much as 12–20% of a tender figure.

## **Method statements**

Method statements are written descriptions of how items of work will be carried out. They usually deal with the use of labour and plant in terms of types, gang sizes and expected outputs.

There are many reasons why method statements are prepared during the tender stage. It is unlikely that an estimator will prepare a written method statement for his own use but if any of the following requirements exist then he will commit his thoughts to paper:

1. The client's advisers may ask for a method statement to accompany the tender, to satisfy themselves that the contractor has an understanding of the technical challenges and has considered suitable ways of overcoming them.
2. The quality management scheme adopted by the organization may give situations where method statements for work worth more than a certain value are required.

3. Management contractors usually ask for method statements where there may be interface problems with other works contractors on the site.
4. In satisfying the need for safe systems of work, an estimator might develop a method statement with a demolition contractor, for example, before agreeing a price to be incorporated in the tender.
5. Large-scale activities needing a combination of items of plant and labour are difficult to price on a unit rate basis and cannot be started without an examination of methods and resources.
6. Where the estimator has investigated an alternative design he will need to assess the effect these changes will have on other elements of the construction.
7. Part of the handover information prepared for successful tenders is a description of the assumptions made by the estimator.

Many contractors are reluctant to submit a detailed method statement at tender stage because their ideas could be used by other parties without any financial return. A preliminary document can be prepared (see Fig. 9.1) based on the broad assumptions made at tender. It is likely to include extracts from the company's manuals for safety and quality management and some development of the client's pre-tender health and safety plan. This method statement can also be of benefit to the contractor because it is a suitable vehicle for:

1. Qualifying the tender.
2. Identifying dates when information is required from the client or his advisers.
3. Indicating when instructions are required for dealing with nominated sub-contractors and provisional sums.
4. Explaining the limitations of temporary works; a contractor might have allowed for earthwork support but not sheet piling, for example.

## **Tender programmes**

The tender programme will fix an overall time for the project, from which the estimator can determine times for sections of work in main stages such as:

1. Design and mobilization.
2. Substructure.
3. Independent structures.
4. Superstructure.
5. Engineering services.
6. Internal trades, finishes and fixtures.
7. External works.

Estimating and Tendering for Construction Work

| Outline Method Statement<br>for<br>New Offices<br>for<br>Fast Transport PLC<br>North Lane, Stansford |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Site location                                                                                        | The project is located in the existing transport yard of Fast Transport PLC, North Lane, Stansford. Access will be through the main entrance gate.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |
| Restrictions/<br>Access                                                                              | Incoming traffic will be directed to use the north access road and will leave the site along the road next to the canteen. The live oil and gas mains will be protected during the contract period and the fibre optics cable will be carefully exposed by hand dig and protected in accordance with the specification prior to piling equipment entering the site.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |
| Sequence<br>of work                                                                                  | Our tender programme T354/P1 shows the preferred sequence of activities. The aim is to start at the east end of the building progressing to the west. The site will be filled with a stone layer on a ground improvement mat immediately after the site is levelled. Concrete floors will be started after the columns have been cast and before the upper floors are constructed. External paving will be carried out in the last quarter of the contract period. The drain connecting manhole 3 to the existing foul sewer will be completed early to provide disposal from temporary facilities.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |
| Design<br>development                                                                                | Detailed drawings of the roof cladding will be produced by our specialist sub-contractor. These will clarify the scope of the work giving fixing details, sequencing and weathering procedures. Roof flashings will not be made until formal approval has been received by our sub-contractor.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |
| Temporary<br>works<br>Safety                                                                         | An independent scaffold will be erected to each external face of the building, and a mechanical hoist will be provided near the north-west corner. Anyone working on or visiting the site will be required to wear safety helmets and operatives will use other protective clothing depending on the type and location of work. The sides of the drain trench next to the oil tank will be supported with trench sheeting and we will provide barriers next to all excavations where a danger exists. The agent will attend regular meetings with the planning supervisor and cooperate with site regulations to maintain the client's good safety record. The health and safety plan will be developed by our construction team prior to starting any affected works, and sub-contractors will have contributed to any relevant planning for their works. The health and safety file will be prepared as the project progresses. The safety performance of the site is monitored by line management who report to regular safety audit meetings; and external consultants inspect our compliance with current legislation at intervals of no more than three weeks. |
| Supervision                                                                                          | Our management structure for the project is shown in the diagram attached. We will adopt a flexible approach to site supervision, providing sufficient operatives in suitable disciplines to meet our programme requirements.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |
| Quality plan                                                                                         | The site manager will be responsible for drawing up a quality plan for the project with assistance from the area planning engineer. The control and monitoring framework is given in the company's general procedures and QA manual.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |

Fig. 9.1 Example of a tender method statement for submission to a client

Information about these periods is essential to the estimator, enabling him to calculate times for:

1. Staff requirements.
2. Site accommodation.
3. Mechanical plant and equipment.
4. Temporary works such as falsework and scaffolding.
5. Increased costs for firm-price tenders.
6. Work affected by the seasonal weather changes such as drying out buildings, heating, protection and landscaping.

The overall section durations can be used to check workforce levels and items of plant such as excavators and cranes that often remain on site for continuous periods. There may be times of excessive demand for plant and labour, which will call for a levelling exercise to balance resource needs.

The estimator must be clear about what he needs from the programme so the planner will concentrate on what is important.

To illustrate the point, an estimator has brought together all the labour costs amounting to £91 250 for a clear run of brickwork comprising 250 000 facing bricks. He priced the brickwork items with an all-in rate for bricklayers of £12.50 and labourers £9.50 per hour.

Assuming each bricklayer is serviced with half the time of a labourer, each bricklayer's effective rate is:

$$12.50 + (9.50/2) = £17.25$$

The total time included in the rates would be:

$$£91\,250/£17.25/\text{hour} = 5\,275 \text{ hours work}$$

The planner has decided to use an average output of 50 bricks per hour.

$$250\,000 \text{ bricks at } 50 \text{ bricks/hour} = 5\,000 \text{ hours work}$$

This is clearly close to the estimate. Now that the number of working hours has been established, a duration is calculated by dividing by the number of productive hours in a week and the number of bricklayers. The programme might dictate the number of gangs required. This will not change the rate but will alter the cost of ancillary facilities such as scaffolding and mixers. Most activity durations can be derived from the product of quantities and standard outputs, see Fig. 9.2, but parts of the tender will be based on offers received from specialist sub-contractors and labour-only sub-contractors. These firms will be asked to provide information about the time they will be on site and the effect of delivery periods on the main contractor's programme.

The tender programme must allow for recognized public/industry holidays, inclement weather and the peak summer holiday period which leads to a slowing




Fig. 9.2 Example of a tender programme

## CB CONSTRUCTION LIMITED

## Proposed Offices for Fast Transport Limited

Tender Programme No: T354/P1

| Activity                      | 2004   |    |    |    |           |   |   |   |         |   |   |   |          |    |    |    |          | 2005 |    |    |         |    |    |    |          |    |    |    |       |    |    |    |    |    |   |
|-------------------------------|--------|----|----|----|-----------|---|---|---|---------|---|---|---|----------|----|----|----|----------|------|----|----|---------|----|----|----|----------|----|----|----|-------|----|----|----|----|----|---|
|                               | August |    |    |    | September |   |   |   | October |   |   |   | November |    |    |    | December |      |    |    | January |    |    |    | February |    |    |    | March |    |    |    |    |    |   |
|                               | -4     | -3 | -2 | -1 | 1         | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5       | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9        | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13       | 14   | 15 | 16 | 17      | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21       | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25    | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 |   |
| Contract Award                | ■      |    |    |    |           |   |   |   |         |   |   |   |          |    |    |    |          |      |    |    |         |    |    |    |          |    |    |    |       |    |    |    |    |    |   |
| 1 Mobilization and set up     |        | ■  | ■  | ■  |           |   |   |   |         |   |   |   |          |    |    |    |          |      |    |    |         |    |    |    |          |    |    |    |       |    |    |    |    |    |   |
| 2 Excavation and filling      |        |    |    |    | ■         | ■ | ■ | ■ |         |   |   |   |          |    |    |    |          |      |    |    |         |    |    |    |          |    |    |    |       |    |    |    |    |    |   |
| 3 Foundations formwork        |        |    |    |    |           | ■ | ■ | ■ | ■       | ■ |   |   |          |    |    |    |          |      |    |    |         |    |    |    |          |    |    |    |       |    |    |    |    |    |   |
| 4 Foundations concrete        |        |    |    |    |           | ■ | ■ | ■ |         |   |   |   |          |    |    |    |          |      |    |    |         |    |    |    |          |    |    |    |       |    |    |    |    |    |   |
| 5 Underslab drainage          |        |    |    |    |           |   | ■ | ■ | ■       |   |   |   |          |    |    |    |          |      |    |    |         |    |    |    |          |    |    |    |       |    |    |    |    |    |   |
| 6 Concrete ground floors      |        |    |    |    |           |   |   |   |         | ■ |   |   | ■        |    |    |    |          |      |    |    |         |    |    |    |          |    |    |    |       |    |    |    |    |    |   |
| 7 Columns formwork            |        |    |    |    |           | ■ | ■ | ■ | ■       |   |   |   |          |    |    |    |          |      |    |    |         |    |    |    |          |    |    |    |       |    |    |    |    |    |   |
| 8 Columns concrete            |        |    |    |    |           |   | ■ | ■ | ■       | ■ |   |   |          |    |    |    |          |      |    |    |         |    |    |    |          |    |    |    |       |    |    |    |    |    |   |
| 9 Floors and beams formwork   |        |    |    |    |           |   |   | ■ | ■       | ■ | ■ | ■ | ■        | ■  | ■  | ■  | ■        |      |    |    |         |    |    |    |          |    |    |    |       |    |    |    |    |    |   |
| 10 Floors and beams concrete  |        |    |    |    |           |   |   |   |         | ■ | ■ | ■ | ■        | ■  | ■  | ■  | ■        | ■    |    |    |         |    |    |    |          |    |    |    |       |    |    |    |    |    |   |
| 11 External walls             |        |    |    |    |           |   |   |   |         |   |   |   | ■        | ■  | ■  | ■  | ■        | ■    | ■  |    |         |    |    |    |          |    |    |    |       |    |    |    |    |    |   |
| 12 Roof timbers               |        |    |    |    |           |   |   |   |         |   |   |   |          |    |    |    |          | ■    | ■  | ■  | ■       | ■  | ■  |    |          |    |    |    |       |    |    |    |    |    |   |
| 13 Roof covering              |        |    |    |    |           |   |   |   |         |   |   |   |          |    |    |    |          |      | ■  | ■  | ■       | ■  | ■  | ■  |          |    |    |    |       |    |    |    |    |    |   |
| 14 Windows                    |        |    |    |    |           |   |   |   |         |   |   |   |          |    |    |    |          |      |    | ■  | ■       | ■  | ■  | ■  | ■        |    |    |    |       |    |    |    |    |    |   |
| 15 Services 1st fix           |        |    |    |    |           |   |   |   |         |   |   |   |          |    |    |    |          |      |    |    | ■       | ■  | ■  | ■  | ■        | ■  |    |    |       |    |    |    |    |    |   |
| 16 Plasterwork and partitions |        |    |    |    |           |   |   |   |         |   |   |   |          |    |    |    |          |      |    |    |         | ■  | ■  | ■  | ■        | ■  | ■  | ■  | ■     | ■  | ■  | ■  | ■  | ■  |   |
| 17 Joinery                    |        |    |    |    |           |   |   |   |         |   |   |   |          |    |    |    |          |      |    |    |         |    | ■  | ■  | ■        | ■  | ■  | ■  | ■     | ■  | ■  | ■  | ■  | ■  |   |
| 18 Ceilings                   |        |    |    |    |           |   |   |   |         |   |   |   |          |    |    |    |          |      |    |    |         |    |    | ■  | ■        | ■  | ■  | ■  | ■     | ■  | ■  | ■  | ■  | ■  |   |
| 19 Services 2nd fix           |        |    |    |    |           |   |   |   |         |   |   |   |          |    |    |    |          |      |    |    |         |    |    |    | ■        | ■  | ■  | ■  | ■     | ■  | ■  | ■  | ■  | ■  |   |
| 20 Painting                   |        |    |    |    |           |   |   |   |         |   |   |   |          |    |    |    |          |      |    |    |         |    |    |    |          | ■  | ■  | ■  | ■     | ■  | ■  | ■  | ■  | ■  | ■ |
| 21 Floor coverings            |        |    |    |    |           |   |   |   |         |   |   |   |          |    |    |    |          |      |    |    |         |    |    |    |          |    | ■  | ■  | ■     | ■  | ■  | ■  | ■  | ■  | ■ |
| 22 External work and drainage |        |    |    |    |           | ■ | ■ | ■ |         |   |   |   |          |    |    |    |          |      |    |    |         |    |    |    |          |    |    | ■  | ■     | ■  | ■  | ■  | ■  | ■  | ■ |
|                               |        |    |    |    |           |   |   |   |         |   |   |   |          |    |    |    |          |      |    |    |         |    |    |    |          |    |    |    |       |    |    |    |    |    |   |
|                               |        |    |    |    |           |   |   |   |         |   |   |   |          |    |    |    |          |      |    |    |         |    |    |    |          |    |    |    |       |    |    |    |    |    |   |

Fig. 9.3 Example of a programme submitted with a tender

## *Estimating and Tendering for Construction Work*

of progress which may be reflected in output. Clearly, very little work is carried out on site during the two weeks Christmas shutdown. One week is lost at Easter and some planners believe that about two weeks are lost in the summer due to operatives' annual holidays. Scaffolding, fixed cranes and supervision are items that will incur the largest costs during shutdown periods and must be included in the project overheads schedule.

When a client or his advisers request a programme at tender stage the contractor will submit a preliminary or outline programme, such as the example given in Fig. 9.3. The contractor is often unclear about the role of such a programme in vetting tenders. Sometimes contractors have used the opportunity to offer completion sooner than expected and thereby try to gain an advantage over the competition. The drawback is that if the project is delayed, but still finishes within the original duration, the contractor will have difficulty recovering the costs of delay and disruption to the work.

# 10

## *Resource costs – labour, materials and plant*

---

### Introduction

There was a time when the unit costs of labour and plant were calculated from first principles; the assumption being that the company employed operatives in sufficient numbers to carry out the work and provided its own plant. A more realistic approach today would be to find the current market rates paid for labour near the site and look at the market prices for plant hire. This information is readily available as feedback from current jobs and plant hire rates can be obtained from plant specialists. Another change has come with computers. The importance of establishing accurate rates for labour, materials and plant, before pricing the bill of quantities, has reduced because programs allow the estimator to change unit rates for resources at any stage of the tender period.

### Labour rates

A method for estimating all-in rates for labour is given in the CIOB Code of Estimating Practice (COEP). This has been adopted by many publications, professional bodies and contracting organizations as a reasonable basis for calculating the cost to employ an operative. The example given in the Code uses the formula:

Hourly rate = annual cost of employing an operative/actual hours worked

During the first half of the twentieth century, builders calculated labour rates by looking at weekly costs. This was a little easier to do but lacked the precision of the current method. The main reasons for calculating costs and hours on an annual basis are:

1. To include the effect of annual and public holidays on the number of hours for payment.
2. Overtime working often depends on the proportion of summer and winter working, because longer working hours are available and used in the summer period.

## *Estimating and Tendering for Construction Work*

The COEP calculation is clearly a theoretical approach that should be checked periodically against recorded costs. The main variance is commonly the amount paid for ‘bonuses’, such as attraction money, plus rates for semi-skilled operatives, spot bonuses and locally agreed payments.

The estimator needs to be aware of some of the difficulties associated with calculating labour costs, and should answer the following questions:

1. Are there enough skilled operatives in the area? If not, will they need to be paid increased rates to work on the site or is there a need to import labour from outside the area?
2. How many operatives will be paid travelling expenses and will any key people receive a subsistence allowance?
3. Will there be any local union agreements which affect the wage levels, such as those found in the petrochemical industry?
4. Will bonus payments and enhanced wages be self-financing?

Some organizations, typically those that employ their own regular labour force, build up labour rates for every job. This allows changes to be made for the type of work, time of year and location. It must be said, however, that in recent years the nationally agreed wage rates have not reflected the rates paid in the marketplace. On the one hand where skilled labour is scarce, labour costs rise, and during times of recession labour rates fall. There is an argument that an estimator will price work quicker if a constant labour rate is used for several months. Global adjustments can always take place at the final review stage providing an analytical approach to pricing is used. Where computer databases are used, fine-tuning of the labour element can take place at any time before tender submission.

Figure 10.1 illustrates the all-in rate calculation using a spreadsheet model. Travelling and subsistence costs have been omitted on the assumption that they are better assessed when calculating the project overheads. Changes can be made to any of the figures and the following are the items that might change from job to job:

1. Time of year – the proportion of work carried out during ‘summer’ weeks.
2. Number of hours worked each week – the normal working hours are 39 per week throughout the year, but in the summer more working hours can be achieved.
3. The allowance for bad weather – depends on time of year, exposure to the weather and height above sea level.
4. Attraction bonus – is the non-productive element needed to match the going rate for skilled and semi-skilled people?
5. Trade supervision – is rarely included in the all-in rate today because it is better to consider all aspects of site supervision while assessing project overheads.

Resource costs – labour, materials and plant

| CB CONSTRUCTION LTD                 |                                            |                           | Fast Transport Ltd |           | 2003/04   |  |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|-----------|-----------|--|
| Description                         |                                            | Entry col                 | Calc col           |           |           |  |
| SUMMER PERIOD                       | Number of weeks                            | 30                        |                    |           |           |  |
|                                     | Weekly hours                               | 44                        |                    |           |           |  |
|                                     | Total hours                                |                           |                    | 1320      |           |  |
|                                     | Days annual hols                           | 14                        |                    |           |           |  |
|                                     | Days public hols                           | 5                         |                    |           |           |  |
|                                     | Total hours for hols                       |                           |                    | - 167     |           |  |
| WINTER PERIOD                       | Number of weeks                            | 22                        |                    |           |           |  |
|                                     | Weekly hours                               | 39                        |                    |           |           |  |
|                                     | Total hours                                |                           |                    | 858       |           |  |
|                                     | Days annual hols                           | 7                         |                    |           |           |  |
|                                     | Days public hols                           | 3                         |                    |           |           |  |
|                                     | Total hours for hols                       |                           |                    | - 78      |           |  |
| SICKNESS                            | Number of days (say winter)                | 8                         |                    | - 62      |           |  |
| TOTAL HOURS FOR PAYMENT             |                                            |                           |                    | 1 870     |           |  |
| %                                   | Allowance for bad weather                  | 2                         |                    | 37        |           |  |
| TOTAL PRODUCTIVE HOURS              |                                            |                           |                    | 1 833     |           |  |
|                                     |                                            | Craftsman                 | Labourer           | Craftsman | Labourer  |  |
| ANNUAL EARNINGS                     | Basic wage                                 | 299.13                    | 225.03             |           |           |  |
|                                     | Attraction bonus (say)                     | 28.00                     | 14.00              |           |           |  |
|                                     | Total weekly rate                          | 327.13                    | 239.03             |           |           |  |
|                                     | Hourly rate of pay (39th)                  | 8.39                      | 6.13               |           |           |  |
| Annual earnings =                   |                                            | 1870.4 × hourly rate      |                    | 15 692.66 | 11 465.55 |  |
|                                     | Public holidays                            | 62.4 hours × rate         |                    | 565.49    | 413.16    |  |
| ADDITIONAL COSTS                    | NON-PRODUCTIVE OVERTIME (time + half only) |                           |                    |           |           |  |
|                                     | Hours per week – summer                    | 2.50                      |                    |           |           |  |
|                                     | Hours per week – winter                    | 0.00                      |                    |           |           |  |
|                                     | Hours per year – summer                    | 65.50                     |                    |           |           |  |
|                                     | Hours per year – winter                    | 0.00                      |                    |           |           |  |
|                                     | Cost of non-prod overtime                  |                           |                    | 502.39    | 377.94    |  |
|                                     | SICK PAY                                   | excluded from calculation |                    |           |           |  |
| TRADE SUPERVISION                   |                                            |                           |                    |           |           |  |
| No. of tradesmen per foreman        |                                            | 7.00                      |                    |           |           |  |
| Plus rate for foreman               |                                            | 2.00                      |                    |           |           |  |
| % of time on supervision            |                                            | 50.00                     |                    | 1 388.10  | 1 086.17  |  |
| WORKING RULE AGREEMENT              |                                            |                           |                    |           |           |  |
| Skill rate .. per hour              |                                            | 0.32                      |                    |           | 598.53    |  |
|                                     |                                            | Sub-total                 |                    | 18 148.63 | 13 941.35 |  |
| OVERHEADS                           |                                            |                           |                    |           |           |  |
| 1 NATIONAL INS                      |                                            | 12.80%                    |                    | 1 584.99  | 998.60    |  |
| 2 HOLIDAYS WITH PAY                 |                                            | 226.20 hours              |                    | 1 897.82  | 1 386.61  |  |
| 3 RETIREMENT BENEFIT                |                                            | 7.50 per week             |                    | 390.00    | 390.00    |  |
| 4 TRAINING LEVY                     |                                            | 0.50% on wages            |                    | 100.23    | 76.64     |  |
|                                     |                                            | Sub-total                 |                    | 22 121.68 | 16 793.20 |  |
| SEVERANCE PAY and SUNDRIES          |                                            | 1.5%                      |                    | 331.83    | 251.90    |  |
|                                     |                                            | Sub-total                 |                    | 22 453.51 | 17 045.10 |  |
| EMPL. LIABTY & 3rd PARTY INS        |                                            | 2%                        |                    | 449.07    | 340.90    |  |
| ANNUAL COST OF OPERATIVE            |                                            |                           |                    | 22 902.58 | 17 386.00 |  |
| Divide by Total Productive Hours .. |                                            | 1833                      |                    |           |           |  |
| COST PER HOUR =                     |                                            |                           |                    | £12.49    | £9.49     |  |

Also consider Construction Industry Joint Council pay conditions:

|                       |                        |
|-----------------------|------------------------|
| Storage of tools      | Maximum liability £400 |
| Loss of clothing      | Maximum liability £30  |
| Subsistence allowance | £25 per night          |
| Sick pay              | £79.70 per week        |
| Death benefit         | £15 000                |

National insurance is 12.8% above earnings threshold of £89.00 per week

Fig. 10.1 Calculation of all-in rates for labour using spreadsheet software

## *Estimating and Tendering for Construction Work*

6. Extra payments for special skills – the Working Rule Agreement specifies many additional payments (to be added to the labourer's rate) principally for plant operatives.
7. Employer's liability insurance – although related to the labour value may be part of a general assessment of liabilities in the project overheads schedule.

Spreadsheets are used for these repetitious calculations because various combinations can be tried out, hence the phrase 'what-if calculations'. In Fig. 10.2, supervision and insurances have been removed so that they can be considered in pricing preliminaries. A longer working week is envisaged during a 35-week summer period. The overall effect is a reduced hourly rate.

For the analysis of rates throughout this book, the labour rates have been rounded off to £12.50/hour for craftsmen and £9.50/hour for labourers. These are the labour rates calculated from first principles in this chapter and reflect rates during the period June 2003 and May 2004.

## **Material rates**

Quotations should be obtained for all materials, not only because prices can fluctuate unpredictably but also because the haulage rates to various sites could be different, depending on their distance from the supplier; and the size of loads can dramatically affect the transport costs. The following factors are considered by the estimator in building up the material portion of a unit rate:

1. Check the materials comply with the specification – the estimator may consider the use of an alternative product if it is cheaper and from experience is a satisfactory choice that the contract administrator is likely to accept. A common example is the use of cement replacements and additives in ready-mixed concrete which ironically are readily accepted by the Department of Transport and water industry and sometimes rejected by architects for building work. Many specifications envisage the use of alternatives with the statement 'subject to the approval of the Contract Administrator', for example.
2. The supplier may want payments for the costs of transport or small load charges. Ready-mixed concrete suppliers, for example, impose extra payments for part loads. The cost can be significant and must be considered where small concrete pours are expected.
3. Some products are manufactured in fixed sizes that are the minimum that can be ordered. An estimator may have received a price of £2.35/m for polythene pipe for a job which needs only 15 m. If the minimum coil size is 30 m then the estimator must consider the likelihood of using the pipe on another site that might involve a storage cost. Alternatively it might be more realistic to allow £4.70/m (including waste) in this tender.

Resource costs – labour, materials and plant

| CB CONSTRUCTION LTD          |                                            | Fast Transport Ltd                 |                      | 2003/04   |           |
|------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|-----------|-----------|
| Description                  |                                            | Entry col                          | Calc col             |           |           |
| SUMMER PERIOD                | Number of weeks                            | 35                                 |                      |           |           |
|                              | Weekly hours                               | 50                                 |                      |           |           |
|                              | Total hours                                |                                    | 1750                 |           |           |
|                              | Days annual hols                           | 14                                 |                      |           |           |
|                              | Days public hols                           | 5                                  |                      |           |           |
|                              | Total hours for hols                       |                                    | -190                 |           |           |
| WINTER PERIOD                | Number of weeks                            | 17                                 |                      |           |           |
|                              | Weekly hours                               | 39                                 |                      |           |           |
|                              | Total hours                                |                                    | 663                  |           |           |
|                              | Days annual hols                           | 7                                  |                      |           |           |
|                              | Days public hols                           | 3                                  |                      |           |           |
|                              | Total hours for hols                       |                                    | -78                  |           |           |
| SICKNESS                     | Number of days (say winter)                | 8                                  | -62                  |           |           |
| TOTAL HOURS FOR PAYMENT      |                                            |                                    | 2083                 |           |           |
| %                            | Allowance for bad weather                  | 2                                  | 42                   |           |           |
| TOTAL PRODUCTIVE HOURS       |                                            |                                    | 2041                 |           |           |
|                              |                                            | Craftsman                          | Labourer             | Craftsman | Labourer  |
| ANNUAL EARNINGS              | Basic wage                                 | 299.13                             | 225.03               |           |           |
|                              | Attraction bonus (say)                     | 28.00                              | 14.00                |           |           |
|                              | Total weekly rate                          | 327.13                             | 239.03               |           |           |
|                              | Hourly rate of pay (39th)                  | 8.39                               | 6.13                 |           |           |
|                              | Annual earnings =                          | $2082.6 \times \text{hourly rate}$ |                      | 17 473.01 | 12 766.34 |
| ADDITIONAL COSTS             | Public holidays                            | 62.4 hours                         | $\times \text{rate}$ | 615.83    | 449.94    |
|                              | NON-PRODUCTIVE OVERTIME (time + half only) |                                    |                      |           |           |
|                              | Hours per week ..summer                    |                                    | 5.50                 |           |           |
|                              | Hours per week ..winter                    |                                    | 0.00                 |           |           |
|                              | Hours per year ..summer                    |                                    | 171.60               |           |           |
| TRADE SUPERVISION            | Hours per year ..winter                    |                                    | 0.00                 |           |           |
|                              | Cost of non-prod overtime                  |                                    |                      | 1 316.17  | 990.13    |
|                              | SICK PAY                                   | excluded from calculation          |                      |           |           |
|                              | No. of tradesmen per foreman               | 7.00                               |                      |           |           |
|                              | Plus rate for foreman                      | 2.00                               |                      |           |           |
| WORKING RULE AGREEMENT       | % of time on supervision                   | 0.00                               |                      | 0.00      | 0.00      |
|                              | Skill rate ... per hour                    | 0.32                               |                      |           | 666.43    |
|                              | Sub-total                                  |                                    |                      | 19 405.01 | 14 872.84 |
|                              | OVERHEADS                                  |                                    |                      |           |           |
|                              | 1 NATIONAL INS                             | 12.80%                             |                      | 1 584.99  | 998.60    |
| SEVERANCE PAY and SUNDRIES   | 2 HOLIDAYS WITH PAY                        | 226.20 hours                       |                      | 1 897.82  | 1 386.61  |
|                              | 3 RETIREMENT BENEFIT                       | 7.50 per week                      |                      | 390.00    | 390.00    |
|                              | 4 TRAINING LEVY                            | 0.50% on wages                     |                      | 106.51    | 81.30     |
|                              | Sub-total                                  |                                    |                      | 23 384.34 | 17 729.34 |
|                              |                                            | 1.5%                               |                      | 350.77    | 265.94    |
| EMPL. LIABTY & 3rd PARTY INS | Sub-total                                  |                                    |                      | 23 735.11 | 17 995.28 |
|                              | ANNUAL COST OF OPERATIVE                   |                                    |                      | 23 735.11 | 17 995.28 |
|                              | Divide by Total Productive Hours ..        | 2041                               |                      |           |           |
|                              | COST PER HOUR =                            |                                    |                      | £11.63    | £8.82     |

Also consider Construction Industry Joint Council pay conditions:

|                                                                         |                        |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| Storage of tools                                                        | Maximum liability £400 |
| Loss of clothing                                                        | Maximum liability £30  |
| Substance allowance                                                     | £25 per night          |
| Sick pay                                                                | £79.70 per week        |
| Death benefit                                                           | £15 000                |
| National insurance is 12.8% above earnings threshold of £89.00 per week |                        |

Fig. 10.2 Calculation of all-in rates for site working 50 hours/week with an extended summer period of 35 weeks and supervision and insurances priced in project overheads

## *Estimating and Tendering for Construction Work*

4. The quantity required for each unit of work must be considered for each material. Estimators should keep a note of the conversion factors they need for commonly used materials. For example, a half brick wall has 60 bricks per m<sup>2</sup>, 2.1 tonnes of stone may be needed for each cubic metre of hardcore, and 0.07 litres of emulsion paint might be the coverage for work to plastered ceilings.
5. Unloading and distributing materials are activities that can be priced in the unit rate calculation or dealt with as a general site facility in the project overheads. Often a combination of both is needed. With facing bricks, for example, the price for bricks will include the cost of mechanical off-loading; whereas distributing bricks around the site could be catered for by including a forklift and a distribution gang in the project overhead schedule.
6. If the specifications, or preliminaries clauses, call for samples of certain materials the estimator needs to ascertain the cost. Usually a supplier will provide samples without charge. Testing of materials, on the other hand, is usually undertaken by an independent organization, and as such must be specified or preferably included as an item in the bill of quantities. The cost of testing will be assessed when the overheads are calculated.
7. An allowance for *waste* is difficult to estimate. The standard methods of measurement state that work is measured net as fixed in position (SMM7 3.3.1) and the contractor is to allow for any waste and square cutting (SMM7 4.6 e and f) and overlapping of materials (Fabric reinforcement E30.M4, for example). CESMM3 section 5 states that the quantities shall be calculated net using dimensions from the drawings and that no allowance shall be made for bulking, shrinkage or waste. The questions that the estimator must consider are: is there a selection process needed on site to achieve the quality specified (such as picking facing bricks to produce a specific pattern)? Are the materials likely to suffer damage in the off-loading and handling stages? Is the design going to lead to losses in cutting standard components to fit the site dimensions? Is the site secure from theft and vandalism? Will the finished work be protected from damage by following trades? Has the company had previous experiences with the materials? Will some materials be used for the wrong purpose, such as using facing bricks below ground level to avoid ordering a few cheaper bricks?

An estimator will need help in making these decisions. Guidance can be found in price books or research papers and the company should collect information from previous projects.

## **Plant rates**

The plant supply industry can provide a wide range of equipment throughout the United Kingdom. It can offer hire or outright purchase, and in some cases lease and contract rental schemes. The following steps can be taken at tender

stage to assess the mechanical plant to be used:

**Step 1** Identify specific items of plant needed by looking at quantities and methods. The machine capacities can be found by assessing the rates of production required. Examine the tender programme for overall durations.

**Step 2** Obtain prices; the *sources of plant* are:

- (a) Purchase for the contract
- (b) Company-owned plant
- (c) Hire from external source

In practice the *sources of prices* are:

- (a) Calculate from first principles
- (b) Internal plant department rates
- (c) Hirers' quotations
- (d) Published schedules.

**Step 3** Compare plant quotations on equal basis perhaps by using a standard form (the Code of Estimating Practice provides a typical Plant Quotations Register).

**Step 4** Calculate the all-in hourly rate for each item of mechanical plant. The main parts of the calculation are:

1. Cost of machine per hour (including depreciation, maintenance, insurances, licences and overheads).
2. All-in rate for operator (the operator may work longer hours than the plant because of the time needed for minor repairs, oiling and greasing; the National Working Rule Agreement suggests how much time should be added to each eight-hour shift; it also lists extra payments for continuous extra skill or responsibility in driving various items of plant).
3. Fuel and lubricants (the amounts of fuel consumed will depend on the types and sizes of plant; the average consumption during the plant life is used).
4. Sundry consumables (where, for example, the plant specialist is unable to accept the risk of tyre replacement on a difficult site or any costs beyond 'fair wear and tear').

The cost of bringing plant to site is usually dealt in assessing project overheads (preliminaries) when the transport of all plant and equipment is considered.

**Step 5** Decide where to price plant – either in the unit rate against each item of measured work or in the project overheads. This decision might be made for the estimator if the company's procedures dictate the pricing method. Plant that serves several trades should be included in the project overheads, such as cranes, hoists, concrete mixers, and materials handling equipment. Estimators also price the erection of fixed plant in the project overheads together with the costs of dismantling plant on completion.

# 11

## Unit rate pricing

---

### Introduction

The estimator must press on with the pricing stage without delay and cannot afford to wait for written quotations from sub-contractors and suppliers.

Once basic rates have been calculated for labour and plant, pricing notes can be written for work which will not be sub-let, such as placing concrete, alterations and brickwork. The pricing form in Fig. 11.1 shows estimator's notes for fixing ironmongery with spaces for the prices from suppliers.

Computer-aided estimating systems allow early pricing to start, using the rates contained in the main library of resource costs. When quotations arrive, the resource costs can be updated in the job library. Estimators can make good progress using this approach but must be careful to check that all the prices are confirmed by suppliers (preferably in writing) before the tender is submitted.

### Components of a rate

Unit rates are usually a combination of rates for labour, plant, materials and sub-contractors. *Only the direct site cost is included* because management will develop a better understanding of the pricing level if on-costs are dealt with separately. There is a more extreme view that rates should ignore some or all of the following:

1. General site plant such as cranes and plant for materials distribution such as tractors and trailers, dumpers and forklift trucks.
2. Small plant, tools and safety equipment.
3. General labourers assisting craftsmen, unloading materials, distributing materials and driving mechanical plant.
4. Difficult working conditions such as access, restricted space and exposure to the weather.

The estimator must think about the way in which each operation will be carried out. The following factors must be considered in calculating the cost:

1. Quantity of work to be done.

**Fig. 11.1** Estimator's build-up sheet for fixing ironmongery

|       |             |
|-------|-------------|
| Trade | Ironmongery |
| Page  | 1           |

[illegible]

## *Estimating and Tendering for Construction Work*

2. Quality of work and type of finish specified.
3. The degree of repetition.

Many clients assume that unit rates are for all the obligations and risks associated with the work, and in some cases include a statement such as: 'the rates inserted in the bill of quantities are to be fully inclusive of all costs and expenses together with all risks, liabilities, obligations given in the tender documents'. Does this mean that a proportion of project overheads should be included in all the rates or can the contractor insert rates for all general obligations and overheads in the preliminaries bill?

## **Method of measurement**

The classification tables in SMM7 set out the work which is to be included in the unit rate. For example, when working space is measured to excavations, the contractor is to allow for additional earthwork support, disposal, backfilling, work below ground level and breaking out. Clearly the estimator must be aware of the coverage rules before pricing the work. With CESMM3, items for excavation include working space as well as upholding sides of excavation and removal of dead services. In addition, bills of quantities often have a preamble (civil engineering work) or rules for measurement (building), which list the changes to the standard measurement rules. A typical example is the statement 'the contractor shall allow all methods necessary to withhold the sides of excavations including where necessary trench sheeting or sheet piling'. This is a significant change to SMM7 because sheet piling is normally measurable under D32 Steel Piling.

## **Pricing notes**

There are many ways to present pricing notes. Standard forms help the estimator produce clear information, which can be read by others.

The form shown in Fig. 11.1 would allow an estimator to price labour and plant himself and add rates received from a labour-only sub-contractor when they arrive. A direct comparison can then be made. This is similar to the example given in Fig. 12.1 in the following chapter for the comparison of sub-contractors' rates. The form used throughout this chapter for pricing notes was typically used for detailed build-ups. Its use has declined with the growth of computing.

Pricing notes are not always clearly presented by estimators. Where time is short, they sometimes produce their notes in the bill of quantities either in the margin or on the facing page. At the final review stage, management would then examine the rated bill of quantities because summaries for labour, materials and plant will not be available.

Construction staff need to be aware, however, that any tender notes may be useful to understand the logic used at tender stage but the costs may have been changed by management at the final review meeting. A computer system, on the other hand, will produce an up-to-date report of resources with all changes made after the review stages. There is no doubt that computer reports are quick to produce and can provide comprehensive site budgets and valuations. Very few give reports on the logic used to build up rates, which means that some manual notes or method statements may still be necessary.

## Model rate and pricing examples

The way in which unit rates are built up differs from company to company and between trades. Calculations for earthworks, for example, are based on the use of plant, and formwork pricing depends on the making and reuse of shutters. A checklist of items to include in a rate could be used by trainee estimators or anyone pricing an item for the first time. The 'model rate' calculation given in Fig. 11.2 has more components than any one item would need.

The pricing information sheets given in this chapter contain typical outputs and pricing notes for the categories of work found most often in building and small civil engineering projects.

Most of the data have been expressed in terms of decimal constants which are used for entering resources using computers. Unfortunately, this approach gives some strange results and unfamiliar figures. With excavation of trenches, for example, estimators think in terms of how many cubic metres could be dug in one hour (say  $5 \text{ m}^3/\text{hour}$ ), and not the reverse (an output such as  $0.20 \text{ hour}/\text{m}^3$ ).

For clarification the following points should also be kept in mind:

1. Most of the examples are for work measured using the rules of SMM7.
2. Each construction organization should decide how to deal with labour and plant in off-loading lorries and distributing materials on site; either in unit rates or preliminaries.
3. The pricing notes do not bring out the concept of gang sizes. The composition of a brickwork gang may be two bricklayers assisted by one labourer, in other words a 2:1 gang. This is written as the time for a bricklayer and half the amount of time for a labourer.
4. The headings SMALL, MEDIUM and LARGE refer to the quantity or size of an operation.
5. The labour rates used are £12.50 for skilled and £9.50 for unskilled operatives. These rates were realistic between June 2003 and May 2004.
6. Outputs for labour and plant represent average times.

# Estimating and Tendering for Construction Work

## CB CONSTRUCTION LIMITED

## PRICING NOTES

|          |  |       |                          |           |  |
|----------|--|-------|--------------------------|-----------|--|
| Project  |  | Trade | <b>MODEL RATE</b>        | Date      |  |
| Ref. No. |  |       | <b>Unit rate pricing</b> | Sheet No. |  |

Typical  
bill  
description

Z20 Section of construction material

Section of construction material fixing to brickwork ....160 m

| Item details |                                                                                                                   |       |      |       | Analysis |      |      |     | Net<br>unit rate |
|--------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|------|-------|----------|------|------|-----|------------------|
| Ref:         | Description                                                                                                       | Quant | Unit | Rate  | Lab      | Plt  | Mat  | s/c |                  |
| <b>Mat</b>   | Unit price from supplier                                                                                          | 1     | m    | 2.42  |          |      | 2.42 |     |                  |
|              | Delivery and packing charges                                                                                      | 1/160 | item | 20.00 |          |      | 0.13 |     |                  |
|              | Overlap (usually sheet materials)                                                                                 |       |      |       |          |      |      |     |                  |
|              | Penetration (usually aggregates)                                                                                  |       |      |       |          |      |      |     |                  |
|              | Nails, plugs, screws, adhesives etc                                                                               | 3     | nr   | 0.12  |          |      | 0.36 |     |                  |
|              | Mortar (usually bricks, blocks & kerbs)                                                                           |       |      |       |          |      |      |     |                  |
|              | Waste – cutting from larger pieces                                                                                |       |      |       |          |      |      |     |                  |
|              | Waste – breakages before fixing                                                                                   |       |      |       |          |      |      |     |                  |
|              | Waste – during fixing                                                                                             | 0.05  | m    | 2.91  |          |      | 0.15 |     |                  |
|              | Waste – residue from large packs                                                                                  |       |      |       |          |      |      |     |                  |
| <b>Lab</b>   | Unload, store and distribute                                                                                      | 0.01  | hr   | 9.50  | 0.10     |      |      |     |                  |
|              | Craftsman at all-in rate                                                                                          | 0.2   | hr   | 12.50 | 2.50     |      |      |     |                  |
|              | Labourer assistance at all-in rate                                                                                | 0.04  | hr   | 9.50  | 0.38     |      |      |     |                  |
| <b>Plt</b>   | Electric drill and masonry bit                                                                                    | 0.2   | hr   | 0.40  |          | 0.08 |      |     |                  |
|              | (Small tools and equipment usually<br>priced in preliminaries as a small<br>percentage added to all labour costs) |       |      |       |          |      |      |     |                  |
|              | <b>Total net rate</b>                                                                                             |       |      |       | 2.98     | 0.08 | 3.06 |     | 6.12             |
| <b>ADD</b>   | A proportion of overheads & profit<br>(the rest will be shown as items<br>in the preliminaries bill)              | 10    | %    |       | 0.30     | 0.01 | 0.31 |     | 0.62             |
|              | <b>TOTAL UNIT RATE</b>                                                                                            | 1     | m    |       | 3.28     | 0.09 | 3.37 |     | 6.74             |

Fig. 11.2 Model rate caluculations

|                                                                                                                              |           |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |        |                                                |         |           |                                                           |        |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|------------------------------------------------|---------|-----------|-----------------------------------------------------------|--------|
| PRICING INFORMATION                                                                                                          |           |                                                                                                                                                   |        | GROUNDWORKS<br>EXCAVATION                      |         |           |                                                           |        |
| SMM7 NOTES                                                                                                                   |           |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |        | CESMM3 NOTES                                   |         |           |                                                           |        |
| Work section D20<br>Excavating and filling                                                                                   |           |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |        | CLASS E<br>EARTHWORKS                          |         |           |                                                           |        |
| 1 Information given in tender documents:                                                                                     |           |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |        |                                                |         |           |                                                           |        |
| a. ground water level                                                                                                        |           |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |        |                                                |         |           |                                                           |        |
| b. details of trial holes or boreholes                                                                                       |           |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |        |                                                |         |           |                                                           |        |
| c. live services and features retained                                                                                       |           |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |        |                                                |         |           |                                                           |        |
| 2 Working space measured separately                                                                                          |           |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |        | Excavation deemed to include working space     |         |           |                                                           |        |
| 3 Excavating below water measured separately                                                                                 |           |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |        |                                                |         |           |                                                           |        |
| 4 Earthwork support is measured whether needed or not, except to faces ne 0.25 m high and faces next to existing structures  |           |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |        | Excavation includes upholding sides            |         |           |                                                           |        |
| 5 Interlocking steel piling must be measured (D32)                                                                           |           |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |        | Piling for temporary works not measured        |         |           |                                                           |        |
| 6 Excavating foundations around piles identified                                                                             |           |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |        | Excavating foundations around piles identified |         |           |                                                           |        |
| 7 Underpinning measured in Section D50                                                                                       |           |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |        | Class E includes excavation for underpinning   |         |           |                                                           |        |
| Excavation                                                                                                                   |           | Average outputs – hr/m <sup>3</sup>                                                                                                                                                                                                |        |                                                |         |           |                                                           |        |
|                                                                                                                              |           | Hand dig                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | Small  |                                                | Medium  |           | Large                                                     |        |
|                                                                                                                              |           |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | JCB3CX | JCB JS150                                      | JCB3CX  | JCB JS150 | JCB JS150                                                 | CAT225 |
| Topsoil                                                                                                                      |           | 2–3                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | 0.30   | 0.20                                           | 0.20    | 0.15      | 0.10                                                      | 0.08   |
| Reduce levels & basements                                                                                                    | ne 0.25 m | 2–3                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | 0.30   | 0.12                                           | 0.20    | 0.11      | 0.09                                                      | 0.05   |
|                                                                                                                              | ne 1.00 m | 2–3                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | 0.20   | 0.10                                           | 0.15    | 0.09      | 0.07                                                      | 0.04   |
|                                                                                                                              | ne 2.00 m | 3–4                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | 0.20   | 0.10                                           | 0.15    | 0.08      | 0.06                                                      | 0.04   |
|                                                                                                                              | ne 4.00 m | 4–5                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | 0.25   | 0.12                                           | 0.20    | 0.11      | 0.09                                                      | 0.05   |
|                                                                                                                              | ne 6.00 m |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | 0.30*  | 0.15                                           | 0.25*   | 0.13      | 0.11                                                      | 0.07   |
| Trenches/Pits                                                                                                                | ne 0.25 m | 2–3                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | 0.35   | 0.22                                           | 0.25    | 0.17      | 0.11                                                      | 0.07   |
|                                                                                                                              | ne 1.00 m | 3–4                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | 0.25   | 0.20                                           | 0.20    | 0.14      | 0.10                                                      | 0.06   |
|                                                                                                                              | ne 2.00 m | 4–5                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | 0.25   | 0.20                                           | 0.20    | 0.12      | 0.09                                                      | 0.05   |
|                                                                                                                              | ne 4.00 m | 5–7                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | 0.30   | 0.25                                           | 0.25    | 0.20      | 0.12                                                      | 0.07   |
|                                                                                                                              | ne 6.00 m |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | 0.35*  | 0.30                                           | 0.30*   | 0.25      | 0.15                                                      | 0.09   |
| * May be beyond range of machine                                                                                             |           |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |        |                                                |         |           |                                                           |        |
| BREAKING OUT<br>EXISTING MATERIALS<br>hr/m <sup>3</sup>                                                                      |           | ROCK                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | CONC   | R CONC                                         | MASONRY | SURFAC'G  | These outputs are for breaking only<br>ADD the following: |        |
| Compressor & labourers                                                                                                       |           | 3.00                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | 2.00   | 3.50                                           | 1.50    | 0.75      | 25% for trench work                                       |        |
| JCB3CX and breaker                                                                                                           |           | 0.50                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | 0.40   | 0.55                                           | 0.25    | 0.20      | 25% to excavation rate                                    |        |
| JCB812 and breaker                                                                                                           |           | 0.35                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | 0.25   | 0.40                                           | 0.15    | 0.10      | 25% to loading rate                                       |        |
| CAT225 and breaker                                                                                                           |           | 0.30                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | 0.20   | 0.30                                           | 0.10    | 0.08      | 25% to removal rate                                       |        |
| Excavation outputs are normally expressed as m <sup>3</sup> /h. These tables use decimal constants for computer applications |           |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |        |                                                |         |           |                                                           |        |
| Excavation outputs depend on:                                                                                                |           |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |        |                                                |         |           |                                                           |        |
| Quantities                                                                                                                   |           | small, medium and large in the table is a guide to quantity of excavation                                                                                                                                                          |        |                                                |         |           |                                                           |        |
| Ground conditions                                                                                                            |           | the data above are based on 'normal' ground conditions (firm clay)                                                                                                                                                                 |        |                                                |         |           |                                                           |        |
| Bucket size                                                                                                                  |           | outputs based on: JCB3CX [backhoe/loader] with a bucket capacity of 0.30 m <sup>3</sup><br>JCB JS150 [backacter] with a bucket capacity of 0.60 m <sup>3</sup><br>CAT225 [backacter] with a bucket capacity of 1.20 m <sup>3</sup> |        |                                                |         |           |                                                           |        |
| Location                                                                                                                     |           | outputs assume reasonable access for plant and lorries                                                                                                                                                                             |        |                                                |         |           |                                                           |        |
| Disposal                                                                                                                     |           | where lorries have clear access, the above outputs are sufficient to excavate & load                                                                                                                                               |        |                                                |         |           |                                                           |        |
| Trimming                                                                                                                     |           | the outputs provide for trimming if labour is included in the excavation rate:<br>trimming should be priced separately for large areas and sloping surfaces                                                                        |        |                                                |         |           |                                                           |        |

Estimating and Tendering for Construction Work

|                            |                                                                                   |                                             |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| <b>PRICING INFORMATION</b> |  | <b>GROUNDWORKS<br/>DISPOSAL AND FILLING</b> |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|

| <b>SMM7 NOTES</b><br><b>Work section D20</b><br><b>Excavation and filling</b> | <b>CESMM3 NOTES</b><br><b>CLASS E</b><br><b>EARTHWORKS</b>                      |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1 Disposal off site is stated                                                 | Disposal of excavated material is deemed to be off site unless otherwise stated |
| 2 Disposal on site is stated                                                  | The location for material for disposal on site is given                         |
| 3 Only design-imposed locations are stated                                    | Double handling measured where expressly required                               |
| 4 Only design-imposed handling provisions are stated                          | Materials for imported filling are given                                        |
| 5 Kind and quality of fill materials are stated                               | Filling is deemed to include compaction                                         |
| 6 Compaction of filling is measured separately                                |                                                                                 |
| 7 The filling quantity is the volume of void filled                           | Filling to stated thicknesses measured m <sup>2</sup>                           |
| 8 Filling measured m <sup>3</sup> and compaction m <sup>2</sup>               |                                                                                 |
| 9 Filling thickness is that after compaction                                  | Penetration of filling over 75 mm deep is measured                              |
|                                                                               |                                                                                 |

| <b>LOADING OF EXCAVATED MATERIAL</b><br>outputs for loading lorries/dumpers | <b>REMOVAL OF EXCAVATED MATERIAL</b> |         |          |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------|----------|
|                                                                             | Tip located                          |         |          |
|                                                                             |                                      | on site | off site |
| JCB3CX                                                                      | m <sup>3</sup> /h                    | 10 mph  | 15 mph   |
| JCB JS150                                                                   | 10                                   | 3 min   | 6 min    |
| CAT225                                                                      | 15                                   | 15 mph  | 20 mph   |
|                                                                             | 20+                                  |         |          |

| <b>DEPOSITION AND COMPACTION<br/>OF FILLING MATERIALS</b> | <b>Output hr/m<sup>3</sup></b>      |               |                                       |               |                                     |              |
|-----------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------|---------------------------------------|---------------|-------------------------------------|--------------|
|                                                           | <b>JCB3CX + 2 Labs &amp; roller</b> |               | <b>JCBJS150 + 2 Labs &amp; roller</b> |               | <b>CAT943 + 2 Labs &amp; roller</b> |              |
|                                                           | <i>Small</i>                        | <i>Medium</i> | <i>Small</i>                          | <i>Medium</i> | <i>Medium</i>                       | <i>Large</i> |
| <b>Quantities</b>                                         |                                     |               |                                       |               |                                     |              |
| Filling to excavations ne 0.25 m                          | 0.25                                | 0.17          | 0.12                                  | 0.10          | 0.08                                | 0.05         |
| over 0.25 m                                               | 0.17                                | 0.12          | 0.10                                  | 0.08          | 0.07                                | 0.04         |
| Making up levels ne 0.25 m                                | 0.25                                | 0.17          | 0.12                                  | 0.07          | 0.05                                | 0.03         |
| over 0.25 m                                               | 0.17                                | 0.12          | 0.10                                  | 0.05          | 0.04                                | 0.03         |
| Blinding surfaces                                         | 0.33                                | 0.20          | 0.25                                  | 0.20          |                                     |              |
|                                                           | <b>Output hr/m<sup>3</sup></b>      |               |                                       |               |                                     |              |
|                                                           | <b>1 Lab &amp; roller/rammer</b>    |               | <b>1 Lab &amp; tandem roller</b>      |               | <b>CAT943 &amp; towed roller</b>    |              |
| Compacting open excavation/ground                         | 0.10                                | 0.05          | 0.05                                  | 0.03          | 0.02                                | 0.01         |
| Compacting filling (if not priced above)                  | 0.20                                | 0.10          | 0.06                                  | 0.04          | 0.02                                | 0.01         |
| Compacting under foundations                              | 0.20                                | 0.10          |                                       |               |                                     |              |

Outputs are normally expressed as m<sup>3</sup>/h. This table uses decimal constants for computer applications

Material from site spoil heaps will need to be loaded and transported to the filling site

|                    |                    |      |                   |      |
|--------------------|--------------------|------|-------------------|------|
| Conversion factors | Ashes              | 1.30 | Gabion stone      | 1.50 |
| including          | Blast furnace slag | 2.10 | Crushed limestone | 1.95 |
| consolidation      | Sand               | 1.75 | Scalpings         | 2.10 |
| t/m <sup>3</sup>   | Stone dust         | 1.75 | DOT type 1        | 2.30 |

|   |                                                                      |                    |  | £ | p |
|---|----------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|--|---|---|
|   | <u>D20 EXCAVATING AND FILLING</u>                                    |                    |  |   |   |
|   | <u>Excavating</u>                                                    |                    |  |   |   |
|   | Topsoil for preservation                                             |                    |  |   |   |
| A | 275 average depth                                                    | 380 m <sup>2</sup> |  |   |   |
|   | To reduce levels                                                     |                    |  |   |   |
| B | 1 m maximum depth, commencing 275 below existing ground level        | 246 m <sup>3</sup> |  |   |   |
|   | Trenches exceeding 300 wide                                          |                    |  |   |   |
| C | 1 m maximum depth, commencing 600 below existing ground level        | 38 m <sup>3</sup>  |  |   |   |
|   | Extra over excavation irrespective of depth for breaking out         |                    |  |   |   |
| D | rock (approximate)                                                   | 26 m <sup>3</sup>  |  |   |   |
|   | Working space allowance to excavations                               |                    |  |   |   |
| E | trenches, backfilling with selected excavated material               | 94 m <sup>2</sup>  |  |   |   |
|   | Earthwork support                                                    |                    |  |   |   |
|   | To faces of excavation                                               |                    |  |   |   |
| F | 2 m maximum depth, distance between opposing faces not exceeding 2 m | 140 m <sup>2</sup> |  |   |   |
|   | <u>Disposal</u>                                                      |                    |  |   |   |
|   | Excavated material                                                   |                    |  |   |   |
| G | off site                                                             | 237 m <sup>3</sup> |  |   |   |
|   | <u>Selected excavated material</u>                                   |                    |  |   |   |
|   | Filling to excavations                                               |                    |  |   |   |
| H | over 250 thick                                                       | 47 m <sup>3</sup>  |  |   |   |
|   | <u>Hardcore as D20.M010</u>                                          |                    |  |   |   |
|   | Filling to make up levels                                            |                    |  |   |   |
| I | Over 250 thick, obtained off site                                    | 252 m <sup>3</sup> |  |   |   |

To collection \_\_\_\_\_

Estimating and Tendering for Construction Work

CB CONSTRUCTION LIMITED

PRICING NOTES

|          |  |       |                   |           |   |
|----------|--|-------|-------------------|-----------|---|
| Project  |  | Trade | EXCAVATION        | Date      |   |
| Ref. No. |  |       | Unit rate pricing | Sheet No. | 1 |


| Item details |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |       |                |       | Analysis |      |     |     | Net unit rate |
|--------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|----------------|-------|----------|------|-----|-----|---------------|
| Ref:         | Description                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | Quant | Unit           | Rate  | Lab      | Pit  | Mat | s/c |               |
|              |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |       |                |       |          |      |     |     |               |
|              | The type of plant to be used should be selected by examining the nature of the ground and quantities for excavation and disposal                                                                                                                                       |       |                |       |          |      |     |     |               |
|              |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |       |                |       |          |      |     |     |               |
|              | Page 3/1 of the bill of quantities would be considered in relation to other excavation in the works such as drainage and external works                                                                                                                                |       |                |       |          |      |     |     |               |
|              |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |       |                |       |          |      |     |     |               |
|              | The total excavation on this page is:                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |       |                |       |          |      |     |     |               |
|              | topsoil $380 \times 0.275 =$                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | 105   | m <sup>3</sup> |       |          |      |     |     |               |
|              | to reduce levels                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | 246   | m <sup>3</sup> |       |          |      |     |     |               |
|              | trenches                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | 38    | m <sup>3</sup> |       |          |      |     |     |               |
|              |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | 389   | m <sup>3</sup> |       |          |      |     |     |               |
|              | The total disposal on this page is:                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |       |                |       |          |      |     |     |               |
|              | disposal off site                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | 237   | m <sup>3</sup> |       |          |      |     |     |               |
|              | filling to excavations                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | 47    | m <sup>3</sup> |       |          |      |     |     |               |
|              | topsoil retained on site                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | 105   | m <sup>3</sup> |       |          |      |     |     |               |
|              |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | 389   | m <sup>3</sup> |       |          |      |     |     |               |
|              |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |       |                |       |          |      |     |     |               |
|              | For a machine excavating at 10 m <sup>3</sup> /h (on average) there would appear to be at least a week of work. The additional costs of transporting a larger (backacter) machine is justified because it will be needed to break out rock and place filling materials |       |                |       |          |      |     |     |               |
|              |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |       |                |       |          |      |     |     |               |
| A            | Topsoil 275 mm deep                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |       |                |       |          |      |     |     |               |
|              | JCB JS150                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              | 0.15  | hr             | 22.00 |          | 3.30 |     |     |               |
|              | Banksman                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | 0.15  | hr             | 9.50  | 1.43     |      |     |     |               |
|              | Consider lorry or dumper if spoil to be taken away from building area                                                                                                                                                                                                  |       |                |       |          |      |     |     |               |
|              |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | 1     | m <sup>3</sup> |       | 1.43     | 3.30 |     |     | 4.73          |
|              | Topsoil 275 mm dp ( $\times 0.275$ )                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | 1     | m <sup>2</sup> |       | 0.39     | 0.91 |     |     | 1.30          |
|              |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |       |                |       |          |      |     |     |               |
| B            | Excavating to reduce levels                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |       |                |       |          |      |     |     |               |
|              | JCB JS150                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              | 0.09  | hr             | 22.00 |          | 1.98 |     |     |               |
|              | Banksman                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | 0.09  | hr             | 9.50  | 0.86     |      |     |     |               |
|              | Excavating to reduce levels                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | 1     | m <sup>3</sup> |       | 0.86     | 1.98 |     |     | 2.84          |
|              |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |       |                |       |          |      |     |     |               |

CB CONSTRUCTION LIMITED

PRICING NOTES

|          |  |       |                   |           |   |
|----------|--|-------|-------------------|-----------|---|
| Project  |  | Trade | EXCAVATION        | Date      |   |
| Ref. No. |  |       | Unit rate pricing | Sheet No. | 2 |

| Item details |                                                             |            |                |       | Analysis |       |     |     | Net unit rate |
|--------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|------------|----------------|-------|----------|-------|-----|-----|---------------|
| Ref:         | Description                                                 | Quant      | Unit           | Rate  | Lab      | Plt   | Mat | s/c |               |
| C            | <u>Excavating trenches</u>                                  |            |                |       |          |       |     |     |               |
|              | JCB JS150                                                   | 0.14       | hr             | 22.00 |          | 3.08  |     |     |               |
|              | Banksman                                                    | 0.14       | hr             | 9.50  | 1.33     |       |     |     |               |
|              | Labourer trimming                                           | 0.14       | hr             | 9.50  | 1.33     |       |     |     |               |
|              | Excavating trenches                                         | 1.00       | m <sup>3</sup> |       | 2.66     | 3.08  |     |     | 5.74          |
| D            | <u>EXTRA breaking out rock</u>                              |            |                |       |          |       |     |     |               |
|              | Assuming 20% in red lev and 80% in trenches                 |            |                |       |          |       |     |     |               |
|              | JCB JS150 and breaker [20% .35 hr]                          | 0.07       | hr             | 32.00 |          | 2.24  |     |     |               |
|              | JCB JS150 and breaker [80% .44 hr]                          | 0.35       | hr             | 32.00 |          | 11.20 |     |     |               |
|              | Add 25% to excavation rates red lev                         |            |                |       | 0.22     | 0.50  |     |     |               |
|              | Add 25% to excavation rates trench                          |            |                |       | 0.67     | 0.77  |     |     |               |
|              | Add 25% to disposal rate                                    | 0.25       | m <sup>3</sup> | 12.75 |          | 3.19  |     |     |               |
|              | EXTRA for breaking out rock                                 | 1.00       | m <sup>3</sup> |       | 0.89     | 17.90 |     |     | 18.79         |
| E            | <u>Working space allowance</u>                              |            |                |       |          |       |     |     |               |
|              | Excavation as for trenches                                  | 1.00       | m <sup>3</sup> |       | 2.66     | 3.08  |     |     |               |
|              | Assume 75% filling and 25% disposal                         |            |                |       |          |       |     |     |               |
|              | JCB JS150 and roller [75% of 0.08 hr]                       | 0.06       | hr             | 25.00 |          | 1.50  |     |     |               |
|              | Labourers (2 nr) [75% of 0.16 hr]                           | 0.12       | hr             | 9.50  | 1.14     |       |     |     |               |
|              | Additional earthwork support                                | not priced |                |       |          |       |     |     |               |
|              | Additional disposal                                         | 0.25       | m <sup>3</sup> | 12.75 |          | 3.19  |     |     |               |
|              |                                                             | 1.00       | m <sup>3</sup> |       | 3.80     | 7.77  |     |     | 11.57         |
|              | Assuming average thickness is 250 mm                        |            |                |       |          |       |     |     |               |
|              | Working space allowance                                     | 1.00       | m <sup>2</sup> |       | 0.95     | 1.94  |     |     | 2.89          |
| F            | <u>Earthwork support</u>                                    |            |                |       |          |       |     |     |               |
|              | For shallow trenches support may not be required (nil rate) |            |                |       |          |       |     |     |               |
|              | but the trenches may have sloping sides                     |            |                |       |          |       |     |     |               |
|              | Once an assessment is made of the average over-excavation   |            |                |       |          |       |     |     |               |
|              | the working space rate (above) can be used                  |            |                |       |          |       |     |     |               |
|              | Assuming average thickness is 300 mm                        |            |                |       |          |       |     |     |               |
|              | Earthwork support                                           | 1.00       | m <sup>2</sup> |       | 1.14     | 2.33  |     |     | 3.47          |



Estimating and Tendering for Construction Work

CB CONSTRUCTION LIMITED

PRICING NOTES

|          |  |       |                   |             |
|----------|--|-------|-------------------|-------------|
| Project  |  | Trade | EXCAVATION        | Date        |
| Ref. No. |  |       | Unit rate pricing | Sheet No. 3 |

| Item details |                                                               |        |      |       | Analysis |       |     |     | Net unit rate |
|--------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|--------|------|-------|----------|-------|-----|-----|---------------|
| Ref:         | Description                                                   | Quant  | Unit | Rate  | Lab      | Plt   | Mat | s/c |               |
|              |                                                               |        |      |       |          |       |     |     |               |
| G            | Disposal off site                                             |        |      |       |          |       |     |     |               |
|              | In this case it is assumed that 75% of material               |        |      |       |          |       |     |     |               |
|              | can be loaded directly into lorries at the time of excavation |        |      |       |          |       |     |     |               |
|              | This means that 25% is loaded as a separate operation         |        |      |       |          |       |     |     |               |
|              | JCB JS150 [15 m³/hr × 25%]                                    | 0.017  | hr   | 22.00 |          | 0.37  |     |     |               |
|              | The speed of loading is less for material loaded              |        |      |       |          |       |     |     |               |
|              | directly at the time of excavation, say 10 m³/hr              |        |      |       |          |       |     |     |               |
|              | The average rate of loading is therefore:                     |        |      |       |          |       |     |     |               |
|              | 25% at 15 m³/hr and 75% at 10 m³/hr = 11.25 m³/hr             |        |      |       |          |       |     |     |               |
|              | The other assumptions made for the calculation are:           |        |      |       |          |       |     |     |               |
|              | Lorry capacity 16 T                                           | 6.4    | m³   |       |          |       |     |     |               |
|              | Distance to tip                                               | 5      | m    |       |          |       |     |     |               |
|              | Tip charges per load                                          | £22.00 |      |       |          |       |     |     |               |
|              | Landfill tax (inert material)                                 | £2.00  | /T   |       |          |       |     |     |               |
|              |                                                               |        |      |       |          |       |     |     |               |
|              | Round trip calculation                                        |        |      |       |          |       |     |     |               |
|              | Load 6.4 m³ at 11.25 m³/hr                                    | 34     | min  |       |          |       |     |     |               |
|              | Haul to tip at 15 m/hr                                        | 20     | min  |       |          |       |     |     |               |
|              | Time on tip                                                   | 6      | min  |       |          |       |     |     |               |
|              | Return to site at 20 m/hr                                     | 15     | min  |       |          |       |     |     |               |
|              | Total                                                         | 75     | min  |       |          |       |     |     |               |
|              |                                                               |        |      |       |          |       |     |     |               |
|              | So each lorry will achieve 60/75 = 0.80 trips per hr          |        |      |       |          |       |     |     |               |
|              | and carry 6.4 × 0.80 = 5.12 m³/hr                             |        |      |       |          |       |     |     |               |
|              | If the maximum speed of loading is 15 m³/hr,                  |        |      |       |          |       |     |     |               |
|              | three lorries are needed at £22.00 per hour                   |        |      |       |          |       |     |     |               |
|              | Lorry cost is therefore 3 × 22.00 = £66.00/hr                 |        |      |       |          |       |     |     |               |
|              | The average rate of disposal is 11.25 m³/hr                   |        |      |       |          |       |     |     |               |
|              | Lorries                                                       | 0.09   | hr   | 66.00 |          | 5.94  |     |     |               |
|              | Tip charges £22.00 ÷ 6.4 m³                                   |        |      |       |          | 3.44  |     |     |               |
|              | Landfill tax 1.5 T/m³ × £2.00                                 |        |      |       |          | 3.00  |     |     |               |
|              | Disposal off site                                             | 1      | m³   |       |          | 12.75 |     |     | 12.75         |
|              | Note: Standard rate for Landfill Tax is £15/T 2004/2005       |        |      |       |          |       |     |     |               |
|              |                                                               |        |      |       |          |       |     |     |               |

**CB CONSTRUCTION LIMITED**

## PRICING NOTES

|          |  |       |                                 |           |   |
|----------|--|-------|---------------------------------|-----------|---|
| Project  |  | Trade | EXCAVATION<br>Unit rate pricing | Date      |   |
| Ref. No. |  |       |                                 | Sheet No. | 4 |

[illegible]

## Estimating and Tendering for Construction Work

|                            |                                                                                   |                         |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| <b>PRICING INFORMATION</b> |  | <b>IN SITU CONCRETE</b> |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|

| SMM7 NOTES<br>Work section E10<br>In situ concrete                                      | CESMM3 NOTES<br>CLASS F<br>In situ concrete                                                                                                                       |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1 Kind and quality of materials and mixes stated                                        | Concrete mix may be related to BS 5328, or a mix                                                                                                                  |
| 2 Tests of materials and finished work stated                                           | designed by the contractor, or a mix prescribed                                                                                                                   |
| 3 Limitations on pouring methods stated                                                 | in the specification; with items given separately                                                                                                                 |
| 4 Methods of compaction and curing stated                                               | for provision and placing of concrete                                                                                                                             |
| 5 Requirements for beds laid in bays to be given                                        |                                                                                                                                                                   |
| 6 Concrete assumed to be as struck or tamped finish                                     | Finishes to concrete measured separately                                                                                                                          |
| 7 Concrete measured net with no deduction for:                                          | Volume of concrete includes that occupied by:                                                                                                                     |
| ..reinforcement, sections under 0.50 m <sup>2</sup> , voids ..under 0.05 m <sup>3</sup> | reinforcement, cast-in items ne 0.1 m <sup>3</sup> , rebates, grooves and chamfers ne 0.01 m <sup>2</sup> , large and small voids, and joints in in-situ concrete |
| 8 Details of concrete sections given on drawings                                        |                                                                                                                                                                   |
| 9 Beds include blinding, plinths and thickenings                                        | Placing concrete in blinding measured separately                                                                                                                  |

|                               | Waste %                                                                                                                      | Output–operative hrs/m <sup>3</sup> |       |        |       |       |       |
|-------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------|--------|-------|-------|-------|
|                               |                                                                                                                              | Small                               |       | Medium |       | Large |       |
|                               |                                                                                                                              | plain                               | reinf | plain  | reinf | plain | reinf |
| Mass filling                  | 10.0                                                                                                                         | 1.45                                |       | 1.25   |       | 1.00  |       |
| Foundations                   | 7.5                                                                                                                          | 1.65                                | 2.00  | 1.40   | 1.65  | 1.10  | 1.30  |
| Ground beams                  | 5.0                                                                                                                          | 2.40                                | 2.90  | 2.00   | 2.40  | 1.60  | 1.90  |
| Isolated foundations          | 7.5                                                                                                                          | 1.65                                | 2.00  | 1.50   | 1.75  | 1.25  | 1.50  |
| Blinding beds                 | 35.0                                                                                                                         | 2.75                                |       | 2.40   |       | 2.00  |       |
| Beds ne 150 mm                | 10.0                                                                                                                         | 1.35                                | 1.65  | 1.30   | 1.55  | 1.20  | 1.45  |
| 150–450 mm                    | 7.5                                                                                                                          | 1.20                                | 1.45  | 1.15   | 1.35  | 1.05  | 1.25  |
| over 450 mm                   | 5.0                                                                                                                          | 1.10                                | 1.30  | 1.00   | 1.20  | 0.90  | 1.10  |
| Slabs ne 150 mm               | 5.0                                                                                                                          |                                     | 4.00  |        | 3.00  |       | 2.50  |
| 150–450 mm                    | 5.0                                                                                                                          |                                     | 3.00  |        | 2.50  |       | 2.25  |
| over 450 mm                   | 5.0                                                                                                                          |                                     | 2.50  |        | 2.00  |       | 1.50  |
| Troughed slabs                | 5.0                                                                                                                          |                                     | 3.50  |        | 3.00  |       | 2.50  |
| Walls ne 150 mm               | 7.5                                                                                                                          | 3.50                                | 4.20  | 2.75   | 3.30  | 2.00  | 2.40  |
| 150–450 mm                    | 5.0                                                                                                                          | 2.80                                | 3.35  | 2.30   | 2.75  | 1.80  | 2.15  |
| over 450 mm                   | 5.0                                                                                                                          | 2.00                                | 2.40  | 1.80   | 2.15  | 1.60  | 1.90  |
| Filling hollow walls 50 mm th | 20.0                                                                                                                         | 7.00                                | 8.00  | 6.00   | 7.00  | 5.00  | 6.00  |
| Filling hollow walls 75 mm th | 15.0                                                                                                                         | 6.00                                | 7.00  | 5.00   | 6.00  | 4.00  | 5.00  |
| Beams                         | 7.5                                                                                                                          | 4.50                                | 5.40  | 3.50   | 4.20  | 2.50  | 3.00  |
| Beam casings                  | 10.0                                                                                                                         | 4.95                                | 6.00  | 3.85   | 4.65  | 2.75  | 3.30  |
| Columns                       | 7.5                                                                                                                          | 4.50                                | 5.40  | 3.50   | 4.20  | 2.50  | 3.00  |
| Column casings                | 10.0                                                                                                                         | 4.95                                | 6.00  | 3.85   | 4.65  | 2.75  | 3.30  |
| Staircases                    | 7.5                                                                                                                          | 3.60                                | 4.30  | 3.00   | 3.60  | 2.40  | 2.90  |
| Upstands and kerbs            | 10.0                                                                                                                         | 6.00                                | 7.00  | 5.00   | 6.00  | 4.00  | 5.00  |
| <b>SLOPING ITEMS</b>          | Add 5% to labour for concrete laid up to 15°; and 10% for concrete over 15°                                                  |                                     |       |        |       |       |       |
| <b>LABOUR RATE</b>            | The effective rate for an operative is found by dividing the cost of a concrete gang by the number of operatives in the gang |                                     |       |        |       |       |       |
| <b>WASTE</b>                  | Waste includes losses due to small quantities and irregular levels for beds and blinding                                     |                                     |       |        |       |       |       |
|                               | Part load charges should be considered for very small quantities                                                             |                                     |       |        |       |       |       |
| <b>CURING</b>                 | The ouputs include labour for protecting and curing fresh concrete                                                           |                                     |       |        |       |       |       |
| <b>REINFORCEMENT</b>          | Considered concrete saving for members reinforced over 5% by volume                                                          |                                     |       |        |       |       |       |

## PRICING NOTES

|          |  |       |                                       |           |  |
|----------|--|-------|---------------------------------------|-----------|--|
| Project  |  | Trade | IN SITU CONCRETE<br>Unit rate pricing | Date      |  |
| Ref. No. |  |       |                                       | Sheet No. |  |

Reinforced in situ concrete; mix B, 20 mm aggregate; beds thickness 150–450 mm  
....160 m<sup>3</sup>

[illegible]

## Estimating and Tendering for Construction Work

**CB CONSTRUCTION LIMITED**

## PRICING NOTES


|          |  |       |                                         |           |  |
|----------|--|-------|-----------------------------------------|-----------|--|
| Project  |  | Trade | IN SITU CONCRETE<br>Operational pricing | Date      |  |
| Ref. No. |  |       |                                         | Sheet No. |  |

Typical  
bill  
description

## E10 IN SITU CONCRETE

Reinforced in situ concrete; mix B, 20 mm aggregate; beds thickness 150–450 mm  
....160 m<sup>3</sup>

[illegible]

|                            |                                                                                   |                 |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|
| <b>PRICING INFORMATION</b> |  | <b>FORMWORK</b> |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|

|                                                                                                   |                                                                                 |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>SMM7 NOTES</b><br><b>Work section E20</b><br><b>Formwork for in situ concrete</b>              | <b>CESMM3 NOTES</b><br><b>CLASS G</b><br><b>CONCRETE ANCILLARIES [Formwork]</b> |
| 1 Basic finish is given<br>.... where not at the discretion of the contractor                     | Finishes described as rough, fair or other                                      |
| 2 Plain formwork measured separately<br>.... where no steps, rebates, pockets etc                 | Formwork deemed to be plane areas<br>>1.22 m wide                               |
| 3 Rules distinguish between left in and permanent                                                 | Formwork left in shall be so described                                          |
| 4 Formwork is measured where temporary<br>support to fresh concrete is necessary                  | Formwork is measured where temporary<br>support to fresh concrete is necessary  |
| 5 Tender documents give sizes and positions of<br>members, and loads in relation to casting times |                                                                                 |
| 6 Radii stated for curved formwork                                                                | Radii stated for curved formwork                                                |
| 7 Formwork to members of constant cross section<br>measured square metres                         | Formwork to members of constant cross section<br>measured in linear metres      |

| LABOUR OUTPUTS                 | Output – carpenter hr/m <sup>2</sup> |       |        |       |       |       |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------|--------|-------|-------|-------|
|                                | Small                                |       | Medium |       | Large |       |
|                                | make                                 | F&S   | make   | F&S   | make  | F&S   |
| Foundations ne 250 mm          | 1.10                                 | 1.80  | 0.90   | 1.60  | 0.80  | 1.50  |
| 250–500 mm                     | 1.00                                 | 1.70  | 0.80   | 1.50  | 0.70  | 1.40  |
| 500 mm–1.00 m                  | 0.90                                 | 1.60  | 0.70   | 1.40  | 0.60  | 1.30  |
| over 1.00 m                    | 0.80                                 | 1.50  | 0.60   | 1.30  | 0.50  | 1.20  |
| Edges of beds ne 250 mm        | 1.20                                 | 1.90  | 1.00   | 1.70  | 0.90  | 1.60  |
| 250–500 mm                     | 1.10                                 | 1.80  | 0.90   | 1.60  | 0.80  | 1.50  |
| Edges of susp slabs ne 250 mm  | 1.30                                 | 2.40  | 1.10   | 2.20  | 1.00  | 2.00  |
| 250–500 mm                     | 1.20                                 | 2.30  | 1.00   | 2.10  | 0.90  | 1.90  |
| Sides of upstands ne 250 mm    | 1.20                                 | 2.20  | 1.00   | 2.00  | 0.90  | 1.90  |
| 250–500 mm                     | 1.10                                 | 2.10  | 0.90   | 1.90  | 0.80  | 1.80  |
| Soffits of slabs horizontal    |                                      | 1.20* |        | 1.00* |       | 0.90* |
| sloping ne 15°                 |                                      | 1.30* |        | 1.10* |       | 1.00* |
| sloping over 15°               |                                      | 1.40* |        | 1.20* |       | 1.10* |
| Soffits of troughed slabs      |                                      | 1.20* |        | 1.00* |       | 0.90* |
| Walls                          | 1.20                                 | 1.70  | 1.00   | 1.50  | 0.90  | 1.40  |
| Walls over 3.0 m               | 1.20                                 | 1.80  | 1.00   | 1.60  | 0.90  | 1.50  |
| Beams isolated regular shape   | 1.30                                 | 2.20  | 1.10   | 2.00  | 1.00  | 1.80  |
| irregular shape                | 1.40                                 | 2.40  | 1.20   | 2.20  | 1.10  | 2.00  |
| Beams attached regular shape   | 1.40                                 | 2.30  | 1.20   | 2.10  | 1.10  | 1.90  |
| irregular shape                | 1.60                                 | 2.50  | 1.40   | 2.30  | 1.30  | 2.10  |
| Columns isolated regular shape | 1.00                                 | 1.50  | 0.80   | 1.30  | 0.70  | 1.10  |
| irregular shape                | 1.20                                 | 1.60  | 1.00   | 1.40  | 0.90  | 1.20  |
| Columns attached regular shape | 1.20                                 | 1.80  | 1.00   | 1.60  | 0.90  | 1.40  |
| irregular shape                | 1.40                                 | 1.70  | 1.20   | 1.70  | 1.10  | 1.50  |

The carpenter rate should include part of a labourer's time for handling materials

Items marked \* need a carpenter rate plus a full labourer's rate to erect falsework


'Make' applies to timber shutters. Reduce for hired equipment or proprietary systems

ADD 0.15 hr/m<sup>2</sup> for fix and strike to walls with formwork one side

A small reduction for formwork LEFT IN is balanced by the labour costs in making

Estimating and Tendering for Construction Work

PRICING INFORMATION




FORMWORK  
Materials and equipment

| Timber formwork                                   |                | Units                          | Founds | Edges  | Soffits | Walls  | Beams  | Columns |
|---------------------------------------------------|----------------|--------------------------------|--------|--------|---------|--------|--------|---------|
| Sheet material                                    | Plywood        | m <sup>2</sup> /m <sup>2</sup> | 1      | 1      | 1       | 1      | 1      | 1       |
| Timber                                            |                | m <sup>3</sup> /m <sup>2</sup> | 0.04   | 0.06   | 0.05    | 0.05   | 0.05   | 0.05    |
| ADD 10% waste to plywood and timber               |                |                                |        |        |         |        |        |         |
| Bolts and nails                                   |                | kg/m <sup>2</sup>              | 1.0    | 1.0    |         | 1.0    | 1.5    | 1.5     |
| Surface preparation (consider varnish to plywood) |                |                                |        |        |         |        |        |         |
| Number of uses*                                   |                |                                | high   | medium | med/low | medium | medium | high    |
| Falsework and equipment (see examples)            |                |                                |        |        |         |        |        |         |
| Consumables                                       | Mould oil      | l/m <sup>2</sup>               | 0.3    | 0.3    | 0.3     | 0.3    | 0.3    | 0.3     |
|                                                   | Buried fixings | nr/m <sup>2</sup>              |        |        |         | 1.0    |        |         |
|                                                   | Nails          | kg/m <sup>2</sup>              | 1.0    | 1.0    | 1.5     | 1.0    | 1.5    | 0.5     |

\* Number of uses

1 Examine drawings and programme to determine the degree of repetition

2 Consider the standard of surface finish required

3 Consult programme to find time constraints

4 Investigate better quality shutters to increase uses

5 Will there be a salvage value after the work is finished

Key :

high = over 6 uses

medium = 3 to 6 uses

low = under 3 uses

Standard timber shutters can be used up to six times without too much repair and maintenance

At tender stage it can be assumed that the saving from additional uses is countered by the additional costs of repairs.

On the other hand, more than six uses can be achieved with higher quality shutter materials or applied protective coatings

Proprietary formwork systems

The following equipment can be hired for concrete work with little repetition, such as a large machine base or a retaining wall which must be cast in one pour.

Steel or ply-faced panels (pans)

Angles

Soldiers, walings and push/pull props

Tie rods and accessories

Radius panels and curved waling tubes

Equipment for foundations

Ground beams and machine bases may need telescopic props

Road forms are a useful alternative for strip footings, blinding and edges of beds

Equipment for soffits

A proprietary falsework system is usually used to support soffit formwork. A weekly rate can be obtained as a rate per m<sup>2</sup> of soffit depending on height and load to be carried

Standard profile GRP trough moulds can be hired

Non-standard profiles are purchased

The choice is dictated by the design

Expanded polystyrene trough moulds are cheaper than GRP but deteriorate quicker

Equipment for walls

Walings, soldiers, push/pull props and shutter ties

Lifting equipment – beam, chains and shackles

Equipment for columns

For a column 0.40 × 0.40 × 3.30 m high

Timber formwork will usually need:

Clamps at ave 500 mm centres      2 sets/m      1.2 sets/m<sup>2</sup>

Telescopic props No.3      4 nr/col      0.76 nr/m<sup>2</sup>

For a column 400 mm dia there are three options:

1. Cardboard tube for single use

2. GRP shutters for multiple uses

3. Steel shutters for hundreds of uses

CB CONSTRUCTION LIMITED

PRICING NOTES

|          |  |       |             |           |  |
|----------|--|-------|-------------|-----------|--|
| Project  |  | Trade | FORMWORK    | Date      |  |
| Ref. No. |  |       | Foundations | Sheet No. |  |

Typical bill description

E20 Formwork for in situ concrete

Formwork with basic finish specification type A to sides of foundations; 250 to 500 mm high .... 60 m

| Item details                                                                      |                                                     |       |                |        | Analysis |      |       |     | Net unit rate |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|-------|----------------|--------|----------|------|-------|-----|---------------|
| Ref:                                                                              | Description                                         | Quant | Unit           | Rate   | Lab      | Plt  | Mat   | s/c |               |
|                                                                                   | First calculate cost of 1 m <sup>2</sup> of shutter |       |                |        |          |      |       |     |               |
| Mat                                                                               | Plywood                                             | 1     | m <sup>2</sup> | 9.50   |          |      | 9.50  |     |               |
|                                                                                   | Waste 10%                                           | 0.1   | m <sup>3</sup> | 9.50   |          |      | 0.95  |     |               |
|                                                                                   | Timber                                              | 0.04  | m <sup>3</sup> | 210.00 |          |      | 8.40  |     |               |
|                                                                                   | Waste 10%                                           | 0.004 | m <sup>3</sup> | 210.00 |          |      | 0.84  |     |               |
|                                                                                   | Bolts and nails                                     | 1     | kg             | 1.20   |          |      | 1.20  |     |               |
|                                                                                   | Surface preparation                                 | nil   |                |        |          |      |       |     |               |
| Lab                                                                               | Carpenter                                           | 0.8   | hr             | 12.50  | 10.00    |      |       |     |               |
|                                                                                   | Labourer (one for four carpenters)                  | 0.25  | hr             | 9.50   | 2.38     |      |       |     |               |
|                                                                                   | Shutter cost                                        | 1     | m <sup>2</sup> |        | 12.38    |      | 20.89 |     | 33.27         |
| Mat                                                                               | Cost per use assuming 6 uses                        | ÷ 6   |                |        | 2.06     |      | 3.48  |     | 5.54          |
|                                                                                   | Equipment (say 4 nr props/m <sup>2</sup> )          | 4     | nr             | 0.20   |          | 0.80 |       |     |               |
|                                                                                   | Consumables – mould oil                             | 0.3   | l              | 0.80   |          |      | 0.24  |     |               |
|                                                                                   | Consumables – nails                                 | 1     | kg             | 1.00   |          |      | 1.00  |     |               |
|                                                                                   | Consumables – buried fixings                        | nil   |                |        |          |      |       |     |               |
| Lab                                                                               | Labour fix and strike                               |       |                |        |          |      |       |     |               |
|                                                                                   | Carpenter                                           | 1.5   | hr             | 9.50   | 14.25    |      |       |     |               |
|                                                                                   | Labourer (one for four carpenters)                  | 0.375 | hr             | 7.50   | 2.81     |      |       |     |               |
|                                                                                   | Formwork rate for one m <sup>2</sup>                | 1     | m <sup>2</sup> |        | 19.12    | 0.80 | 4.72  |     | 24.64         |
| The average height of formwork will be found from an examination of the drawings; |                                                     |       |                |        |          |      |       |     |               |
| on the other hand a shutter may be made to suit the maximum height which          |                                                     |       |                |        |          |      |       |     |               |
| is 500 mm                                                                         |                                                     |       |                |        |          |      |       |     |               |
|                                                                                   | Rate for 500 mm high shutter                        | 1     | m              |        | 9.56     | 0.40 | 2.36  |     | 12.32         |

Estimating and Tendering for Construction Work

CB CONSTRUCTION LIMITED

PRICING NOTES

|          |  |       |                            |           |  |
|----------|--|-------|----------------------------|-----------|--|
| Project  |  | Trade | FORMWORK                   | Date      |  |
| Ref. No. |  |       | Soffits of troughed floors | Sheet No. |  |

Typical bill description

E20 Formwork for in situ concrete  
Formwork with basic finish type A to soffits of troughed slabs; profile as detail 1 on drawing D338 550 mm thick; ribs at 900 mm crs; 3.0 to 4.5 m high to soffit.... 660 m<sup>2</sup>

| Item details |                                                                        |       |                |        | Analysis |       |       |     | Net unit rate |
|--------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|----------------|--------|----------|-------|-------|-----|---------------|
| Ref:         | Description                                                            | Quant | Unit           | Rate   | Lab      | Plt   | Mat   | s/c |               |
| *            | Price from supplier for expanded polystyrene core moulds               |       |                |        |          |       |       |     |               |
|              | is £40.00/m delivered to site with a maximum of 4 uses                 |       |                |        |          |       |       |     |               |
|              |                                                                        |       |                |        |          |       |       |     |               |
| Mat          | Rate for moulds at 900 crs                                             | 1.11  | m              | 40.00  |          |       | 44.40 |     |               |
|              | Plywood for continuous deck                                            | 1     | m <sup>2</sup> | 6.60   |          |       | 6.60  |     |               |
|              | Waste 5%                                                               | 0.05  | m <sup>2</sup> | 6.60   |          |       | 0.33  |     |               |
|              | Timber packing and sole plates                                         | 0.04  | m <sup>3</sup> | 210.00 |          |       | 8.40  |     |               |
|              | Waste 5%                                                               | 0.002 | m <sup>3</sup> | 210.00 |          |       | 0.42  |     |               |
|              | Nails                                                                  | nil   |                |        |          |       |       |     |               |
|              | Surface preparation                                                    | nil   |                |        |          |       |       |     |               |
|              |                                                                        |       |                |        |          |       |       |     |               |
|              | Purchase cost of materials                                             | 1     | m <sup>2</sup> |        |          |       | 60.15 |     |               |
|              |                                                                        |       |                |        |          |       |       |     |               |
| Mat          | Cost per use assuming 4 uses                                           |       |                |        |          |       | 15.04 |     |               |
| Plt          | Falsework from specialist                                              | 6     | wk             | 1.75   |          | 10.50 |       |     |               |
| Lab          | Labourer erect falsework                                               | 0.7   | hr             | 9.50   | 6.65     |       |       |     |               |
|              | Carpenter f&s deck and troughs                                         | 1     | hr             | 12.50  | 12.50    |       |       |     |               |
|              | Labourer (one for four carpenters)                                     | 0.25  | hr             | 9.50   | 2.38     |       |       |     |               |
|              | Labourer dismantle falsework                                           | 0.3   | hr             | 9.50   | 2.85     |       |       |     |               |
|              |                                                                        |       |                |        |          |       |       |     |               |
|              | Rate for troughed formwork                                             | 1     | m <sup>2</sup> |        | 24.38    | 10.50 | 15.04 |     | 49.92         |
|              |                                                                        |       |                |        |          |       |       |     |               |
|              |                                                                        |       |                |        |          |       |       |     |               |
| *            | This price was calculated by adding the costs of the moulds            |       |                |        |          |       |       |     |               |
|              | needed to make a typical pour, including end pieces.                   |       |                |        |          |       |       |     |               |
|              | The contractor's programme will show that sufficient moulds            |       |                |        |          |       |       |     |               |
|              | will be needed to prepare the next bay while the first pour is curing, |       |                |        |          |       |       |     |               |
|              | to allow continuity of work                                            |       |                |        |          |       |       |     |               |
|              |                                                                        |       |                |        |          |       |       |     |               |

## PRICING NOTES


|          |  |       |                                           |           |  |
|----------|--|-------|-------------------------------------------|-----------|--|
| Project  |  | Trade | FORMWORK<br>Columns (operational pricing) | Date      |  |
| Ref. No. |  |       |                                           | Sheet No. |  |

Typical  
bill  
description

Formwork with smooth finish type B to isolated columns; circular 340 mm diameter; 3 to 4.50 m high to soffit; in 74 nr ... 274 m<sup>2</sup>

[illegible]

Estimating and Tendering for Construction Work

|                     |                                                                                   |                   |
|---------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|
| PRICING INFORMATION |  | BAR REINFORCEMENT |
|---------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|

|                                                                                    |                                                                               |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| SMM7 NOTES<br><b>Work section E30</b><br><b>Reinforcement for in-situ concrete</b> | CESMM3 NOTES<br><b>CLASS G</b><br><b>CONCRETE ANCILLARIES (Reinforcement)</b> |
| 1 Nominal size of bars is stated                                                   | Nominal size of bars is stated                                                |
| 2 Bars classified as straight, bent or curved                                      | Bar shapes not given                                                          |
| 3 Links are measured separately                                                    | Links not separately identified                                               |
| 4 Lengths over 12 m to be given in 3 m stages                                      | Lengths over 12 m to be given in 3 m stages                                   |
| 5 Bar weights exclude rolling margin                                               | Mass of steel assumed to be 7.85 t/m <sup>3</sup>                             |
| 6 Bar weights inc tying wire, spacers & chairs                                     | Reinf items deemed to include supports to bars                                |
| .... only when at the discretion of the contractor                                 |                                                                               |
| 7 Spacers & chairs measured in tonnes                                              | Mass of reinforcement to include mass of chairs                               |
| .... where not at the discretion of the contractor                                 |                                                                               |
| 8 Location of bars not given in description                                        | Location of bars not given in description                                     |
| 9 Details of conc members given on drawings                                        |                                                                               |
|                                                                                    |                                                                               |

| Bar size mm | MASS kg/m | WASTE % | WIRE kg/t | SPACERS nr/t | UNLOAD BARS hrs/t | FIXING TIMES (total hrs/t) |               |       |                   |
|-------------|-----------|---------|-----------|--------------|-------------------|----------------------------|---------------|-------|-------------------|
|             |           |         |           |              |                   | FOUND                      | SLABS & BEAMS | LINKS | SITE CUT AND BEND |
| 6           | 0.222     | 4.0     | 14        | 60           | 3.7               | 40                         | 42            | 56    | 28                |
| 8           | 0.395     | 3.5     | 13        | 55           | 3.4               | 33                         | 35            | 48    | 23                |
| 10          | 0.616     | 3.0     | 11        | 50           | 3.2               | 28                         | 29            | 40    | 20                |
| 12          | 0.888     | 2.5     | 9         | 45           | 3.0               | 24                         | 25            | 36    | 17                |
| 16          | 1.579     | 2.5     | 8         | 40           | 2.8               | 22                         | 24            | 32    | 15                |
| 20          | 2.466     | 2.5     | 7         | 35           | 2.5               | 20                         | 22            |       | 14                |
| 25          | 3.854     | 2.5     | 5         | 30           | 2.3               | 18                         | 21            |       | 13                |
| 32          | 6.313     | 2.0     | 4         | 25           | 2.2               | 16                         | 20            |       | 11                |
| 40          | 9.864     | 2.0     | 3         | 20           | 2.0               | 14                         | 19            |       | 10                |
| 50          | 15.413    | 2.0     | 3         | 15           | 1.8               | 14                         | 18            |       | 10                |
|             |           |         |           |              |                   |                            |               |       |                   |

|                |                                                                                    |
|----------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| STRAIGHT BARS  | Suppliers will quote lower prices for straight bars                                |
|                | The fixing times for straight bars can be reduced by approximately 10–15%          |
| DELIVERY COSTS | Basic prices normally include delivery costs                                       |
|                | Small loads can attract a delivery charge typically £25 for loads under 8 tonnes   |
| SPECIAL SHAPES | Preferred shapes to BS 4466 normally included in bar prices                        |
| LONG LENGTHS   | Additional charges are made for lengths over 12 m                                  |
| SITE CUTTING   | Bars are rarely cut and bent on site except in the case of late design information |
|                | An additional cutting waste would be needed for site cut bars                      |
| NETT WEIGHTS   | Will steel be charged at calculated weight or weight delivered?                    |
|                |                                                                                    |

## PRICING NOTES


|          |  |       |                   |           |  |
|----------|--|-------|-------------------|-----------|--|
| Project  |  | Trade | BAR REINFORCEMENT | Date      |  |
| Ref. No. |  |       |                   | Sheet No. |  |

## E30 REINFORCEMENT FOR IN SITU CONCRETE

Bars, 16 mm nominal size, bent.....7.82 t

[illegible]

Estimating and Tendering for Construction Work

|                     |                                                                                   |                      |
|---------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|
| PRICING INFORMATION |  | FABRIC REINFORCEMENT |
|---------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|

|                                                                                                    |                                                                                      |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>SMM7 NOTES</b><br><b>Work section E30</b><br><b>Reinforcement for in-situ concrete</b>          | <b>CESMM3 NOTES</b><br><b>CLASS G</b><br><b>CONCRETE ANCILLARIES (Reinforcement)</b> |
| 1 Fabric reference and weight/m <sup>2</sup> is stated                                             | Fabric ref and weight/m <sup>2</sup> stated in 2 kg/m <sup>2</sup> bands             |
| 2 Laps are not measured                                                                            | Laps are not measured                                                                |
| 3 Laps between sheets are stated                                                                   | Laps between sheets not stated                                                       |
| 4 Fabric inc tying wire, cutting, bending, spacers & chairs when at the discretion of the contract | Fabric items deemed to include supports<br>Supports to top fabric is measured        |
| 5 Location of fabric not given in description                                                      | Location of fabric not given in description                                          |

| BS<br>Ref. | MASS<br>kg/m <sup>2</sup> | UNLOAD<br>FABRIC<br>hr/m <sup>2</sup> | FIXING TIMES (total hr/m <sup>2</sup> ) |               |       |       |                 | FIXING (hr/m)     |                 |
|------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|---------------|-------|-------|-----------------|-------------------|-----------------|
|            |                           |                                       | LARGE<br>BEDS                           | SMALL<br>BEDS | SLABS | WALLS | BEAMS<br>& COLS | RAKING<br>CUTTING | CIRC<br>CUTTING |
| A393       | 6.16                      | 0.02                                  | 0.06                                    | 0.11          | 0.14  | 0.20  | 0.67            | 0.40              | 0.67            |
| A252       | 3.95                      | 0.01                                  | 0.05                                    | 0.09          | 0.12  | 0.17  | 0.55            | 0.32              | 0.53            |
| A193       | 3.02                      | 0.01                                  | 0.04                                    | 0.08          | 0.10  | 0.13  | 0.40            | 0.25              | 0.40            |
| A142       | 2.22                      | 0.01                                  | 0.03                                    | 0.06          | 0.08  | 0.11  | 0.32            | 0.22              | 0.32            |
| A98        | 1.54                      | 0.01                                  | 0.03                                    | 0.05          | 0.07  | 0.08  | 0.25            | 0.17              | 0.25            |
| B1131      | 10.90                     | 0.04                                  | 0.11                                    | 0.17          | 0.25  | 0.35  | 0.90            | 0.70              | 1.00            |
| B785       | 8.14                      | 0.03                                  | 0.08                                    | 0.14          | 0.18  | 0.26  | 0.80            | 0.50              | 0.70            |
| B503       | 5.93                      | 0.02                                  | 0.07                                    | 0.11          | 0.14  | 0.20  | 0.67            | 0.40              | 0.67            |
| B385       | 4.53                      | 0.02                                  | 0.06                                    | 0.10          | 0.13  | 0.18  | 0.60            | 0.36              | 0.60            |
| B283       | 3.73                      | 0.01                                  | 0.05                                    | 0.08          | 0.10  | 0.13  | 0.40            | 0.25              | 0.40            |
| B196       | 3.05                      | 0.01                                  | 0.04                                    | 0.06          | 0.08  | 0.10  | 0.30            | 0.20              | 0.30            |
| C785       | 6.72                      | 0.02                                  | 0.07                                    | 0.12          | 0.15  | 0.22  | 0.80            | 0.45              | 0.80            |
| C636       | 5.55                      | 0.02                                  | 0.06                                    | 0.11          | 0.14  | 0.20  | 0.70            | 0.41              | 0.70            |
| C503       | 4.34                      | 0.02                                  | 0.06                                    | 0.10          | 0.13  | 0.18  | 0.60            | 0.36              | 0.60            |
| C385       | 3.41                      | 0.01                                  | 0.05                                    | 0.08          | 0.10  | 0.13  | 0.45            | 0.30              | 0.45            |
| C283       | 2.61                      | 0.01                                  | 0.04                                    | 0.06          | 0.08  | 0.11  | 0.32            | 0.22              | 0.32            |
| D98        | 1.54                      | 0.01                                  | 0.03                                    | 0.05          | 0.07  | 0.10  | 0.30            | 0.18              | 0.25            |
| D49        | 0.77                      | 0.01                                  | 0.02                                    | 0.04          | 0.05  | 0.08  | 0.20            | 0.14              | 0.20            |

|                     |                                                                                                                  |                           |                                                                     |
|---------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|
| ALLOWANCE FOR WASTE | Large areas                                                                                                      | 2.50%                     | For a high proportion of cut sheets<br>the waste must be calculated |
|                     | Small areas                                                                                                      | 5.00%                     |                                                                     |
| ALLOWANCE FOR LAPS  | 150 laps                                                                                                         | 10%                       |                                                                     |
|                     | 225 laps                                                                                                         | 16%                       |                                                                     |
|                     | 300 laps                                                                                                         | 22%                       |                                                                     |
|                     | 400 laps                                                                                                         | 31%                       |                                                                     |
| WIRE AND SPACERS    | Large areas                                                                                                      | 2.50%                     |                                                                     |
|                     | Small areas                                                                                                      | 5.00%                     |                                                                     |
| CHAIRS              | Typically                                                                                                        | 0.3–0.5 kg/m <sup>2</sup> |                                                                     |
| OPERATIONAL CHECK   | Laying fabric reinforcement in some large beds and slabs should be<br>reconciled with labour for laying concrete |                           |                                                                     |


## PRICING NOTES

Typical  
bill  
description

Fabric reinforcement  
Ref A252; 3.95 kg/m<sup>2</sup>; 225 minimum laps ... 556 m<sup>2</sup>

155


## Estimating and Tendering for Construction Work


|                     |                                                                                   |           |
|---------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| PRICING INFORMATION |  | BRICKWORK |
|---------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|

| SMM7 NOTES                                            | CESMM3 NOTES                                              |
|-------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>Work section F10</b><br><b>Brick/Block walling</b> | <b>CLASS U</b><br><b>BRICKWORK, BLOCKWORK AND MASONRY</b> |
| 1 Type & nominal size of brick stated                 | Type & nominal size of brick stated                       |
| 2 Thickness of construction stated                    | Thickness of construction stated                          |
| 3 Type of mortar stated                               | Type of mortar stated                                     |
| 4 Type of bond stated                                 | Type of bond stated                                       |
| 5 Facework and number of sides stated                 | Surface finish and fair facing stated                     |
| 6 Type of pointing stated                             | Type of pointing stated                                   |
| 7 Bonding to existing wall stated                     | Bonds to existing measured separately                     |
| 8 Building overhead is stated                         | Building overhead not stated                              |
| 9 Deemed to include all rough and fair cutting        |                                                           |
| ... where at discretion of contractor                 |                                                           |
| 10 Deemed to include all mortices and chases          | Rebates and chases measured separately                    |
| 11 Deemed to include raking out joints to form key    |                                                           |
| 12 Deemed to include returns, ends and angles         |                                                           |
| 13 Deemed to include centring                         |                                                           |
| 14 Walls include skins of hollow walls                | Cavity or composite walls stated                          |

| LAYING TIMES for bricks 215 × 102 × 65 mm |           | COMMONS | SEMI-ENG | FACINGS | MORTAR (m³/m²)    |                      |                   |
|-------------------------------------------|-----------|---------|----------|---------|-------------------|----------------------|-------------------|
|                                           |           |         |          |         | Nett<br>exc waste | No frog<br>15% waste | Frog<br>15% waste |
| half brick                                | hr/m²     | 1.10    | 1.15     | 1.30    | 0.018             | 0.021                | 0.023             |
| half brick fair one side                  | hr/m²     | 1.30    | 1.35     | 1.50    | 0.020             | 0.023                | 0.026             |
| half brick fair both sides                | hr/m²     | 1.50    | 1.55     | 1.70    | 0.022             | 0.025                | 0.029             |
| one brick                                 | hr/m²     | 2.00    | 2.10     | 2.40    | 0.046             | 0.053                | 0.060             |
| one brick fair one side                   | hr/m²     | 2.20    | 2.30     | 2.60    | 0.048             | 0.055                | 0.062             |
| one brick fair both sides                 | hr/m²     | 2.40    | 2.50     | 2.80    | 0.050             | 0.058                | 0.065             |
| 1½ brick                                  | hr/m²     | 2.70    | 2.90     | 3.20    | 0.074             | 0.085                | 0.096             |
| 1½ brick fair one side                    | hr/m²     | 2.90    | 3.10     | 3.40    | 0.076             | 0.087                | 0.099             |
| 1½ brick fair both sides                  | hr/m²     | 3.10    | 3.30     | 3.60    | 0.078             | 0.090                | 0.101             |
| Isolated piers                            | bricks/hr | 30      | 25       | 20      |                   |                      |                   |
| Projections                               | bricks/hr | 45      | 40       | 35      |                   |                      |                   |
| Arches                                    | bricks/hr | 25      | 20       | 15      |                   |                      |                   |
| Specials                                  | bricks/hr | 25      | 20       | 15      |                   |                      |                   |
| Brick coping                              | bricks/hr | 40      | 35       | 30      |                   |                      |                   |
| Unload by hand (lab) *                    | hr/thou   | 1.00    | 1.25     | 1.50    |                   |                      |                   |
| Distribute (lab) **                       | hr/thou   | 1.00    | 1.25     | 1.50    |                   |                      |                   |

|                                                                                                    |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1 The above outputs are for a bricklayer in a gang of two bricklayers and one labourer (ratio 1:½) |
| 2 Average waste allowance 5%                                                                       |
| 3 Waste can be more with facework, but reject bricks can be used elsewhere                         |
| 4 Bucket handle, weather struck and raked pointing can take longer (add 0.15 hr/m²)                |
| * Unloading can be omitted since most deliveries include mechanical off-loading                    |
| ** Distribution of materials may be priced in the preliminaries                                    |

| PRICING INFORMATION |  | BRICKWORK QUANTITIES |
|---------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|
|---------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|



| BRICKS                        | Wall thickness<br>mm | Number of bricks per square metre |              |                 |                          |                 |
|-------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------------------|-----------------|
|                               |                      | Total                             | English bond |                 | English garden wall bond |                 |
|                               |                      |                                   | Facing       | Commons to rear | Facing                   | Commons to rear |
| half brick                    | 102.5                | 60                                |              |                 |                          |                 |
| one brick facework one side   | 215                  | 119                               | 89           | 30              | 74                       | 45              |
| one brick facework both sides | 215                  | 119                               | 119          |                 | 119                      |                 |
| 1½ brick facework one side    | 327.5                | 178                               | 89           | 89              | 74                       | 104             |
| 1½ brick facework both sides  | 327.5                | 178                               | 178          |                 | 148                      | 30              |

| CONSTITUENTS OF MORTAR                               |                            |                          |                          |  |                                                |                            |                         |
|------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--|------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|
| SITE MIX<br>CEMENT/LIME/SAND<br>MORTARS BY<br>VOLUME | Cement<br>T/m <sup>3</sup> | Lime<br>T/m <sup>3</sup> | Sand<br>T/m <sup>3</sup> |  | READY MIX<br>LIME/SAND<br>MORTARS BY<br>VOLUME | Cement<br>T/m <sup>3</sup> | LSM<br>T/m <sup>3</sup> |
| Bulk density                                         | 1.12–1.60                  | 0.50–0.85                | 1.35–1.60                |  |                                                |                            |                         |
| Typical dry density                                  | 1.44                       | 0.72                     | 1.60                     |  | Typical dry density                            | 1.44                       | 1.85                    |
|                                                      |                            |                          |                          |  |                                                |                            |                         |
| 1:3                                                  | 0.49                       |                          | 1.62                     |  |                                                |                            |                         |
| 1:4                                                  | 0.39                       |                          | 1.74                     |  |                                                |                            |                         |
| 1:5                                                  | 0.32                       |                          | 1.83                     |  |                                                |                            |                         |
| 1:6                                                  | 0.28                       |                          | 1.88                     |  |                                                |                            |                         |
|                                                      |                            |                          |                          |  |                                                |                            |                         |
| 1:1:6                                                | 0.26                       | 0.13                     | 1.71                     |  | 1:6                                            | 0.24                       | 1.75                    |
| 1:2:9                                                | 0.18                       | 0.18                     | 1.75                     |  | 1:9                                            | 0.17                       | 1.85                    |
| 1:3:12                                               | 0.12                       | 0.18                     | 1.75                     |  | 1:12                                           | 0.13                       | 1.85                    |

Estimating and Tendering for Construction Work

CB CONSTRUCTION LIMITED

PRICING NOTES

|          |  |       |           |           |  |
|----------|--|-------|-----------|-----------|--|
| Project  |  | Trade | BLOCKWORK | Date      |  |
| Ref. No. |  |       |           | Sheet No. |  |

Typical bill description

F10 BRICK/BLOCK WALLING


Facing brickwork (PC £320 per thousand delivered and off-loaded); cement, lime, sand mortar 1:1:6. Vertical walls one brick thick; English bond; facework both sides with weathered joint as work proceeds ... 334 m<sup>2</sup>

| Item details |                                                              |             |                |       | Analysis |     |       |     | Net unit rate |
|--------------|--------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|----------------|-------|----------|-----|-------|-----|---------------|
| Ref:         | Description                                                  | Quant       | Unit           | Rate  | Lab      | Plt | Mat   | S/c |               |
|              |                                                              |             |                |       |          |     |       |     |               |
| Mat          | For 1m <sup>3</sup> of mortar 1:1:6                          |             |                |       |          |     |       |     |               |
|              | Cement                                                       | 0.26        | T              | 85.00 |          |     | 22.10 |     |               |
|              | Lime                                                         | 0.13        | T              | 95.00 |          |     | 12.35 |     |               |
|              | Sand                                                         | 1.71        | T              | 9.00  |          |     | 15.39 |     |               |
| Lab          | Unloading by general gang                                    | see prelims |                |       |          |     |       |     |               |
|              | Mixing by bricklayer's labourer                              |             |                |       |          |     |       |     |               |
| Plt          | Mixer and dumper                                             | see prelims |                |       |          |     |       |     |               |
|              |                                                              |             |                |       |          |     |       |     |               |
|              | Rate for 1:1:6 mortar                                        | 1           | m <sup>3</sup> |       |          |     | 49.84 |     | 49.84         |
|              |                                                              |             |                |       |          |     |       |     |               |
| Mat          | Price from supplier (£320/th)                                | 119         | br             | 0.32  |          |     | 38.08 |     |               |
|              | Waste                                                        | 5.95        | br             | 0.32  |          |     | 1.90  |     |               |
|              | Mortar inc 15% waste                                         | 0.058       | m <sup>3</sup> | 49.84 |          |     | 2.89  |     |               |
| Lab          | Distribute bricks (dumper in prelims)                        | 0.17        | hr             | 9.50  | 1.62     |     |       |     |               |
| *            | Bricklayers 1 nr                                             | 2.80        | hr             | 12.50 | 35.00    |     |       |     |               |
| *            | Labourer 0.5 nr                                              | 1.40        | hr             | 9.50  | 13.30    |     |       |     |               |
|              | Extra for weathered joint both sides                         | 0.3         | hr             | 12.50 | 3.75     |     |       |     |               |
|              |                                                              |             |                |       |          |     |       |     |               |
|              | Rate for facing brickwork                                    | 1           | m <sup>2</sup> |       | 53.67    |     | 42.87 |     | 96.54         |
|              |                                                              |             |                |       |          |     |       |     |               |
|              |                                                              |             |                |       |          |     |       |     |               |
| *            | Often an effective rate is calculated as follows:            |             |                |       |          |     |       |     |               |
|              | one bricklayer plus half labourer = 12.50 + 4.75 = £17.25/hr |             |                |       |          |     |       |     |               |
|              | in this example the rate for laying bricks would be          |             |                |       |          |     |       |     |               |
|              | 2.80 hrs @ £17.25 = £48.30/m <sup>2</sup>                    |             |                |       |          |     |       |     |               |
|              |                                                              |             |                |       |          |     |       |     |               |
|              |                                                              |             |                |       |          |     |       |     |               |
|              |                                                              |             |                |       |          |     |       |     |               |

|                                                 |                                                          |
|-------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| SMM7 NOTES                                      | CESMM3 NOTES                                             |
| <b>Work section F30</b>                         | <b>CLASS U</b>                                           |
| <b>Accessories/Sundry items</b>                 | <b>BRICKWORK, BLOCKWORK AND MASONRY</b>                  |
| 1 Closing cavities; width of cavities stated    | Closing cavities; width of cavities stated               |
| 2 Bonding to existing; thickness stated         | Bonds to existing work measured 'square'                 |
| 3 Forming cavities; width and ties stated       | Cavity construction stated                               |
| 4 Damp proof courses measured 'square'          | Damp proof courses measured 'linear'                     |
| 5 Joint reinforcement; width stated             | Joint reinforcement; width stated                        |
| 6 Laps in DPC and joint reinf not measured      | Laps in DPC and joint reinf not measured                 |
| 7 Joints in walls measured where designed       | Joints in walls measured where designed                  |
| 8 Proprietary items                             | Fixings and ties                                         |
| 9 Pointing flashings incl cutting grooves       |                                                          |
|                                                 |                                                          |
|                                                 |                                                          |
| <b>Brickwork labours</b>                        | <b>Accessories</b>                                       |
| Forming cavities 0.03 hr/m <sup>2</sup>         | Build in butterfly tie 0.01 hr each                      |
| Closing cavities vert 2.00 hr/m <sup>2</sup>    | Build in twisted tie 0.02 hr each                        |
| Closing cavities horiz 2.00 hr/m <sup>2</sup>   | Build in joist hanger 0.10 hr each                       |
|                                                 | Build in joint reinforcement 0.40 hr/m <sup>2</sup>      |
| Bonding to existing 3.50 hr/m <sup>2</sup>      |                                                          |
| Prepare wall for raising 1.00 hr/m <sup>2</sup> |                                                          |
| Wedging and pinning 1.25 hr/m <sup>2</sup>      |                                                          |
|                                                 |                                                          |
| <b>Designed joints</b>                          | <b>Insulation</b>                                        |
| Fibreboard to joint ne 200 0.15 hr/m            | Fix 25 mm cavity bats (inc clips) 0.20 hr/m <sup>2</sup> |
| Fibreboard to joint >200 0.50 hr/m <sup>2</sup> | Fix 50 mm cavity bats (inc clips) 0.25 hr/m <sup>2</sup> |
|                                                 | Fix 75 mm cavity bats (inc clips) 0.30 hr/m <sup>2</sup> |
| One-part mastic 10 × 10 mm 0.20 hr/m            |                                                          |
| One-part mastic 20 × 20 mm 0.40 hr/m            |                                                          |
| Two-part sealant 10 × 10 mm 0.30 hr/m           |                                                          |
| Two-part sealant 20 × 20 mm 0.60 hr/m           |                                                          |
|                                                 |                                                          |
| <b>Hoist and bed lintels</b>                    | <b>Damp-courses</b>                                      |
| Small precast concrete 0.15 hr/m                | ne 250 >250                                              |
| Large precast concrete 0.30 hr/m                | hr/m hr/m <sup>2</sup>                                   |
|                                                 | Vertical 0.05 0.30                                       |
|                                                 | Raking 0.05 0.30                                         |
|                                                 | Horizontal 0.04 0.20                                     |
|                                                 | Stepped 0.08 0.40                                        |
| Small steel 0.20 hr/m                           | Laps and waste 15% 10%                                   |
| Large steel 0.25 hr/m                           |                                                          |
|                                                 | Pointing in flashings 0.40 hr/m                          |
|                                                 |                                                          |
|                                                 |                                                          |
|                                                 |                                                          |

Estimating and Tendering for Construction Work

PRICING INFORMATION



BLOCKWORK

| SMM7 NOTES                                                                              | CESMM3 NOTES                                              |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>Work section F10</b><br><b>Brick/Block walling</b>                                   | <b>CLASS U</b><br><b>BRICKWORK, BLOCKWORK AND MASONRY</b> |
| 1 Type & nominal size of block stated                                                   | Type & nominal size/thickness of block stated             |
| 2 Thickness of construction stated                                                      |                                                           |
| 3 Type of mortar stated                                                                 | Type of mortar stated                                     |
| 4 Type of bond stated                                                                   | Type of bond stated                                       |
| 5 Facework and number of sides stated                                                   | Surface finish and fair facing stated                     |
| 6 Type of pointing stated                                                               | Type of pointing stated                                   |
| 7 Bonding to existing wall stated                                                       | Bonds to existing measured separately                     |
| 8 Building overhand is stated                                                           |                                                           |
| 9 Deemed to include all rough and fair cutting<br>... where at discretion of contractor |                                                           |
| 10 Deemed to include all mortices and chases                                            | Rebates and chases measured separately                    |
| 11 Deemed to include raking out joints to form key                                      |                                                           |
| 12 Deemed to include returns, ends and angles                                           |                                                           |
| 13 Deemed to include centring                                                           |                                                           |
| 14 Walls include skins of hollow walls                                                  | Cavity or composite walls stated                          |
|                                                                                         |                                                           |

| FIXING TIMES for blocks 415 × 215 mm |                               |                                | 75     | 100    | 150    | 190    | 200    | 215    |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| solid                                | Lightweight blocks            | hrs/m <sup>2</sup>             | 0.45   | 0.50   | 0.60   | 0.65   | 0.70   | 0.80   |
|                                      | Dense concrete blocks         | hrs/m <sup>2</sup>             | 0.60   | 0.65   | 0.80   | 0.90   | 1.00   | 1.15   |
|                                      | Masonry blocks                | hrs/m <sup>2</sup>             | 0.75   | 0.80   | 1.00   | 1.20   | 1.25   | 1.40   |
| hollow                               | Lightweight blocks            | hrs/m <sup>2</sup>             | 0.42   | 0.46   | 0.55   | 0.60   | 0.62   | 0.65   |
|                                      | Dense concrete blocks         | hrs/m <sup>2</sup>             | 0.58   | 0.61   | 0.67   | 0.75   | 0.80   | 0.85   |
|                                      | Masonry blocks                | hrs/m <sup>2</sup>             | 0.50   | 0.58   | 0.61   | 0.65   | 0.67   | 0.75   |
|                                      |                               |                                |        |        |        |        |        |        |
|                                      | Unload and distribute (lab)   | hrs/m <sup>2</sup>             | 0.05   | 0.07   | 0.10   | 0.13   | 0.13   | 0.14   |
|                                      | Extra for fairface one side   | hrs/m <sup>2</sup>             | 0.13   | 0.13   | 0.13   | 0.13   | 0.13   | 0.13   |
|                                      | Extra for fairface both sides | hrs/m <sup>2</sup>             | 0.20   | 0.20   | 0.20   | 0.20   | 0.20   | 0.20   |
|                                      |                               |                                |        |        |        |        |        |        |
|                                      | Mortar quants (exc waste)     | m <sup>3</sup> /m <sup>2</sup> | 0.0050 | 0.0067 | 0.0100 | 0.0127 | 0.0133 | 0.0144 |
|                                      | Mortar quants (15% waste)     | m <sup>3</sup> /m <sup>2</sup> | 0.0058 | 0.0077 | 0.0115 | 0.0146 | 0.0153 | 0.0166 |
|                                      |                               |                                |        |        |        |        |        |        |

- 1 The above outputs are for a gang of two bricklayers and one labourer (ratio 1:½)
- 2 For heavy blocks marked with  allow a labourer with every bricklayer (ratio 1:1)
- 3 Waste allowance 5%–7½% (except 10% for fairfaced blockwork and small areas)
- 4 Add to labour for high walls (30%) dwarf walls (35%) casings (60%) filling openings (70%)


## PRICING NOTES

|          |  |       |           |           |  |
|----------|--|-------|-----------|-----------|--|
| Project  |  | Trade | BLOCKWORK | Date      |  |
| Ref. No. |  |       |           | Sheet No. |  |

Dense concrete blocks 7N; cement and sand mortar 1:3; stretcher bond; vertical walls; facework one side; flush pointing; 140 mm thick ... 541 m<sup>2</sup>

[illegible]

Estimating and Tendering for Construction Work

|                     |                                                                                   |                   |
|---------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|
| PRICING INFORMATION |  | STRUCTURAL TIMBER |
|---------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|

|                                                                                              |                                                                         |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>SMM7 NOTES</b><br><b>Work section G20</b><br><b>Carpentry/Timber framing/First fixing</b> | <b>CESMM3 NOTES</b><br><b>CLASS O &amp; Z</b><br><b>TIMBER</b>          |
| 1 Kind, quality and treatment of timber stated                                               | Grade or species and treatment stated                                   |
| 2 Sawn or wrot timber stated                                                                 | Sawn or wrot timber stated                                              |
| 3 All sizes are nominal<br>.... unless stated as finished sizes                              | Nominal gross cross-sectional areas given<br>Thickness of timber stated |
| 4 Method of fixing and jointing given<br>.... where not at the discretion of the contractor  | Method of fixing and jointing not given                                 |
| 5 Labours on timbers not measured                                                            | Boring and cutting not measured                                         |
| 6 Lengths given if over 6 m                                                                  | Length of timber given in one of 7 bands Class O                        |


| Size of member |     | Sectional area m <sup>2</sup> | Plates floor/roof members | Wall or partition members | Pitched roof members | Gutters fascias eaves soffit | Supports |                                                          | Herringbone and block strutting |           |             |  |
|----------------|-----|-------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|------------------------------|----------|----------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------|-------------|--|
|                |     |                               |                           |                           |                      |                              | butted   | framed                                                   |                                 |           |             |  |
| Width          |     |                               |                           |                           |                      |                              | Depth    | grounds, battens, firrings, fillets, upstands, drips etc |                                 |           |             |  |
|                |     |                               |                           | hr/m                      | hr/m                 | hr/m                         | hr/m     | hr/m                                                     | hr/m                            | depth     | hr/m        |  |
| 38             | 38  | 0.001                         | 0.09                      | 0.14                      | 0.12                 | 0.18                         | 0.14     | 0.28                                                     |                                 | of joists | measured    |  |
|                | 50  | 0.002                         | 0.11                      | 0.16                      | 0.14                 | 0.11                         | 0.16     | 0.36                                                     |                                 | mm        | over joists |  |
|                | 75  | 0.003                         | 0.12                      | 0.20                      | 0.17                 | 0.24                         | 0.20     | 0.40                                                     | 75                              | 0.22      |             |  |
|                | 100 | 0.004                         | 0.13                      | 0.22                      | 0.18                 | 0.26                         | 0.22     | 0.44                                                     | 100                             | 0.25      |             |  |
|                | 125 | 0.005                         | 0.14                      | 0.24                      | 0.19                 | 0.28                         | 0.24     | 0.48                                                     | 125                             | 0.26      |             |  |
| 50             | 150 | 0.006                         | 0.15                      | 0.26                      | 0.22                 | 0.30                         | 0.26     | 0.52                                                     | 150                             | 0.27      |             |  |
|                | 175 | 0.007                         | 0.16                      | 0.28                      | 0.24                 | 0.32                         | 0.28     | 0.56                                                     | 175                             | 0.28      |             |  |
|                | 200 | 0.008                         | 0.17                      | 0.30                      | 0.25                 | 0.34                         | 0.30     | 0.60                                                     | 200                             | 0.30      |             |  |
|                | 50  | 0.003                         | 0.12                      | 0.20                      | 0.17                 | 0.24                         | 0.20     | 0.40                                                     | 225                             | 0.32      |             |  |
|                | 75  | 0.004                         | 0.13                      | 0.22                      | 0.18                 | 0.26                         | 0.22     | 0.44                                                     | 250                             | 0.35      |             |  |
|                | 100 | 0.005                         | 0.14                      | 0.24                      | 0.19                 | 0.28                         | 0.24     | 0.48                                                     | 300                             | 0.40      |             |  |
|                | 125 | 0.006                         | 0.15                      | 0.26                      | 0.22                 | 0.30                         | 0.26     | 0.52                                                     |                                 |           |             |  |
|                | 150 | 0.008                         | 0.17                      | 0.30                      | 0.25                 | 0.34                         | 0.30     | 0.60                                                     |                                 |           |             |  |
|                | 175 | 0.009                         | 0.19                      | 0.34                      | 0.28                 | 0.38                         | 0.34     | 0.68                                                     |                                 |           |             |  |
|                | 200 | 0.010                         | 0.21                      | 0.40                      | 0.33                 | 0.42                         | 0.40     | 0.80                                                     |                                 |           |             |  |
|                | 225 | 0.011                         | 0.23                      |                           |                      | 0.46                         |          |                                                          |                                 |           |             |  |
|                | 250 | 0.013                         | 0.25                      |                           |                      | 0.50                         |          |                                                          |                                 |           |             |  |
|                | 300 | 0.015                         | 0.30                      |                           |                      | 0.60                         |          |                                                          |                                 |           |             |  |
|                | 75  | 100                           | 0.008                     | 0.17                      | 0.29                 | 0.25                         |          |                                                          |                                 |           |             |  |
|                |     | 125                           | 0.009                     | 0.19                      | 0.34                 | 0.28                         |          |                                                          |                                 |           |             |  |
| 150            |     | 0.011                         | 0.23                      | 0.50                      | 0.40                 |                              |          |                                                          |                                 |           |             |  |
| 175            |     | 0.013                         | 0.25                      | 0.55                      | 0.46                 |                              |          |                                                          |                                 |           |             |  |
| 200            |     | 0.015                         | 0.30                      |                           |                      |                              |          |                                                          |                                 |           |             |  |
|                | 250 | 0.019                         | 0.37                      |                           |                      |                              |          |                                                          |                                 |           |             |  |
|                | 300 | 0.023                         | 0.40                      |                           |                      |                              |          |                                                          |                                 |           |             |  |
|                | 100 | 100                           | 0.010                     | 0.21                      | 0.40                 | 0.33                         |          |                                                          |                                 |           |             |  |
|                |     | 150                           | 0.015                     | 0.30                      | 0.60                 | 0.50                         |          |                                                          |                                 |           |             |  |
|                |     | 200                           | 0.020                     | 0.37                      |                      |                              |          |                                                          |                                 |           |             |  |
|                | 250 | 0.025                         | 0.42                      |                           |                      |                              |          |                                                          |                                 |           |             |  |
|                | 300 | 0.030                         | 0.45                      |                           |                      |                              |          |                                                          |                                 |           |             |  |
|                | 150 | 150                           | 0.023                     | 0.40                      |                      |                              |          |                                                          |                                 |           |             |  |
|                |     | 200                           | 0.030                     | 0.45                      |                      |                              |          |                                                          |                                 |           |             |  |
|                |     | 300                           | 0.045                     | 0.50                      |                      |                              |          |                                                          |                                 |           |             |  |

Nails can be priced in the preliminaries with a sum for sundry fixings. Alternatively, allow 2 kg/m<sup>3</sup> of timber. For example: if nails cost £1.20/kg the rate for timber 50 × 100 mm would be 2 × 1.2 × .05 × .10 = 1 p/m

Nails can be priced in the preliminaries with a sum for sundry fixings. Alternatively, allow 2 kg/m<sup>3</sup> of timber. For example: if nails cost £1.20/kg the rate for timber 50 × 100 mm would be 2 × 1.2 × .05 × .10 = 1 p/m

|                                                                                            |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| The above outputs are for each carpenter                                                   |
| Labour assisting carpenters can be added to the all-in rate or priced in the preliminaries |
| Average waste for structural timbers generally 7.5%                                        |
| Outputs will vary significantly depending on the complexity of the work                    |

## PRICING INFORMATION



## JOINERY

## SMM7 NOTES

**Work section N10**  
**General fixtures ..**  
**Work section P20**  
**Unframed isolated trims ..**

## CESMM3 NOTES

**CLASS Z**  
**SIMPLE BUILDING WORKS ..**  
**Carpentry and joinery**

P20 All timber sizes are nominal sizes unless stated  
 as finished sizes

The work is deemed to include ends, angles,  
 mitres, intersections except hardwood items

over 0.003 m<sup>2</sup> sectional area

Kind, quality and treatment of timber stated

Sawn or wrot timber stated

Method of fixing given .. if not at the  
 discretion of the contractor

All timber sizes are nominal sizes unless  
 otherwise stated

Boring, cutting and jointing not measured

Grade or species and treatment of timber stated

Sawn or wrot timber stated

Method of fixing not given

## Fixing softwood skirtings, architraves, trims window boards etc

Hr/m

| Size of member |     | Nailed | Screwed | Plugged & screwed | Screwed & pelleted | Size of member |     | Nailed | Screwed | Plugged & screwed | Screwed & pelleted |
|----------------|-----|--------|---------|-------------------|--------------------|----------------|-----|--------|---------|-------------------|--------------------|
| 19             | 19  | 0.10   | 0.13    | 0.18              | 0.23               | 38             | 19  | 0.12   | 0.15    | 0.22              | 0.25               |
|                | 25  | 0.10   | 0.13    | 0.18              | 0.23               |                | 25  | 0.12   | 0.15    | 0.22              | 0.25               |
|                | 32  | 0.10   | 0.13    | 0.18              | 0.23               |                | 32  | 0.12   | 0.15    | 0.22              | 0.25               |
|                | 38  | 0.12   | 0.15    | 0.20              | 0.25               |                | 38  | 0.15   | 0.19    | 0.26              | 0.29               |
|                | 44  | 0.12   | 0.15    | 0.20              | 0.25               |                | 44  | 0.15   | 0.19    | 0.26              | 0.29               |
|                | 50  | 0.12   | 0.15    | 0.20              | 0.25               |                | 50  | 0.17   | 0.21    | 0.28              | 0.31               |
|                | 63  | 0.12   | 0.15    | 0.20              | 0.25               |                | 63  | 0.19   | 0.24    | 0.31              | 0.34               |
|                | 75  | 0.15   | 0.19    | 0.24              | 0.29               |                | 75  | 0.23   | 0.29    | 0.36              | 0.39               |
|                | 100 | 0.17   | 0.21    | 0.26              | 0.31               |                | 100 | 0.29   | 0.36    | 0.43              | 0.46               |
|                | 125 | 0.19   | 0.24    | 0.29              | 0.34               |                | 125 | 0.31   | 0.39    | 0.46              | 0.49               |
| 25             | 19  | 0.10   | 0.13    | 0.19              | 0.23               | 50             | 19  | 0.12   | 0.15    | 0.23              | 0.25               |
|                | 25  | 0.10   | 0.13    | 0.19              | 0.23               |                | 25  | 0.12   | 0.15    | 0.23              | 0.25               |
|                | 32  | 0.12   | 0.15    | 0.21              | 0.25               |                | 32  | 0.15   | 0.19    | 0.27              | 0.29               |
|                | 38  | 0.12   | 0.15    | 0.21              | 0.25               |                | 38  | 0.17   | 0.21    | 0.29              | 0.31               |
|                | 44  | 0.12   | 0.15    | 0.21              | 0.25               |                | 44  | 0.19   | 0.24    | 0.32              | 0.34               |
|                | 50  | 0.12   | 0.15    | 0.21              | 0.25               |                | 50  | 0.19   | 0.24    | 0.32              | 0.34               |
|                | 63  | 0.15   | 0.19    | 0.25              | 0.29               |                | 63  | 0.24   | 0.30    | 0.38              | 0.40               |
|                | 75  | 0.17   | 0.21    | 0.27              | 0.31               |                | 75  | 0.29   | 0.36    | 0.44              | 0.46               |
|                | 100 | 0.19   | 0.24    | 0.30              | 0.34               |                | 100 | 0.31   | 0.39    | 0.47              | 0.49               |
|                | 125 | 0.23   | 0.29    | 0.35              | 0.39               |                | 125 | 0.4    | 0.50    | 0.58              | 0.60               |

The above outputs are for each carpenter

ADD 30% to the outputs for fixing hardwood

Average waste allowance is 7.5%. Varies depending on number of short lengths and mitres

ADD for cost of screws

## WC CUBICLES

|                   | fix    |
|-------------------|--------|
| Each door         | 1.25hr |
| Each partition    | 2.00hr |
| Each fascia panel | 1.50hr |


## KITCHEN UNITS

|           |       | assemble | fix    |
|-----------|-------|----------|--------|
| Base unit | each  | 0.65hr   | 0.50hr |
| Wall unit | each  | 0.55hr   | 0.75hr |
| Worktop   | metre |          | 0.50hr |

## PRICING NOTES

|                                |                                                                                                                         |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Typical<br>bill<br>description | G20 Carpentry/Timber framing/First fixing                                                                               |
|                                | Sawn stress graded (SS) timber, pressure impregnated with preservative; pitched roof members;<br>50 × 175 mm .... 174 m |

164

|                     |                                                                                   |                   |
|---------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|
| PRICING INFORMATION |  | WINDOWS AND DOORS |
|---------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|

|                                                                                                              |                                                                                                                              |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>SMM7 NOTES</b><br><b>Work section L</b><br><b>Windows/Doors/Stairs</b>                                    | <b>CESMM3 NOTES</b><br><b>CLASS Z</b><br><b>SIMPLE BUILDING WORKS ..</b>                                                     |
| 1 Kind and quality of materials given                                                                        | Shape, size and limits of work given                                                                                         |
| 2 Details given for treatment, tolerances, jointing, and fixing to vulnerable materials                      | Items are deemed to include fixings and drilling                                                                             |
| 3 Bedding and pointing frames measured                                                                       |                                                                                                                              |
| 4 Ironmongery, trims, surrounds, glazing and fixings deemed to be included where supplied with the component | Glazing is measured separately<br>Ironmongery and frames may be included in items for doors and windows where clearly stated |
| 5 Each leaf of multiple doors counted as a door                                                              |                                                                                                                              |
| 6 Approximate weight is given for metal doors                                                                |                                                                                                                              |
| 7 For glass supplied separately see L40                                                                      |                                                                                                                              |
| 8 Ironmongery (P21) includes matching screws                                                                 | Materials stated for ironmongery                                                                                             |
| 9 Nature of base for ironmongery is given                                                                    |                                                                                                                              |

| FIXING TIMBER WINDOWS |                | Output – carpenter hr/unit (or perimeter length) |      |          |      |              |      |
|-----------------------|----------------|--------------------------------------------------|------|----------|------|--------------|------|
|                       |                | casement                                         |      | box sash |      | roof windows |      |
|                       |                | nr                                               | m    | nr       | m    | nr           | m    |
| Windows               | ne 2 m girth   |                                                  | 0.35 |          | 0.45 |              | 0.50 |
|                       | 2–4 m girth    | 1.00                                             |      | 1.40     |      | 1.55         |      |
|                       | 4–6 m girth    | 1.40                                             |      | 1.90     |      | 2.20         |      |
|                       | over 6 m girth |                                                  | 0.26 |          | 0.35 |              | 0.40 |
| Bedding frames        |                |                                                  | 0.10 |          |      |              |      |
| Pointing frames       |                |                                                  | 0.15 |          |      |              |      |


| FIXING TIMBER DOORS AND FRAMES |                        | Output – carpenter hr/unit including hinges |          |           |          |                 |          |
|--------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------------------|----------|-----------|----------|-----------------|----------|
|                                |                        | standard                                    |          | 1 hr fire |          | ledged & braced |          |
|                                |                        | door                                        | door set | door      | door set | door            | door set |
| Doors                          | small * m <sup>2</sup> | 1.10                                        | 1.70     | 1.35      | 2.10     | 1.00            |          |
|                                | 762 × 1981 nr          | 1.50                                        | 2.60     | 2.00      | 3.40     | 1.40            |          |
|                                | 838 × 1981 nr          | 1.60                                        | 2.70     | 2.20      | 3.60     | 1.50            |          |
|                                | large m <sup>2</sup>   | 0.90                                        | 1.50     | 1.30      | 2.00     | 0.85            |          |

\* minimum 0.75 hr/door or 1.25 hr/door set

| Frames |                          | Output – carpenter hr/m |  |            |      |
|--------|--------------------------|-------------------------|--|------------|------|
|        |                          | lining & stops          |  | 1 hr frame |      |
|        |                          |                         |  |            |      |
|        | (Jambs, heads and sills) |                         |  |            |      |
|        | 38 mm thick m            | 0.22                    |  | 0.24       | 0.26 |
|        | 50 mm thick m            | 0.25                    |  | 0.26       | 0.30 |
|        | 63 mm thick m            | 0.28                    |  | 0.30       | 0.35 |
|        | 75 mm thick m            | 0.30                    |  | 0.34       | 0.40 |

|                      |                                                                                                                                                                                    |
|----------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>SPECIFICATION</b> | Specifiers commonly use manufacturers' references to provide technical requirements                                                                                                |
| <b>LABOUR RATE</b>   | The effective rate for a carpenter is found by dividing the cost of a 'carpentry' gang by the number of carpenters in the gang; typically one labourer can service five carpenters |
| <b>WASTE</b>         | Waste mainly due to damage to manufactured components, typically 2½%                                                                                                               |
|                      | For lengths of doors stops and linings allow 7½%                                                                                                                                   |

# Estimating and Tendering for Construction Work

|                     |                                                                                   |             |
|---------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|
| PRICING INFORMATION |  | IRONMONGERY |
|---------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|

|                            |              |
|----------------------------|--------------|
| <b>* Hinges</b>            |              |
| 75 mm butts                | 0.13 hr/pr   |
| 100 mm butts               | 0.20 hr/pr   |
| 125 mm butts               | 0.25 hr/pr   |
| Rising butts               | 0.25 hr/pr   |
| 300 mm T hinges            | 0.30 hr/pr   |
| 350 mm T hinges            | 0.35 hr/pr   |
| Double action spring hinge | 1.00 hr each |

|                            |              |
|----------------------------|--------------|
| <b>Door closers</b>        |              |
| Perko                      | 1.00 hr each |
| Overhead door closer       | 1.50 hr each |
| Single action floor spring | 1.50 hr each |
| Double action floor spring | 2.00 hr each |
| Door selector stay         | 0.75 hr each |

|                    |              |
|--------------------|--------------|
| <b>Bolts</b>       |              |
| 100 mm barrel bolt | 0.25 hr each |
| 150 mm barrel bolt | 0.33 hr each |
| 200 mm barrel bolt | 0.40 hr each |
| 300 mm barrel bolt | 0.50 hr each |
| 100 mm flush bolt  | 0.55 hr each |
| 150 mm flush bolt  | 0.65 hr each |
| 200 mm flush bolt  | 0.75 hr each |
| 300 mm flush bolt  | 1.00 hr each |
| single panic bolt  | 1.50 hr each |
| double panic bolt  | 2.00 hr each |

|                         |              |
|-------------------------|--------------|
| <b>Door accessories</b> |              |
| Door security chain     | 0.20 hr each |
| Door security viewer    | 0.35 hr each |
| Lever handles           | 0.40 hr/pr   |
| Escutcheon              | 0.15 hr each |
| Letter plate (and slot) | 1.25 hr each |
| 100 mm cabin hook       | 0.20 hr each |
| Numerals                | 0.10 hr each |

|                      |                     |
|----------------------|---------------------|
| <b>Wall fittings</b> |                     |
| Shelf bracket        | 150 mm 0.15 hr each |
|                      | 200 mm 0.17 hr each |
|                      | 250 mm 0.20 hr each |
| Handrail bracket     | 0.17 hr each        |
| Toilet roll holder   | 0.17 hr each        |
| Bell push            | 0.20 hr each        |
| Soap dispenser       | 0.20 hr each        |
| Hat and coat hooks   | 0.13 hr each        |

|                            |              |
|----------------------------|--------------|
| <b>Locks and latches</b>   |              |
| Rim latch                  | 0.75 hr each |
| Rim dead lock              | 0.75 hr each |
| Mortice latch              | 0.75 hr each |
| Mortice dead lock          | 0.75 hr each |
| Mortice deadlock & latch   | 1.00 hr each |
| EXTRA for rebated forends  | 0.50 hr each |
| Cylinder/night latch       | 0.75 hr each |
| Cabinet lock               | 0.75 hr each |
| Padlock, hasp and staple   | 0.40 hr each |
| WC/bathroom indicator bolt | 1.00 hr each |

|                                |              |
|--------------------------------|--------------|
| <b>Door handles and plates</b> |              |
| 150 mm pull handle             | 0.13 hr each |
| 225 mm pull handle             | 0.17 hr each |
| 300 mm pull handle             | 0.25 hr each |
| 150 mm flush handle            | 0.35 hr each |
| 225 mm flush handle            | 0.60 hr each |
| 300 mm flush handle            | 0.80 hr each |
| 200 mm finger plate            | 0.20 hr each |
| 300 mm finger plate            | 0.25 hr each |
| 740 × 225 mm kicking plate     | 0.55 hr each |
| 810 × 225 mm kicking plate     | 0.70 hr each |

|                           |              |
|---------------------------|--------------|
| <b>Window accessories</b> |              |
| Casement fastener         | 0.25 hr each |
| Casement stay             | 0.25 hr each |
| Mortice casement fastener | 0.75 hr each |
| Sash fastener             | 0.40 hr each |
| Spiral sash balance       | 0.75 hr each |
| Sash pulley               | 0.50 hr each |
| Fanlight catch            | 0.25 hr each |

|                              |              |
|------------------------------|--------------|
| <b>Furniture accessories</b> |              |
| Cupboard catch               | 0.25 hr each |
| Magnetic catch               | 0.20 hr each |
| Cupboard knob                | 0.17 hr each |
| Cabinet handles              | 0.20 hr each |
| Curtain track                | 0.75 hr/m    |
| Window blind                 | 0.75 hr/m    |
| Mirror 400 × 600 mm          | 0.60 hr/each |

|                              |              |
|------------------------------|--------------|
| <b>Floor fittings</b>        |              |
| Easyclean socket in concrete | 0.40 hr/each |
| Rubber door stop to timber   | 0.17 hr/each |
| Rubber door stop to conc     | 0.30 hr/each |

|                |                                                                      |
|----------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|
| WASTE          | Allow 2½% for replacement of damaged ironmongery                     |
| FIXINGS        | Check that ironmongery is supplied with matching screws              |
|                | Allow for sundry items such as cavity fixings for hollow backgrounds |
| * FIXING DOORS | The outputs for fixing doors include the fixing of hinges            |

CB CONSTRUCTION LIMITED

PRICING NOTES

|          |  |       |                     |           |  |
|----------|--|-------|---------------------|-----------|--|
| Project  |  | Trade | DOORS & IRONMONGERY | Date      |  |
| Ref. No. |  |       |                     | Sheet No. |  |

Typical  
bill  
description

L20 Timber doors/shutters/hatches  
Edward Stockley type KL flush doors; plywood faced for  
painting; 44 × 762 × 1981 mm .. 27 nr


| Item details |                          |             |      |       | Analysis |     |       |     | Net<br>unit rate |
|--------------|--------------------------|-------------|------|-------|----------|-----|-------|-----|------------------|
| Ref:         | Description              | Quant       | Unit | Rate  | Lab      | Plt | Mat   | s/c |                  |
| Mat          | Door price from supplier | 1           | nr   | 73.50 |          |     | 73.50 |     |                  |
|              | Waste                    | 0.025       | nr   | 73.50 |          |     | 1.84  |     |                  |
| Lab          | Unload and distribute    | see prelims |      |       |          |     |       |     |                  |
|              | Carpenter                | 1.50        | hr   | 12.50 | 18.75    |     |       |     |                  |
|              | Labourer assistance      | 0.20        | hr   | 9.50  | 1.90     |     |       |     |                  |
|              |                          |             |      |       |          |     |       |     |                  |
|              |                          |             |      |       |          |     |       |     |                  |
|              | Unit rate for door       | 1           | nr   |       | 20.65    |     | 75.34 |     | 95.99            |
|              |                          |             |      |       |          |     |       |     |                  |
|              |                          |             |      |       |          |     |       |     |                  |
|              |                          |             |      |       |          |     |       |     |                  |

Typical  
bill  
description

P21 IRONMONGERY  
P & M Winfox Ltd Metric Gold range; single action overhead door  
closers; Ref: 55006 - to softwood .... 27 nr

|     |                                     |             |    |       |       |  |       |  |       |
|-----|-------------------------------------|-------------|----|-------|-------|--|-------|--|-------|
|     |                                     |             |    |       |       |  |       |  |       |
|     |                                     |             |    |       |       |  |       |  |       |
|     |                                     |             |    |       |       |  |       |  |       |
| Mat | Unit price from supplier inc screws | 1           | nr | 43.25 |       |  | 43.25 |  |       |
|     | Waste                               | 0.025       | nr | 43.25 |       |  | 1.08  |  |       |
| Lab | Unload and distribute               | see prelims |    |       |       |  |       |  |       |
|     | Carpenter                           | 1.50        | hr | 12.50 | 18.75 |  |       |  |       |
|     |                                     |             |    |       |       |  |       |  |       |
|     |                                     |             |    |       |       |  |       |  |       |
|     |                                     |             |    |       |       |  |       |  |       |
|     | Unit rate for overhead door closer  | 1           | nr |       | 18.75 |  | 44.33 |  | 63.08 |
|     |                                     |             |    |       |       |  |       |  |       |
|     |                                     |             |    |       |       |  |       |  |       |

Estimating and Tendering for Construction Work

|                                                       |            |                                                                                   |                                                                              |                                                     |      |                        |      |                                      |  |       |  |
|-------------------------------------------------------|------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|------|------------------------|------|--------------------------------------|--|-------|--|
| PRICING INFORMATION                                   |            |  |                                                                              | PAINTING                                            |      |                        |      |                                      |  |       |  |
| SMM7 NOTES                                            |            |                                                                                   |                                                                              | CESMM3 NOTES                                        |      |                        |      |                                      |  |       |  |
| Work section M60<br>Painting/Clear finishing          |            |                                                                                   |                                                                              | CLASS V<br>PAINTING                                 |      |                        |      |                                      |  |       |  |
| 1 Kind and quality of materials stated                |            |                                                                                   |                                                                              | Material to be used is stated                       |      |                        |      |                                      |  |       |  |
| 2 Nature of base & preparatory work given             |            |                                                                                   |                                                                              | Preparation normally deemed to be included          |      |                        |      |                                      |  |       |  |
| 3 Priming, sealing & undercoats enumerated            |            |                                                                                   |                                                                              | Number of coats or film thickness given             |      |                        |      |                                      |  |       |  |
| 4 Method of application given                         |            |                                                                                   |                                                                              |                                                     |      |                        |      |                                      |  |       |  |
| 5 Rubbing down deemed to be included                  |            |                                                                                   |                                                                              |                                                     |      |                        |      |                                      |  |       |  |
| 6 Work is internal unless otherwise stated            |            |                                                                                   |                                                                              |                                                     |      |                        |      |                                      |  |       |  |
| 7 Work in staircase areas and plantrooms stated       |            |                                                                                   |                                                                              |                                                     |      |                        |      |                                      |  |       |  |
| 8 Work to ceilings over 3.50 m stated (except stairs) |            |                                                                                   |                                                                              | Height of work not given                            |      |                        |      |                                      |  |       |  |
| 9 Primary classification is the member painted        |            |                                                                                   |                                                                              | Primary classification is the type of paint         |      |                        |      |                                      |  |       |  |
| 10 Secondary classification is the surface features   |            |                                                                                   |                                                                              | Secondary classification is the background material |      |                        |      |                                      |  |       |  |
| 11 No deduction for voids ne 0.50 m <sup>2</sup>      |            |                                                                                   |                                                                              | No deduction for voids ne 0.50 m <sup>2</sup>       |      |                        |      |                                      |  |       |  |
|                                                       |            |                                                                                   |                                                                              |                                                     |      |                        |      |                                      |  |       |  |
|                                                       |            |                                                                                   |                                                                              | Output—operative hr/unit                            |      |                        |      |                                      |  |       |  |
|                                                       |            |                                                                                   |                                                                              | girth > 300 mm (m <sup>2</sup> )                    |      | isolated ne 300 mm (m) |      | isolated ne 0.50 m <sup>2</sup> (nr) |  |       |  |
|                                                       |            |                                                                                   |                                                                              | one                                                 |      | three                  |      | one                                  |  | three |  |
| General surfaces                                      | Emulsion   | to plaster                                                                        | 0.09                                                                         | 0.24                                                | 0.03 | 0.08                   | 0.08 | 0.22                                 |  |       |  |
|                                                       |            | to smooth conc                                                                    | 0.10                                                                         | 0.28                                                | 0.03 | 0.09                   | 0.09 | 0.25                                 |  |       |  |
|                                                       |            | to board                                                                          | 0.10                                                                         | 0.26                                                | 0.03 | 0.08                   | 0.09 | 0.23                                 |  |       |  |
|                                                       |            | to tex'd paper                                                                    | 0.10                                                                         | 0.26                                                | 0.03 | 0.08                   | 0.09 | 0.23                                 |  |       |  |
|                                                       |            | to fair blockwork                                                                 | 0.11                                                                         | 0.30                                                | 0.04 | 0.10                   | 0.10 | 0.27                                 |  |       |  |
| Prepare and prime                                     |            |                                                                                   | 0.17                                                                         |                                                     | 0.02 |                        | 0.17 |                                      |  |       |  |
| Undercoat                                             |            |                                                                                   | 0.15                                                                         |                                                     | 0.02 |                        | 0.15 |                                      |  |       |  |
| Finishing                                             |            |                                                                                   | 0.17                                                                         |                                                     | 0.03 |                        | 0.17 |                                      |  |       |  |
| 1 pr, 1 uc, 1 fin                                     |            |                                                                                   |                                                                              | 0.45                                                |      | 0.14                   |      | 0.41                                 |  |       |  |
| Glazed units                                          | panes      | ne 0.10 m <sup>2</sup>                                                            | 0.24                                                                         | 0.68                                                | 0.04 | 0.22                   | 0.21 | 0.61                                 |  |       |  |
|                                                       | panes      | 0.10–0.50 m <sup>2</sup>                                                          | 0.20                                                                         | 0.56                                                | 0.03 | 0.18                   | 0.18 | 0.50                                 |  |       |  |
|                                                       | panes      | 0.50–1.00 m <sup>2</sup>                                                          | 0.18                                                                         | 0.50                                                | 0.03 | 0.16                   | 0.16 | 0.45                                 |  |       |  |
|                                                       | panes      | over 1.00 m <sup>2</sup>                                                          | 0.16                                                                         | 0.45                                                | 0.03 | 0.14                   | 0.15 | 0.41                                 |  |       |  |
| Structural metalwork                                  |            |                                                                                   | 0.23                                                                         | 0.65                                                | 0.05 | 0.21                   | 0.21 | 0.59                                 |  |       |  |
| Trusses and girders                                   |            |                                                                                   | 0.18                                                                         | 0.50                                                | 0.06 | 0.16                   | 0.16 | 0.45                                 |  |       |  |
| Radiators                                             |            |                                                                                   | 0.19                                                                         | 0.55                                                | 0.03 | 0.17                   | 0.18 | 0.50                                 |  |       |  |
| Fencing                                               | Plain open |                                                                                   | 0.13                                                                         | 0.35                                                | 0.02 | 0.11                   | 0.12 | 0.32                                 |  |       |  |
|                                                       | Close      |                                                                                   | 0.16                                                                         | 0.45                                                | 0.03 | 0.14                   | 0.15 | 0.41                                 |  |       |  |
| Ornamental                                            |            |                                                                                   | 0.23                                                                         | 0.65                                                | 0.05 | 0.21                   | 0.21 | 0.59                                 |  |       |  |
| Gutters                                               |            |                                                                                   | 0.19                                                                         | 0.55                                                | 0.03 | 0.17                   | 0.18 | 0.50                                 |  |       |  |
| Services (eg. pipes and ducts)                        |            |                                                                                   | 0.23                                                                         | 0.65                                                | 0.10 | 0.21                   | 0.21 | 0.59                                 |  |       |  |
| PREPARATION                                           |            |                                                                                   | Washing down, rubbing down, and filling holes included in priming coat       |                                                     |      |                        |      |                                      |  |       |  |
| LABOUR RATE                                           |            |                                                                                   | The effective rate for an operative is                                       |                                                     |      |                        |      |                                      |  |       |  |
| HEIGHT ALLOWANCE                                      |            |                                                                                   | ADD 25% for working from ladders                                             |                                                     |      |                        |      |                                      |  |       |  |
|                                                       |            |                                                                                   | ADD 15% for working from scaffolding or staging                              |                                                     |      |                        |      |                                      |  |       |  |
| COVERAGE                                              |            |                                                                                   | Check with paint manufacturer particularly for porous surfaces               |                                                     |      |                        |      |                                      |  |       |  |
|                                                       |            |                                                                                   | On average 0.07–0.08 litres of emulsion or gloss required per m <sup>2</sup> |                                                     |      |                        |      |                                      |  |       |  |
|                                                       |            |                                                                                   | but this could double with surfaces such as blockwork or soft boarding       |                                                     |      |                        |      |                                      |  |       |  |
| 'OLD' WORK                                            |            |                                                                                   | ADD 25% for painting previously decorated surfaces                           |                                                     |      |                        |      |                                      |  |       |  |

CB CONSTRUCTION LIMITED

PRICING NOTES

|          |  |       |          |           |  |
|----------|--|-------|----------|-----------|--|
| Project  |  | Trade | PAINTING | Date      |  |
| Ref. No. |  |       |          | Sheet No. |  |

Typical bill description

M60 PAINTING AND CLEAR FINISHING  
  
Painting concrete—one mist coat and two full coats emulsion paint—as spec M60; general surfaces over 300 girth.. 270 m²

| Item details |                                 |       |      |       | Analysis |     |      |     | Net unit rate |
|--------------|---------------------------------|-------|------|-------|----------|-----|------|-----|---------------|
| Ref:         | Description                     | Quant | Unit | Rate  | Lab      | Plt | Mat  | s/c |               |
|              |                                 |       |      |       |          |     |      |     |               |
| Mat          | Emulsion paint                  | 0.2   | l    | 2.75  |          |     | 0.55 |     |               |
|              | Brushes and sundries            | 1     | item | 0.10  |          |     | 0.10 |     |               |
| Lab          | Painter                         | 0.25  | hr   | 12.50 | 3.13     |     |      |     |               |
|              |                                 |       |      |       |          |     |      |     |               |
|              |                                 |       |      |       |          |     |      |     |               |
|              |                                 |       |      |       |          |     |      |     |               |
|              | Unit rate for emulsion painting | 1     | m²   |       | 3.13     |     | 0.65 |     | 3.78          |
|              |                                 |       |      |       |          |     |      |     |               |
|              |                                 |       |      |       |          |     |      |     |               |

Typical bill description

M60 PAINTING AND CLEAR FINISHING  
  
Painting wood—one coat primer, one undercoat and one finishing coat—as spec M300; general surfaces; not exceeding 300 girth.. 64 m

|     |                                     |      |      |       |      |  |      |  |      |
|-----|-------------------------------------|------|------|-------|------|--|------|--|------|
|     |                                     |      |      |       |      |  |      |  |      |
| Mat | Paints                              | 0.24 | l    | 3.75  |      |  | 0.90 |  |      |
|     | Brushes and sundries                | 1    | item | 0.04  |      |  | 0.04 |  |      |
| Lab | Painter – prepare and prime         | 0.02 | hr   | 12.50 | 0.25 |  |      |  |      |
|     | Painter – undercoat                 | 0.02 | hr   | 12.50 | 0.25 |  |      |  |      |
|     | Painter – finishing coat            | 0.03 | hr   | 12.50 | 0.38 |  |      |  |      |
|     |                                     |      |      |       |      |  |      |  |      |
|     |                                     |      |      |       |      |  |      |  |      |
|     | Unit rate for oil painting woodwork | 1    | m    |       | 0.88 |  | 0.94 |  | 1.82 |
|     |                                     |      |      |       |      |  |      |  |      |
|     |                                     |      |      |       |      |  |      |  |      |

*Estimating and Tendering for Construction Work*

|                     |                                                                                   |                   |
|---------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|
| PRICING INFORMATION |  | DRAINAGE PIPEWORK |
|---------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|

| SMM7 NOTES                                                                                                                                     | CESMM3 NOTES                                                                                                                                  |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>Work section R12</b>                                                                                                                        | <b>CLASS I</b>                                                                                                                                |
| <b>Drainage below ground</b>                                                                                                                   | <b>PIPEWORK - PIPES</b>                                                                                                                       |
| 1 Kind and quality and nominal size of pipes stated                                                                                            | Kind and quality and nominal size of pipes stated                                                                                             |
| 2 Method of jointing pipes stated                                                                                                              | Method of jointing pipes stated                                                                                                               |
| 3 Excavating trenches includes earthwork support, .. consolidating trench bottoms, backfilling, and .. disposal of surplus excavated materials | Excavating trenches includes earthwork support, .. surface preparation, pipework, backfilling, and .. disposal of surplus excavated materials |
| 4 Backfilling with imported materials stated                                                                                                   | Backfilling measured in CLASSES K and L                                                                                                       |
| 5 Location for disposal stated                                                                                                                 | Disposal normally at discretion of contractor                                                                                                 |
| 6 Average depth of trench given in 250 mm stages                                                                                               | Average depth to invert given in 500 mm bands                                                                                                 |
| 7 Difficult conditions of locations stated                                                                                                     | Pipe locations identified in descriptions                                                                                                     |
| 8 Breaking out hard materials & reinstatement given                                                                                            | Crossings and reinstatement given in CLASS K                                                                                                  |
| 9 Dimensions of bed and surround given                                                                                                         | Hard dig and beds/surrounds given in CLASS L                                                                                                  |
| 10 Pipe fittings measured extra over pipework                                                                                                  | Pipe fittings and valves measured in CLASS J                                                                                                  |

| LAYING PIPEWORK |        | Labour and plant in laying and jointing pipes in trenches hr/m (pipes) hr/nr (fittings)        |     |                            |      |                      |      |                          |      |
|-----------------|--------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|----------------------------|------|----------------------|------|--------------------------|------|
|                 |        | PVC<br>push fit                                                                                |     | CLAY<br>push fit or sleeve |      | CONCRETE<br>push fit |      | CHANNEL<br>on mortar bed |      |
|                 |        | lab                                                                                            | plt | lab                        | plt  | lab                  | plt  | lab                      | plt  |
| 100             | pipe   | 0.10                                                                                           |     | 0.25                       |      | 0.25                 |      | 0.40                     |      |
|                 | bend   | 0.14                                                                                           |     | 0.20                       |      | 0.20                 |      | 0.50                     |      |
|                 | branch | 0.28                                                                                           |     | 0.40                       |      | 0.40                 |      | 0.55                     |      |
| 150             | pipe   | 0.15                                                                                           |     | 0.30                       |      | 0.30                 |      | 0.50                     |      |
|                 | bend   | 0.18                                                                                           |     | 0.25                       |      | 0.25                 |      | 0.65                     |      |
|                 | branch | 0.32                                                                                           |     | 0.45                       |      | 0.45                 |      | 0.70                     |      |
| 225             | pipe   | 0.25                                                                                           |     | 0.40                       |      | 0.40                 | 0.07 | 0.50                     |      |
|                 | bend   | 0.25                                                                                           |     | 0.35                       |      | 0.35                 | 0.07 | 0.90                     |      |
|                 | branch | 0.39                                                                                           |     | 0.55                       |      | 0.55                 | 0.10 | 1.00                     |      |
| 300             | pipe   | 0.35                                                                                           |     | 0.55                       | 0.10 | 0.55                 | 0.10 | 0.90                     |      |
|                 | bend   | 0.39                                                                                           |     | 0.55                       | 0.10 | 0.55                 | 0.10 | 1.40                     |      |
|                 | branch | 0.46                                                                                           |     | 0.65                       | 0.15 | 0.65                 | 0.15 | 1.55                     |      |
| 375–450         | pipe   |                                                                                                |     | 0.70                       | 0.25 | 0.70                 | 0.25 | 1.05                     | 0.20 |
|                 | bend   |                                                                                                |     | 0.80                       | 0.25 | 0.80                 | 0.25 | 2.10                     | 0.20 |
|                 | branch |                                                                                                |     | 1.05                       | 0.35 | 1.05                 | 0.35 | 2.30                     | 0.30 |
| 525–600         | pipe   |                                                                                                |     | 0.90                       | 0.35 | 0.90                 | 0.35 | 1.45                     | 0.30 |
|                 | bend   |                                                                                                |     | 0.90                       | 0.35 | 0.90                 | 0.35 | 2.35                     | 0.30 |
|                 | branch |                                                                                                |     | 1.30                       | 0.45 | 1.30                 | 0.45 | 2.60                     | 0.40 |
| LABOUR          |        | Outputs are for drainlayer hours per metre of pipe                                             |     |                            |      |                      |      |                          |      |
| PLANT           |        | Outputs are for the machine used to excavate the trench                                        |     |                            |      |                      |      |                          |      |
| ADDITIONS       |        | ADD to outputs for filled mortar joints (30%); short lengths (30–50%); deep trenches (30–50%)  |     |                            |      |                      |      |                          |      |
| DEDUCTIONS      |        | DEDUCT for long lengths (20%), shallow trenches (10%)                                          |     |                            |      |                      |      |                          |      |
| JOINTS          |        | For joints which are NOT 'push fit', the laying and jointing calculations should be separated. |     |                            |      |                      |      |                          |      |
| WASTE           |        | WASTE is normally 5% on pipes and fittings, and 7.5% on short lengths and channels             |     |                            |      |                      |      |                          |      |

CB CONSTRUCTION LIMITED

PRICING NOTES

|          |  |       |                     |           |  |
|----------|--|-------|---------------------|-----------|--|
| Project  |  | Trade | DRAINAGE EXCAVATION | Date      |  |
| Ref. No. |  |       | Unit rate pricing   | Sheet No. |  |

Typical  
bill  
description

R12 Drainage below ground

Excavate trench for pipe not exceeding 200 mm nominal size; average depth of trench 750 mm; backfilling with excavated material; disposal of surplus off site .... 174 m

| Item details |                                                               |       |                |       | Analysis |      |     |     | Net<br>unit rate |
|--------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|-------|----------------|-------|----------|------|-----|-----|------------------|
| Ref.         | Description                                                   | Quant | Unit           | Rate  | Lab      | Plt  | Mat | s/c |                  |
|              | First calculate the rate for excavating and filling trenches  |       |                |       |          |      |     |     |                  |
|              |                                                               |       |                |       |          |      |     |     |                  |
|              | Backacter to excavate at 10 m <sup>3</sup> /hr                | 0.10  | hr             | 22.00 |          | 2.20 |     |     |                  |
|              | Banksman                                                      | 0.10  | hr             | 9.50  | 0.95     |      |     |     |                  |
|              | Backacter to backfill at 8 m <sup>3</sup> /hr                 | 0.12  | hr             | 22.00 |          | 2.64 |     |     |                  |
|              | Two labourers to backfill                                     | 0.24  | hr             | 9.50  | 2.28     |      |     |     |                  |
|              | Plate compactor to backfill                                   | 0.12  | hr             | 1.00  |          | 0.12 |     |     |                  |
|              | EXTRA for taking 25% to tip                                   |       |                |       |          |      |     |     |                  |
|              | 12.75 – (2.28 + 0.12)                                         | 0.25  | m <sup>3</sup> | 10.35 |          | 2.59 |     |     |                  |
|              | <i>cart away less backfill</i>                                |       |                |       |          |      |     |     |                  |
|              | Total rate                                                    | 1     | m <sup>3</sup> |       | 3.23     | 7.55 |     |     | 10.78            |
|              |                                                               |       |                |       |          |      |     |     |                  |
|              | For a trench 600 × 750 mm deep, assume NO earthwork support   |       |                |       |          |      |     |     |                  |
|              | but a small over-dig of say 300 mm × 750 mm deep              |       |                |       |          |      |     |     |                  |
|              | so volume of excavation = 0.90 x 0.75 m = 0.67 m <sup>3</sup> |       |                |       |          |      |     |     |                  |
|              |                                                               |       |                |       |          |      |     |     |                  |
|              | Excavate trench                                               | 0.67  | m <sup>3</sup> | 10.78 | 2.16     | 5.06 |     |     |                  |
|              | Trim and compact bottom                                       |       |                |       |          |      |     |     |                  |
|              | Labourer 10 m <sup>2</sup> /hr × 0.60 m wide                  | 0.06  | hr             | 9.50  | 0.57     |      |     |     |                  |
|              | Plate compactor to compact btm                                | 0.12  | hr             | 1.00  |          | 0.12 |     |     |                  |
|              | <i>Additional cart away for the</i>                           |       |                |       |          |      |     |     |                  |
|              | <i>volume of bed and surround</i>                             |       |                |       |          |      |     |     |                  |
|              | <i>should be added here</i>                                   |       |                |       |          |      |     |     |                  |
|              | 0.75 × 0.45 for example                                       | 0.338 | m <sup>3</sup> | 12.75 |          | 4.30 |     |     |                  |
|              | Rate for drainage excavation                                  | 1     | m              |       | 2.73     | 9.48 |     |     | 12.21            |
|              |                                                               |       |                |       |          |      |     |     |                  |
|              |                                                               |       |                |       |          |      |     |     |                  |
|              |                                                               |       |                |       |          |      |     |     |                  |

Estimating and Tendering for Construction Work

CB CONSTRUCTION LIMITED

PRICING NOTES

|          |  |       |                   |           |  |
|----------|--|-------|-------------------|-----------|--|
| Project  |  | Trade | DRAINAGE PIPEWORK | Date      |  |
| Ref. No. |  |       | Unit rate pricing | Sheet No. |  |


Typical bill description

R12 Drainage below ground

A. Granular material type A; bed and surround; to 150 mm pipe; 450 wide × 400 deep .... 174 m

B. Clay pipework with flexible joints; in trenches; 150 mm nominal size .... 174 m


| Item details |                                                                                      |       |      |       | Analysis |       |       |     | Net unit rate |
|--------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|------|-------|----------|-------|-------|-----|---------------|
| Ref:         | Description                                                                          | Quant | Unit | Rate  | Lab      | Plt   | Mat   | s/c |               |
|              |                                                                                      |       |      |       |          |       |       |     |               |
| A.           | Bed and surround                                                                     |       |      |       |          |       |       |     |               |
|              | First calculate the rate per m³                                                      |       |      |       |          |       |       |     |               |
| Mat          | Type A aggregate as quote                                                            | 1.65  | T    | 9.00  |          |       | 14.85 |     |               |
|              | ADD 20% for consolidation and                                                        |       |      |       |          |       |       |     |               |
|              | penetration                                                                          | 0.33  | T    | 9.00  |          |       | 2.97  |     |               |
| Lab          | Labour previously priced in backfilling trenches                                     |       |      |       |          |       |       |     |               |
| Plt          | Plant previously priced in backfilling trenches                                      |       |      |       |          |       |       |     |               |
|              | EXTRA for disposal of volume occupied by                                             |       |      |       |          |       |       |     |               |
|              | imported filling:                                                                    | 1     | m³   | 12.75 |          | 12.75 |       |     |               |
|              |                                                                                      |       |      |       |          |       |       |     |               |
|              | Total                                                                                | 1     | m³   |       |          | 12.75 | 17.82 |     | 30.57         |
|              |                                                                                      |       |      |       |          |       |       |     |               |
|              | For a machine-excavated trench the minimum width is usually 600 mm                   |       |      |       |          |       |       |     |               |
|              | So the gross volume per metre is 600 × 400 = 0.24 m³/m                               |       |      |       |          |       |       |     |               |
|              | [larger pipe diameters merit a reduction for the volume occupied by the pipe itself] |       |      |       |          |       |       |     |               |
|              |                                                                                      |       |      |       |          |       |       |     |               |
|              | Rate for bed and surround                                                            | 0.24  | m³   |       |          | 3.06  | 4.28  |     | 7.34          |
|              |                                                                                      |       |      |       |          |       |       |     |               |
| B.           | 150 mm pipe in trench                                                                |       |      |       |          |       |       |     |               |
| Mat          | Pipe from price list with 15% discount                                               | 1     | m    | 6.25  |          |       | 6.25  |     |               |
|              | Waste 5%                                                                             | 0.05  | m    | 6.25  |          |       | 0.31  |     |               |
| Lab          | Drainlayer                                                                           | 0.3   | hr   | 9.50  | 2.85     |       |       |     |               |
| Plt          | This method assumes that the excavator                                               |       |      |       |          |       |       |     |               |
|              | can be employed elsewhere when the                                                   |       |      |       |          |       |       |     |               |
|              | pipes are laid                                                                       |       |      |       |          |       |       |     |               |
|              |                                                                                      |       |      |       |          |       |       |     |               |
|              | Rate for 150 mm pipe                                                                 | 1     | m    |       | 2.85     |       | 6.56  |     | 9.41          |
|              |                                                                                      |       |      |       |          |       |       |     |               |

|                     |                                                                                   |                   |
|---------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|
| PRICING INFORMATION |  | DRAINAGE MANHOLES |
|---------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|

|                                                                                                                                                                    |                                                                                                                                                                                                  |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| SMM7 NOTES                                                                                                                                                         | CESMM3 NOTES                                                                                                                                                                                     |
| Work section R12<br>Drainage below ground                                                                                                                          | CLASS K<br>PIPEWORK – MANHOLES AND PIPEWORK<br>ANCILLARIES                                                                                                                                       |
| Excavation, concrete, formwork, reinforcement,<br>brickwork and rendered coatings measured<br>in accordance with the rules of the relevant work<br>section of SMM7 | Items for manholes shall be deemed to include:<br>excavation, disposal, backfilling, upholding sides,<br>concrete work, reinforcement, formwork,<br>brickwork, metalwork, pipework inc backdrops |
| Covers, step irons, channels, benching and building<br>in pipes are enumerated                                                                                     | Manholes enumerated depending on form of construction<br>.... and depths which are given in 1.5 m stages                                                                                         |
|                                                                                                                                                                    | Depths measured from tops of covers to tops of base slabs                                                                                                                                        |
|                                                                                                                                                                    | Types and loading duties of covers are given                                                                                                                                                     |
|                                                                                                                                                                    | Hand dig is identified in items                                                                                                                                                                  |
|                                                                                                                                                                    | Hard dig given in CLASS L                                                                                                                                                                        |
|                                                                                                                                                                    | Pipe valves measured in CLASS J.                                                                                                                                                                 |

| Typical spreadsheet approach to pricing manholes for a specific (civils) project |                |             |                 |                        |         |                        |         |                          |         |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|-------------|-----------------|------------------------|---------|------------------------|---------|--------------------------|---------|
|                                                                                  |                |             |                 | 1200<br>ne 1.50 m<br>A |         | 1350<br>ne 1.50 m<br>A |         | 1500<br>1.50–2.00 m<br>B |         |
| Description                                                                      | Unit           | Mat<br>rate | Lab/plt<br>rate | Mat                    | Lab/plt | Mat                    | Lab/plt | Mat                      | Lab/plt |
| Excavation                                                                       | m <sup>3</sup> |             | 8.50            |                        | 51.43   |                        | 58.68   |                          | 92.97   |
| Disposal                                                                         | m <sup>3</sup> |             | 12.75           |                        | 22.95   |                        | 29.05   |                          | 50.20   |
| Backfilling                                                                      | m <sup>3</sup> |             | 3.50            |                        | 14.88   |                        | 16.19   |                          | 24.50   |
| Side support                                                                     | m <sup>2</sup> | 1.00        | 1.00            | 24.20                  | 24.20   | 27.61                  | 27.61   | 43.75                    | 43.75   |
| Surface prep                                                                     | m <sup>2</sup> |             | 0.60            |                        | 0.86    |                        | 1.09    |                          | 1.35    |
| Blinding                                                                         | m <sup>3</sup> | 65.00       | 22.00           | 6.55                   | 2.22    | 8.29                   | 2.81    | 10.24                    | 3.47    |
| Base slab                                                                        | m <sup>3</sup> | 65.00       | 18.00           | 18.72                  | 5.18    | 23.69                  | 6.56    | 29.25                    | 8.10    |
| Surround                                                                         | m <sup>3</sup> | 65.00       | 15.00           | 62.40                  | 14.40   | 70.20                  | 16.20   | 78.00                    | 18.00   |
| Formwork                                                                         | m <sup>2</sup> |             | 12.00           |                        | 57.60   |                        | 64.80   |                          | 72.00   |
| Chamber rings                                                                    | nr             |             |                 | 75.00                  | 36.00   | 105.00                 | 48.00   | 120.00                   | 75.00   |
| Shaft rings                                                                      | nr             |             |                 |                        |         |                        |         |                          |         |
| Reducer slabs                                                                    | nr             |             |                 |                        |         |                        |         |                          |         |
| Cover slabs                                                                      | nr             |             |                 | 60.00                  | 21.00   | 80.00                  | 25.00   | 110.00                   | 33.00   |
| Cover                                                                            | nr             |             |                 | 85.00                  | 18.00   | 85.00                  | 18.00   | 45.00                    | 15.00   |
| Benching                                                                         | m <sup>3</sup> | 65.00       | 42.00           | 18.72                  | 12.10   | 23.69                  | 15.31   | 29.25                    | 18.90   |
| Channels                                                                         | item           |             |                 | 24.00                  | 16.00   | 24.00                  | 16.00   | 33.00                    | 19.00   |
| Brickwork                                                                        | m <sup>2</sup> | 36.00       | 36.00           | 16.56                  | 16.56   | 16.56                  | 16.56   | 16.56                    | 16.56   |
| Sundries                                                                         | item           |             |                 |                        |         |                        |         |                          |         |
|                                                                                  |                |             |                 |                        |         |                        |         |                          |         |
| Totals                                                                           |                |             |                 | £ 391.15               | 313.37  | 464.05                 | 361.85  | 515.05                   | 491.80  |

Estimating and Tendering for Construction Work

|                     |                                                                                   |                   |
|---------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|
| PRICING INFORMATION |  | DRAINAGE TRENCHES |
|---------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|

| Width of trench (m)                                                                     | Pipe sizes (mm) |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
|                                                                                         | 100             | 150  | 225  | 300  | 375  | 450  | 525  | 600  | 750  |
| depth ne 1.50 m                                                                         | 0.60            | 0.60 | 0.70 | 0.70 | 0.90 | 1.10 | 1.20 | 1.30 | 1.45 |
| 1.75–2.25 m                                                                             | 0.70            | 0.70 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 1.00 | 1.20 | 1.30 | 1.40 | 1.60 |
| 3.00–4.00 m                                                                             | 0.80            | 0.80 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 1.10 | 1.30 | 1.40 | 1.50 | 1.70 |
| Note: These are average widths, the actual widths of drainage trenches will depend on:– |                 |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| 1. The nature of the ground                                                             |                 |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| 2. The method of support to the sides of trenches                                       |                 |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| 3. The width of bucket if dug by machine                                                |                 |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |

| Outputs for drainage gang excavating and laying drains incl backfill               | Pipe sizes (mm) |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
|                                                                                    | 100             | 150 | 225 | 300 | 375 | 450 | 525 | 600 | 750 |
| Outputs for a drainage gang of one machine, two drainlayers & one labourer (m/day) |                 |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| depth (m)                                                                          | 0.50            | 35  | 34  | 33  | 30  | 26  | 25  | 23  |     |
|                                                                                    | 0.75            | 34  | 33  | 32  | 29  | 25  | 23  | 21  | 18  |
|                                                                                    | 1.00            | 32  | 31  | 30  | 28  | 23  | 21  | 19  | 16  |
|                                                                                    | 1.25            | 30  | 29  | 27  | 24  | 20  | 18  | 17  | 14  |
|                                                                                    | 1.50            | 26  | 25  | 24  | 20  | 16  | 15  | 14  | 12  |
|                                                                                    | 1.75            | 21  | 20  | 20  | 17  | 14  | 13  | 12  | 11  |
|                                                                                    | 2.00            | 18  | 17  | 16  | 15  | 12  | 11  | 10  | 9   |
|                                                                                    | 2.25            | 16  | 15  | 14  | 13  | 11  | 10  | 9   | 9   |
|                                                                                    | 2.50            | 15  | 14  | 13  | 12  | 10  | 9   | 8   | 8   |
|                                                                                    | 2.75            | 13  | 12  | 11  | 11  | 9   | 8   | 7   | 7   |
|                                                                                    | 3.00            | 12  | 11  | 10  | 10  | 8   | 7   | 6   | 6   |
|                                                                                    | 3.25            | 10  | 10  | 8   | 8   | 6   | 5   | 5   | 5   |
|                                                                                    | 3.50            | 9   | 9   | 8   | 8   | 6   | 5   | 5   | 5   |
|                                                                                    | 3.75            | 8   | 8   | 7   | 7   | 5   | 5   | 5   | 4   |
|                                                                                    | 4.00            | 7   | 7   | 6   | 6   | 5   | 4   | 4   | 4   |
| Note: These are average production rates, the actual output will depend on:–       |                 |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| 1. The nature of the ground                                                        |                 |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| 2. The method of support to the sides of trenches                                  |                 |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| 3. The length of drainage runs and location                                        |                 |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |

If trenches need to be supported, the cost of hiring trench sheets can be added to the drainage gang rate.

For 20 m of trench with both sides supported there would be  $(20 \times 2)/.33 = 122$  sheets (330 mm wide) required

With a typical hire rate of £0.60 per week for a 2400 mm long sheet, the daily rate would be :  $122 \times 0.60/5 = £14.65$  per day

The use of trench supports would lead to a reduced daily output by the drainage gang.

CB CONSTRUCTION LIMITED

PRICING NOTES

|          |  |       |                     |           |  |
|----------|--|-------|---------------------|-----------|--|
| Project  |  | Trade | DRAINAGE EXCAVATION | Date      |  |
| Ref. No. |  |       | Operational pricing | Sheet No. |  |

Typical bill description

CLASS I PIPEWORK - PIPES

L112 Clay pipes 150 mm dia in trenches, across farmland runs S2-S12; depth not exceeding 1.5 m .... 302 m

L331 150 mm bed and surround with 14 mm single sized granular material to 150 mm dia pipes .... 302 m

| Item details |                                                                |       |      |       | Analysis |        |        |     | Net unit rate |
|--------------|----------------------------------------------------------------|-------|------|-------|----------|--------|--------|-----|---------------|
| Ref:         | Description                                                    | Quant | Unit | Rate  | Lab      | Plt    | Mat    | s/c |               |
|              |                                                                |       |      |       |          |        |        |     |               |
|              | For excavating a drain run including pipe, bed and surround    |       |      |       |          |        |        |     |               |
|              | assume 25 m can be completed in one day by the following gang: |       |      |       |          |        |        |     |               |
|              |                                                                |       |      |       |          |        |        |     |               |
| Plt          | Backacter                                                      | 8.50  | hr   | 22.00 |          | 187.00 |        |     |               |
|              | Road tipper                                                    | 2.00  | hr   | 22.00 |          | 44.00  |        |     |               |
|              | Plate compacter                                                | 8.50  | hr   | 1.00  |          | 8.50   |        |     |               |
|              | Trench sheets (see below)                                      | 1.00  | day  | 18.24 |          | 18.24  |        |     |               |
| Lab          | Labourers (3 nr)                                               | 25.50 | hr   | 9.50  | 242.25   |        |        |     |               |
| Mat          | Pipe from price list with 15% discount                         | 25    | m    | 6.25  |          |        | 156.25 |     |               |
|              | Waste 5%                                                       | 1.25  | m    | 6.25  |          |        | 7.81   |     |               |
|              | 14 mm stone                                                    |       |      |       |          |        |        |     |               |
|              | 25 m × 0.60 m × 0.45 m × 2.10 T/m <sup>3</sup>                 | 14.18 | T    | 9.00  |          |        | 127.58 |     |               |
|              |                                                                |       |      |       |          |        |        |     |               |
|              | Rate for one day's work                                        | 25    | m    |       | 242.25   | 257.74 | 291.64 |     | 791.63        |
|              |                                                                |       |      |       |          |        |        |     |               |
|              | Rate for drainage (÷25)                                        | 1     | m    |       | 9.69     | 10.31  | 11.67  |     | 31.67         |
|              |                                                                |       |      |       |          |        |        |     |               |
|              |                                                                |       |      |       |          |        |        |     |               |
|              | Trench sheet for 25 m = (25 × 2)/0.33 = 152                    |       |      |       |          |        |        |     |               |
|              | At £0.60 per week to hire                                      |       |      |       |          |        |        |     |               |
|              | Daily hire rate would be : 152 × 0.60 ÷ 5 = £18.24             |       |      |       |          |        |        |     |               |
|              |                                                                |       |      |       |          |        |        |     |               |
|              |                                                                |       |      |       |          |        |        |     |               |
|              |                                                                |       |      |       |          |        |        |     |               |
|              |                                                                |       |      |       |          |        |        |     |               |

# 12

## *Sub-contractors and nominated suppliers*

---

### **Introduction**

Sub-contractors can be classified in two main categories: nominated and domestic sub-contractors. The way in which a sub-contractor's quotation is incorporated in the tender will depend on the contractual relationship of the specialist with the main contractor, and the definitions given in the standard method of measurement used. During the 1980s and early 1990s, the use of the formal nomination procedure diminished. It has been replaced by lists of approved sub-contractors given in the tender documents, named sub-contractors where the Intermediate Form is used, and the novation of specialists for design and build schemes. (*The word 'novation' means the substitution of a new obligation for an old obligation by the mutual consent of the parties. In construction procurement the term is used where the client has already completed negotiations with a sub-contractor or consultant and invites the contractor to enter the agreement.*)

### **Domestic sub-contractors**

The procedures for despatching enquiries to obtain quotations from sub-contractors were given earlier. Great care must be taken when estimating on the basis of sub-contract quotations because the contractor takes responsibility for all the work. It is therefore for all the parties to ensure that quotations are based on accurate and complete information.

All sub-contract quotations should be checked for arithmetical errors and totalled. To compare them on a like-for-like basis the following checks are carried out by the estimator:

1. All the items for that trade should be priced. If there is enough time, the sub-contractor should be asked to provide missing rates, otherwise the estimator needs to insert his own estimate of their value.
2. The rates should be realistic. If a patent error is detected then the sub-contractor should be advised to amend his quotation and tell all the main contractors who have received it.

3. It is sometimes argued (mainly by quantity surveyors) that rates should be consistent throughout the bill of quantities – like items should be priced at similar rates to avoid possible difficulties when valuing variations. Anyone vetting a tender must realize that the cost of similar items may vary depending on quantities, location, timing and so on.
4. The sub-contractor should accept the contract conditions without amendment. This will enable the estimator to make fair comparisons between quotations, and avoid any misunderstandings brought about by qualified bids. In practice, quotations are sent with many printed and specific conditions which may conflict with the enquiry documents. These details are often resolved at the negotiation stage.
5. The quotation should be based on the documents that form the main contract. The estimator should not accept a lump sum quotation for work, which will be valued on the basis of an approximate bill of quantities or accept a schedule of rates for a plan and specification project. If a sub-contractor has altered the tender documents, in the bill of quantities for example, there may be a mistake, which should be brought to the attention of the client so that all the contractors will correct the bill before the tenders are submitted.
6. There is a growing tendency for sub-contractors and suppliers to retype the bills of quantity usually to accord with their interpretation or individual product range. The estimator must be sure that any changes do not represent a significant change to the contract requirements.

Quotations from specialists often need careful comparison using a standard form. The example sheet shown in Fig. 12.1 can be used to compare ‘supply and fix’ sub-contractors with labour-only contractors; the difference between the two is usually the cost of materials.

A computer can be a great help in comparing sub-contractors’ quotations. Spreadsheet software is particularly useful for listing, and comparing rates, and provides a mathematical check. The spreadsheet method also allows rates to be adjusted before they are put in the estimate. Computer-aided estimating packages offer more powerful facilities, in particular:

1. The software will prompt the estimator by showing items that the sub-contractor should have priced.
2. Average rates can be inserted automatically when one of the sub-contractors fails to price an item. This facility can be very misleading. In some cases one sub-contractor may price an item at ‘nil’ because his costs have been allocated elsewhere. Figure 12.2 shows a computer comparison system where an average rate has been inserted by the software but the result is a mistake which may lead someone to choose the wrong sub-contractor.

| CB CONSTRUCTION LIMITED       |      |                           |       |      |               |        |           |        |       | Project          |        |        |               | Trade |       | STONEWORK |        |  |  |
|-------------------------------|------|---------------------------|-------|------|---------------|--------|-----------|--------|-------|------------------|--------|--------|---------------|-------|-------|-----------|--------|--|--|
| SUB-CONTRACT COMPARISON SHEET |      |                           |       |      |               |        |           |        |       | LIFEBOAT STATION |        |        |               | Page  |       | 1/1       |        |  |  |
|                               |      |                           |       |      | Sub 1         |        | Sub 2     |        | Sub 3 |                  | LOSC   |        | Materials     |       |       |           |        |  |  |
|                               |      |                           |       |      | PRELUDE STONE |        | DEANSTONE |        |       |                  | CASTLE |        | RENARD QUARRY |       |       |           |        |  |  |
| Page                          | Item | Description               | quant | unit | Rate          | £      | Rate      | £      | Rate  | £                | Rate   | £      | Basic         | Sund  | Waste | Rate      | £      |  |  |
| 4/13                          | C    | Coping 550 × 175 mm       | 23    | m    | 233.30        | 5 366  | 265.00    | 6 095  |       |                  | 36.04  | 829    | 183.30        | 1.20  | 2.5%  | 189.11    | 4 350  |  |  |
|                               | D    | Mitred angle              | 8     | nr   | 28.00         | 224    | inc       |        |       |                  | inc    |        | 18.00         |       | 0.45  | 18.45     | 148    |  |  |
| 4/10                          | E    | Jamb 120 × 160 × 1114 mm  | 186   | nr   | 107.00        | 19 902 | 125.50    | 23 343 |       |                  | 72.10  | 13 411 | 56.50         | 1.00  | 1.44  | 58.94     | 10 963 |  |  |
|                               | F    | Jamb 135 × 160 × 111 4 mm | 38    | nr   | 110.00        | 4 180  | 129.40    | 4 917  |       |                  | 73.20  | 2 782  | 57.30         | 1.00  | 1.46  | 59.76     | 2 271  |  |  |
|                               | G    | Cill 225 × 175 × 839 mm   | 2     | nr   | 165.10        | 330    | 173.60    | 347    |       |                  | 90.10  | 180    | 102.22        | 1.80  | 2.60  | 106.62    | 213    |  |  |
|                               | H    | Cill 225 × 175× 1014 mm   | 94    | nr   | 188.58        | 17 727 | 195.30    | 18 358 |       |                  | 90.10  | 8 469  | 125.70        | 1.80  | 3.19  | 130.69    | 12 285 |  |  |
|                               | J    | Head 230 × 225 × 1014 mm  | 15    | nr   | 177.96        | 2 669  | 235.00    | 3 525  |       |                  | 144.16 | 2 162  | 115.08        | 1.20  | 2.91  | 119.19    | 1 788  |  |  |
|                               | K    | Mullion                   | 3     | nr   | 186.25        | 559    | 195.30    | 586    |       |                  | 144.16 | 432    | 110.00        | 1.50  | 2.79  | 114.29    | 343    |  |  |
| 4/11                          | A    | Stainless steel dowels    | 333   | nr   | 5.40          | 1 798  | 4.85      | 1 615  |       |                  | 3.20   | 1 066  | 1.80          | 0.80  | 0.07  | 2.67      | 889    |  |  |
|                               |      |                           |       |      |               |        |           |        |       |                  |        |        |               |       |       |           |        |  |  |
|                               |      |                           |       |      |               |        |           |        |       |                  |        |        |               |       |       |           |        |  |  |
|                               |      |                           |       |      |               |        |           |        |       |                  |        |        |               |       |       |           |        |  |  |
|                               |      |                           |       |      | £             | 52 755 | £         | 58 786 |       |                  |        | 29 331 |               |       |       | £         | 33 250 |  |  |
|                               |      |                           |       |      |               |        |           |        |       |                  |        | 33 250 |               |       |       |           |        |  |  |
|                               |      |                           |       |      |               |        |           |        |       |                  | £      | 62 581 |               |       |       |           |        |  |  |
|                               |      |                           |       |      |               |        |           |        |       |                  |        |        |               |       |       |           |        |  |  |
|                               |      |                           |       |      |               |        |           |        |       |                  |        |        |               |       |       |           |        |  |  |
|                               |      |                           |       |      |               |        |           |        |       |                  |        |        |               |       |       |           |        |  |  |
|                               |      |                           |       |      |               |        |           |        |       |                  |        |        |               |       |       |           |        |  |  |
|                               |      |                           |       |      |               |        |           |        |       |                  |        |        |               |       |       |           |        |  |  |
|                               |      |                           |       |      |               |        |           |        |       |                  |        |        |               |       |       |           |        |  |  |
|                               |      |                           |       |      |               |        |           |        |       |                  |        |        |               |       |       |           |        |  |  |
|                               |      |                           |       |      |               |        |           |        |       |                  |        |        |               |       |       |           |        |  |  |
|                               |      |                           |       |      |               |        |           |        |       |                  |        |        |               |       |       |           |        |  |  |
|                               |      |                           |       |      |               |        |           |        |       |                  |        |        |               |       |       |           |        |  |  |
|                               |      |                           |       |      |               |        |           |        |       |                  |        |        |               |       |       |           |        |  |  |
|                               |      |                           |       |      |               |        |           |        |       |                  |        |        |               |       |       |           |        |  |  |
|                               |      |                           |       |      |               |        |           |        |       |                  |        |        |               |       |       |           |        |  |  |
|                               |      |                           |       |      |               |        |           |        |       |                  |        |        |               |       |       |           |        |  |  |
|                               |      |                           |       |      |               |        |           |        |       |                  |        |        |               |       |       |           |        |  |  |
|                               |      |                           |       |      |               |        |           |        |       |                  |        |        |               |       |       |           |        |  |  |
|                               |      |                           |       |      |               |        |           |        |       |                  |        |        |               |       |       |           |        |  |  |
|                               |      |                           |       |      |               |        |           |        |       |                  |        |        |               |       |       |           |        |  |  |
|                               |      |                           |       |      |               |        |           |        |       |                  |        |        |               |       |       |           |        |  |  |
|                               |      |                           |       |      |               |        |           |        |       |                  |        |        |               |       |       |           |        |  |  |
|                               |      |                           |       |      |               |        |           |        |       |                  |        |        |               |       |       |           |        |  |  |
|                               |      |                           |       |      |               |        |           |        |       |                  |        |        |               |       |       |           |        |  |  |
|                               |      |                           |       |      |               |        |           |        |       |                  |        |        |               |       |       |           |        |  |  |
|                               |      |                           |       |      |               |        |           |        |       |                  |        |        |               |       |       |           |        |  |  |
|                               |      |                           |       |      |               |        |           |        |       |                  |        |        |               |       |       |           |        |  |  |
|                               |      |                           |       |      |               |        |           |        |       |                  |        |        |               |       |       |           |        |  |  |
|                               |      |                           |       |      |               |        |           |        |       |                  |        |        |               |       |       |           |        |  |  |
|                               |      |                           |       |      |               |        |           |        |       |                  |        |        |               |       |       |           |        |  |  |
|                               |      |                           |       |      |               |        |           |        |       |                  |        |        |               |       |       |           |        |  |  |
|                               |      |                           |       |      |               |        |           |        |       |                  |        |        |               |       |       |           |        |  |  |
|                               |      |                           |       |      |               |        |           |        |       |                  |        |        |               |       |       |           |        |  |  |
|                               |      |                           |       |      |               |        |           |        |       |                  |        |        |               |       |       |           |        |  |  |
|                               |      |                           |       |      |               |        |           |        |       |                  |        |        |               |       |       |           |        |  |  |
|                               |      |                           |       |      |               |        |           |        |       |                  |        |        |               |       |       |           |        |  |  |
|                               |      |                           |       |      |               |        |           |        |       |                  |        |        |               |       |       |           |        |  |  |
|                               |      |                           |       |      |               |        |           |        |       |                  |        |        |               |       |       |           |        |  |  |
|                               |      |                           |       |      |               |        |           |        |       |                  |        |        |               |       |       |           |        |  |  |
|                               |      |                           |       |      |               |        |           |        |       |                  |        |        |               |       |       |           |        |  |  |
|                               |      |                           |       |      |               |        |           |        |       |                  |        |        |               |       |       |           |        |  |  |
|                               |      |                           |       |      |               |        |           |        |       |                  |        |        |               |       |       |           |        |  |  |
|                               |      |                           |       |      |               |        |           |        |       |                  |        |        |               |       |       |           |        |  |  |
|                               |      |                           |       |      |               |        |           |        |       |                  |        |        |               |       |       |           |        |  |  |
|                               |      |                           |       |      |               |        |           |        |       |                  |        |        |               |       |       |           |        |  |  |
|                               |      |                           |       |      |               |        |           |        |       |                  |        |        |               |       |       |           |        |  |  |
|                               |      |                           |       |      |               |        |           |        |       |                  |        |        |               |       |       |           |        |  |  |
|                               |      |                           |       |      |               |        |           |        |       |                  |        |        |               |       |       |           |        |  |  |
|                               |      |                           |       |      |               |        |           |        |       |                  |        |        |               |       |       |           |        |  |  |
|                               |      |                           |       |      |               |        |           |        |       |                  |        |        |               |       |       |           |        |  |  |

Fig. 12.1 Example of a sub-contract comparison sheet

**CB CONSTRUCTION LIMITED****Comparison report**

| Trade: <b>Structural steelwork</b> |                      |     |                |                  |        |              |        |                   |        |
|------------------------------------|----------------------|-----|----------------|------------------|--------|--------------|--------|-------------------|--------|
|                                    |                      |     |                | Index structures |        | Flake & Mill |        | Jones Fabrication |        |
| Item ref                           | Description          | Qty | Unit           | Rate             | Total  | Rate         | Total  | Rate              | Total  |
| 1.3.27.a                           | Preliminaries        | 1   | sum            | 3500.00          | 3 500  | 2500.00      | 2 500  | 2250.00           | 2 250  |
| 1.3.27.b                           | Stanchions           | 33  | t              | 995.00           | 32 835 | 900.00       | 29 700 | 950.00            | 31 350 |
| 1.3.27.c                           | Roof beams           | 31  | t              | 965.00           | 29 915 | 900.00       | 27 900 | 960.00            | 29 760 |
| 1.3.27.d                           | Purlins              | 210 | m              | 10.50            | 2 205  | 11.00        | 2 310  | 15.00             | 3 150  |
| 1.3.27.e                           | Holding-down bolts   | 96  | nr             | 1.25             | 120    | 1.50         | 144    | 2.00              | 192    |
| 1.3.27.f                           | Erect steel on site  | 64  | t              | 320.00           | 20 480 | 280.00       | 17 920 | 150.00            | 9 600  |
| 1.3.27.g                           | Prime steel at works | 497 | m <sup>2</sup> | inc              | 0      | 5.00         | 2 485  | 2.50              | 1 243  |
|                                    |                      |     |                |                  |        |              |        |                   |        |
|                                    |                      |     |                |                  |        |              |        |                   |        |
|                                    |                      |     |                |                  |        |              |        |                   |        |
|                                    |                      |     |                |                  |        |              |        |                   |        |
|                                    |                      |     |                |                  |        |              |        |                   |        |
|                                    |                      |     |                |                  |        |              |        |                   |        |
|                                    |                      |     |                |                  |        |              |        |                   |        |
|                                    |                      |     |                |                  |        |              |        |                   |        |
|                                    | Totals               |     |                |                  | 89 055 |              | 82 959 |                   | 77 545 |
|                                    |                      |     |                |                  |        |              |        |                   |        |

average rate inserted by computer –  
must be checked by estimator  
with sub-contractor

**Fig. 12.2** Computer comparison system showing problem of average rate inserted automatically

## *Estimating and Tendering for Construction Work*

3. The chosen list of rates can be incorporated in the estimate at the touch of a button.


This assumes the estimator wants to insert the rates as they stand. In some cases a lump sum may be added to certain rates or a percentage may be applied to others. The main reasons for changing the sub-contractor's rates are:

1. The sub-contractor might need specific builder's work not measured elsewhere, drilling holes through the building fabric being the most common example.
2. An estimator might decide to add certain attendances to the measured rate, such as scaffolding for an industrial door installer.
3. There may be specific trade requirements, which are customarily provided by the contractor. For example, a piling firm may ask for surplus soil to be removed by the main contractor, and a plasterer often expects the free use of a mechanical mixer.
4. Site overheads may be given as a separate item by a sub-contractor. In this case the estimator might wish to spread this sum across the net rates.
5. A margin for overheads and profit could be added to all or some of the rates; either because the contractor wishes to spread his overheads through the bill or for tactical reasons, such as work which appears to be undermeasured.

There is a slight danger that adding attendances and margin to rates may confuse site surveyors or buyers. This should not be a problem if staff understands the distinction between net allowances and the rates given in the client's (gross) bill. The former are target rates for buying materials and services, the latter being the value the contractor will be paid for his services. To keep matters simple, it is customary to deal with attendances and overheads in the assessment of a main contractor's site overheads.

Figure 12.3 shows the attendances to be provided by the main contractor, without charge to the sub-contractor. These attendances are defined in the form of sub-contract, and are normally priced in the project overheads. There will be some sub-contractors, of course, who will need more than others. A cladding contractor, for example, will need a considerable amount of safety and access equipment whereas a plasterer may only need a small mixer and a supply of clean water.

Whenever a particular sub-contractor is used in the tender, an entry should be made on the summary of domestic sub-contracts (see Fig. 12.4). If a lower quotation is received later in the tender period, an adjustment can be made on this form and carried to the tender analysis reports presented to management at the final review meeting. For some contractors using computer-aided estimating systems, forms are not filled in during the tender period because all data can be manipulated at any stage.



**Fig. 12.3** Sub-contract types and attendances

| CB CONSTRUCTION LIMITED           |                         |                       |             |                      |            | Project              | Fast Transport              |            |          |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|-------------|----------------------|------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|------------|----------|
| DOMESTIC SUB-CONTRACTOR'S SUMMARY |                         |                       |             |                      |            | Ref. No.             | T354                        | Date       | 30.6.04  |
| Ref.                              | Trade                   | Company               | Quotation   | Discount offered (%) | Net amount | Firm price Allowance | Alternative/late quotations |            |          |
|                                   |                         |                       |             |                      |            |                      | Company                     | Net amount | Saving   |
| S1                                | Roof covering           | Beaufort Roofing      | 17 672      | 2.5                  | 17 230     | nil                  |                             |            |          |
| S2                                | Windows                 | Valley Fabrications   | 30 641      | 2.5                  | 29 875     | 1 225                | Archiglass                  | 27 550     | 2 325    |
| S3                                | Plumbing                | Consort               | 4 550       | nil                  | 4 550      | nil                  |                             |            |          |
| S4                                | Plastering & partitions | Swift Services        | 57 990      | nil                  | 57 990     | nil                  | Oscar Finishes              | 46 760     | 11 230   |
| S5                                | Joinery                 | Projoin Site Services | 41 900      | nil                  | 41 900     | 1 935                | L.P. Monk                   | 38 450     | 3 450    |
| S6                                | Suspended ceilings      | Hill Systems          | 19 882      | 2.5                  | 19 882     | nil                  |                             |            |          |
| S7                                | Painting                | Tudor Decorations     | 12 659      | 2.5                  | 12 343     | nil                  |                             |            |          |
| S8                                | Floor coverings         | Freedom Finishes      | 12 615      | 2.5                  | 12 300     | nil                  |                             |            |          |
| S9                                | Electrical installation | Comech Engineering    | 35 887      | 2.5                  | 34 990     | nil                  | Beta Technologies           | 22 860     | 12 130   |
| S10                               | Mechanical installation | Comech Engineering    | 25 667      | 2.5                  | 25 025     | nil                  |                             |            |          |
| S11                               | Surfacing               | W. Smith Contracting  | 11 800      | nil                  | 12 450     | nil                  |                             |            |          |
| S12                               | Scaffolding             | CCG Scaffolding       | see prelims |                      |            |                      |                             |            |          |
| Totals                            |                         |                       |             |                      |            | £                    | 268 535                     | 3 160      | £ 29 135 |

Fig. 12.4 Typical summary form for domestic sub-contractors in a tender

## Nominated sub-contractors

The nomination procedure suffers from an elaborate set of conditions in the JCT98 contracts which has had the effect of turning people away from the practice of nominating specialist sub-contractors and suppliers. The general conditions for government contracts, GC/Works/1 Edition 3, is much simpler; clause 63 Nominations starts with the following declaration:

A nominated subcontractor or supplier means a person with whom the Contractor is required to enter into a contract for the execution of work or the supply of Things designated as 'Prime Cost' or 'PC' items. This requirement may be specified in the contract documents or in any direction or Instruction given under the Contract.

The standard method of measurement gives the rules for items to be included for nominated sub-contractors (SMM7 rule A51) and nominated suppliers (SMM7 rule A52). The following information must be given in the tender documents for each nominated sub-contractor:

1. The nature and construction of the work.
2. A statement of how and where the work is to be fixed.
3. Quantities, which indicate the scope of the work.
4. Any employer's limitations affecting the method or timing of the works.
5. A prime cost sum.
6. General attendance item in accordance with Section A42.
7. An item for main contractor's profit, to be shown as a percentage.
8. Details of special attendance required by the sub-contractor.

SMM7 lists some of the special attendances which might be required and makes it clear that special scaffolding is that which is needed as well as the contractor's standing scaffolding provided for other trades. The companion document 'SMM7 Measurement Code' goes further, suggesting the bill item for special scaffolding should be accompanied by dimensions. One of the biggest problems for estimators is the common practice of quantity surveyors who merely list all the general items given in SMM7 against every nomination. Again the Measurement Code warns against this practice and states that where adequate information cannot be provided a provisional sum should be used. Furthermore, items for positioning should state the expected weight, location and size of the components to be positioned.

The estimator's task is to make sure that the PC sum gets included in the bill calculations, adding a percentage for profit to the PC sum if it is wanted, considering the effect of the work on the programme, and assessing the costs of general and special attendances. Many contractors are reluctant to insert a figure for

## *Estimating and Tendering for Construction Work*

profit against the bill item because it might be interpreted as representing the contractor's profit on all the work.

SMM7 defines general attendance in Section A42 coverage rule C3 (see Fig. 12.3). The estimator usually provides for the cost of these attendances in his evaluation of the project overheads since most of the facilities are common to other trades. Special attendances on the other hand need to be priced separately either in the measured bill or as items in the project overhead calculations. If the special attendances are properly described the estimator can price the work; but where general descriptions are used he has great difficulty assessing his obligations. A typical example is a prime cost sum for piling where the type of pile is not given and the special attendances state the need for 'positioning'. SMM7 tells us that positioning means unloading, distributing, hoisting and placing in position. Does the piling contractor expect the contractor to do all this for him?

## **Nominated suppliers**


SMM7 and the standard forms of contract state that a nominated supplier is identified in the tender documents as a prime cost sum. A separate item is given for the contractor to add his profit. JCT98 says that the nominated supplier shall allow the contractor a discount for cash of 5%. Many contractors take out this discount before final review so the meeting can consider the net costs before considering the mark-up required to convert the estimate to a tender. A building estimator must be careful in dealing with GC/Works/1 Edition 3 because clause 63(3) states that the sum paid to the contractor by the authority, for all nominations, is the prime cost after the deduction of 'all discounts rebates or allowances'. No such problem arises with Institution of Civil Engineers (ICE) 6th Edition where the contractor can keep the discount obtainable for prompt payment to firms nominated for services or the supply of goods.

Fixing materials provided by nominated suppliers is measured in the appropriate part of the bill of quantities. GC/Works/1 Edition 3 points out that rates for fixing must include unloading, getting-in, unpacking, return of empties and other incidental expenses. A similar definition was given in SMM6 and was added to SMM7 with amendment No. 2 (New Coverage Rule C1 for section A52.1).

# 13

## Fluctuations

---



*'The inflation calculation'*

### Introduction

In the majority of cases – all but the largest of projects – contractors must allow for changes in costs that occur during the construction phase. The amount which the estimator adds to the estimate for inflation is a guess, calculated after an

## *Estimating and Tendering for Construction Work*

examination of price trends over the previous few years, and discussions with suppliers and sub-contractors, in an attempt to predict future trends. If a contract is likely to last for several years then the employer will request a tender based on current prices and any changes will be reimbursed using the methods defined in the contract.

The standard forms of contract have terms for either a *firm or fluctuating* price. A firm price is one which will not be varied for changes in the cost of resources, although labour tax fluctuations are usually reimbursed by the employer. In a fluctuating price tender the price is agreed before the job starts but the contract sum can be adjusted for changes in the costs of resources. An estimator needs to understand the clauses dealing with fluctuations so that he can tell the sub-contractors of the risks and calculate his own forecast of increased costs.

## **Standard fluctuations clauses**

The JCT Standard Form of Building Contract lists three options under clause 37 for dealing with fluctuations. The choice is noted in the Appendix as one of three clauses 38, 39 or 40. The estimator should find this information in the preliminaries section of the bill of quantities. If a method has not been chosen then clause 38 shall apply (firm price). Since only one option is required, fluctuation clauses are published separately from the rest of the contract conditions.

There are, in fact, four possibilities for dealing with fluctuations:

1. No clause – not recognized by the JCT contracts but would produce a firm price regardless of statutory or other changes.
2. Clause 38 – this is the firm price alternative which allows some statutory changes such as levies, taxes and contributions.
3. Clause 39 – is the full fluctuation option allowing variations in prices of labour, and materials using a basic list.
4. Clause 40 – is the ‘Formula Method’ where changes in costs are calculated by applying average indices prepared by national bodies published in monthly bulletins.

Clause 39 is the traditional method for the recovery of increases in the costs of employing labour and buying materials, but is seldom used today. It is an attempt to calculate the actual increases or decreases in costs incurred by the contractor and his sub-contractors. This method leads to a great deal of work for quantity surveyors because the actual costs of construction must be compared with those at the date of tender. No increases are allowed for:

1. Overheads and profit.
2. Site supervision.

3. Site establishment costs.
4. Plant and temporary works.

The contract allows the contractor to enter a percentage addition in the Appendix for some of the costs which are not recoverable.

Clause 40 (the price adjustment formulae option) is not based on the actual cost changes as in clause 39; instead it uses the changes in indices published by the DOE, which are published monthly. There is therefore no need for a basic list of material prices and the administrative work is reduced. There are 49 work categories covering general building work and many of the common specialist activities. The PQS should assign the bill items to work categories so the contractor can assess the way in which increases will be dealt with when the work is valued. Fluctuations are not calculated for the following:

1. Credit for demolition materials.
2. Unfixed materials on site.
3. Plant paid for on a daywork basis (labour is reimbursed at the rate current when the work is carried out).
4. Claims (normally calculated at full value).
5. A non-adjustable element which is deducted from the increased costs payable under the Local Authorities editions of JCT80. The deduction, which is normally 10%, is made because it could be argued that contractors should not receive an addition on overheads and profit.

The Joint Contracts Tribunal has produced similar conditions for dealing with fluctuations under the Intermediate Form of Building Contract. Clause 4.9 states that the contract sum will be adjusted for contribution, levy or tax matters unless the price adjustment formulae method is given in the Appendix to the contract. There are no fluctuations rules in the Agreement for Minor Building Works because the contract is for work of short duration. The usual clause for contribution, levy and tax changes is written into the contract (clause 4.6) but can be deleted if the contract period is short.

Works contractors engaged by management contractors under the terms of the JCT Works Contract conditions are reimbursed using one of three methods, which are similar to the JCT80 clauses 38, 39 and 40. The JCT Management Contract itself does not have provisions for fluctuations, presumably because the management contractor is paid the prime cost of the work.

The ICE Conditions of Contract include a supplementary clause for Contract Price Fluctuations, attached in looseleaf form. Again the most common arrangement is for a firm price contract without fluctuations but including (under clause 69) provision for statutory labour taxes, levies or contributions. The Central Government form GC/Works/1 uses a similar arrangement for labour tax matters and supplementary conditions for fluctuations using the NEDO formulae method for recovery.

## Calculation of non-recoverable increases

In broad terms the calculation shown below is necessary on every contract, including those with fluctuations clauses, because there is usually a shortfall in recovery of increased costs.

- A. Forecast increases in costs of resources.
- B. Forecast amount recoverable.
- C. Add non-recoverable element (A–B) to tender.

Now that analytical estimating is widely used by estimators, the fluctuations calculations can be dealt with after the bill of quantities has been rated – all the resources can be examined separately and a forecast of changes can be made. On the other hand, if the labour element is not known, a wage increase adjustment can be made to the all-in rate before pricing begins.

The tender programme is an invaluable aid in forecasting cost increases, not only for the construction phase but also to calculate the effect of the time between date of tender and start on site. The period for which the tender is to remain open for acceptance should be as short as possible if the employer wants to receive an economical price for the work. Figure 13.1 shows the use of a tender programme in assessing fluctuations. No labour increase is expected in this example until the following June which is after completing the project. If the project started in March (Fig. 13.2) there would be a June increase in labour rates but no more costs for staff who have their salaries increased in January.

It can be difficult to forecast changes in costs accurately. A reasonable estimate can be made, however, if individual resources are treated separately, as follows:

*Labour* The forecast of labour cost increases is the most predictable part of an estimate because wages change on the same date each year and the increase follows political and economic trends. Historical data can be plotted on a graph if a longer-term view is needed. For labour-only sub-contractors changes are difficult to anticipate and, at times of increased activity, change more quickly.

There are three ways to calculate expected labour costs throughout the currency of the contract:

1. Adjust the all-in rate before pricing the bill so all labour is priced on an average rate. This can be done as follows:

|              |                          |         |
|--------------|--------------------------|---------|
| May–June     | 8 weeks at £12.50/hour   | £100.00 |
| July–Sept.   | 12 weeks at £13.00/hour  | £156.00 |
| Total        |                          | £256.00 |
| Average rate | £256.00/20 = £12.80/hour |         |

| CB Construction Limited         |                        |     |     |     |       | Fluctuations calculation for a project starting in September |       |     |    |           |    |    |   |         |   |   |   |          |   |   |   |          |    |    |    |         |    |    |    |          |    |    |    |       |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |  |  |  |  |
|---------------------------------|------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-------|--------------------------------------------------------------|-------|-----|----|-----------|----|----|---|---------|---|---|---|----------|---|---|---|----------|----|----|----|---------|----|----|----|----------|----|----|----|-------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|--|--|--|--|
| Estimate of Fluctuations £      |                        |     |     |     |       | August                                                       |       |     |    | September |    |    |   | October |   |   |   | November |   |   |   | December |    |    |    | January |    |    |    | February |    |    |    | March |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |  |  |  |  |
|                                 | Lab                    | Plt | Mat | Sub | Staff | -7                                                           | -6    | -5  | -4 | -3        | -2 | -1 | 1 | 2       | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6        | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10       | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14      | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18       | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22    | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 |  |  |  |  |
| 1                               | Pre-construction       |     |     |     |       | ▽ tender                                                     |       |     |    | ▽ award   |    |    |   | ▽ start |   |   |   |          |   |   |   |          |    |    |    |         |    |    |    |          |    |    |    |       |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |  |  |  |  |
| 2                               | Excavation and filling |     |     |     |       |                                                              |       |     |    |           |    |    |   |         |   |   |   |          |   |   |   |          |    |    |    |         |    |    |    |          |    |    |    |       |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |  |  |  |  |
| 3                               | Foundations formwork   |     |     |     |       |                                                              |       |     |    |           |    |    |   |         |   |   |   |          |   |   |   |          |    |    |    |         |    |    |    |          |    |    |    |       |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |  |  |  |  |
| 4                               | Foundations concrete   |     |     |     |       |                                                              |       |     |    |           |    |    |   |         |   |   |   |          |   |   |   |          |    |    |    |         |    |    |    |          |    |    |    |       |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |  |  |  |  |
| 5                               | Underslab drainage     |     |     |     |       |                                                              |       |     |    |           |    |    |   |         |   |   |   |          |   |   |   |          |    |    |    |         |    |    |    |          |    |    |    |       |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |  |  |  |  |
| 6                               | Concrete grd floors    |     |     |     |       | 320                                                          |       |     |    |           |    |    |   |         |   |   |   |          |   |   |   |          |    |    |    |         |    |    |    |          |    |    |    |       |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |  |  |  |  |
| 7                               | Columns formwork       |     |     |     |       |                                                              |       |     |    |           |    |    |   |         |   |   |   |          |   |   |   |          |    |    |    |         |    |    |    |          |    |    |    |       |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |  |  |  |  |
| 8                               | Columns concrete       |     |     |     |       | 48                                                           |       |     |    |           |    |    |   |         |   |   |   |          |   |   |   |          |    |    |    |         |    |    |    |          |    |    |    |       |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |  |  |  |  |
| 9                               | Floors formwork        |     |     |     |       |                                                              |       |     |    |           |    |    |   |         |   |   |   |          |   |   |   |          |    |    |    |         |    |    |    |          |    |    |    |       |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |  |  |  |  |
| 10                              | Floors concrete        |     |     |     |       | 265                                                          |       |     |    |           |    |    |   |         |   |   |   |          |   |   |   |          |    |    |    |         |    |    |    |          |    |    |    |       |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |  |  |  |  |
| 11                              | External walls         |     |     |     |       | 1 690                                                        |       |     |    |           |    |    |   |         |   |   |   |          |   |   |   |          |    |    |    |         |    |    |    |          |    |    |    |       |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |  |  |  |  |
| 12                              | Roof timbers           |     |     |     |       | 220                                                          |       |     |    |           |    |    |   |         |   |   |   |          |   |   |   |          |    |    |    |         |    |    |    |          |    |    |    |       |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |  |  |  |  |
| 13                              | Roof covering          |     |     |     |       | 75                                                           | 685   |     |    |           |    |    |   |         |   |   |   |          |   |   |   |          |    |    |    |         |    |    |    |          |    |    |    |       |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |  |  |  |  |
| 14                              | Windows                |     |     |     |       |                                                              | 780   |     |    |           |    |    |   |         |   |   |   |          |   |   |   |          |    |    |    |         |    |    |    |          |    |    |    |       |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |  |  |  |  |
| 15                              | Services 1st fix       |     |     |     |       |                                                              |       |     |    |           |    |    |   |         |   |   |   |          |   |   |   |          |    |    |    |         |    |    |    |          |    |    |    |       |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |  |  |  |  |
| 16                              | Plasterwork            |     |     |     |       |                                                              |       |     |    |           |    |    |   |         |   |   |   |          |   |   |   |          |    |    |    |         |    |    |    |          |    |    |    |       |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |  |  |  |  |
| 17                              | Partitions             |     |     |     |       |                                                              |       |     |    |           |    |    |   |         |   |   |   |          |   |   |   |          |    |    |    |         |    |    |    |          |    |    |    |       |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |  |  |  |  |
| 18                              | Joinery                |     |     |     |       | 255                                                          | 744   |     |    |           |    |    |   |         |   |   |   |          |   |   |   |          |    |    |    |         |    |    |    |          |    |    |    |       |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |  |  |  |  |
| 19                              | Ceilings               |     |     |     |       | 110                                                          | 681   |     |    |           |    |    |   |         |   |   |   |          |   |   |   |          |    |    |    |         |    |    |    |          |    |    |    |       |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |  |  |  |  |
| 20                              | Services 2nd fix       |     |     |     |       |                                                              |       |     |    |           |    |    |   |         |   |   |   |          |   |   |   |          |    |    |    |         |    |    |    |          |    |    |    |       |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |  |  |  |  |
| 21                              | Painting               |     |     |     |       |                                                              |       |     |    |           |    |    |   |         |   |   |   |          |   |   |   |          |    |    |    |         |    |    |    |          |    |    |    |       |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |  |  |  |  |
| 22                              | Floor covering         |     |     |     |       |                                                              | 608   |     |    |           |    |    |   |         |   |   |   |          |   |   |   |          |    |    |    |         |    |    |    |          |    |    |    |       |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |  |  |  |  |
| 23                              | External works         |     |     |     |       | 640                                                          |       |     |    |           |    |    |   |         |   |   |   |          |   |   |   |          |    |    |    |         |    |    |    |          |    |    |    |       |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |  |  |  |  |
| 24                              | Surfacing              |     |     |     |       |                                                              | 708   |     |    |           |    |    |   |         |   |   |   |          |   |   |   |          |    |    |    |         |    |    |    |          |    |    |    |       |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |  |  |  |  |
| Site overheads                  |                        |     |     |     |       |                                                              |       |     |    |           |    |    |   |         |   |   |   |          |   |   |   |          |    |    |    |         |    |    |    |          |    |    |    |       |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |  |  |  |  |
| 25                              | Site manager           |     |     |     |       |                                                              |       |     |    |           |    |    |   |         |   |   |   |          |   |   |   |          |    |    |    |         |    |    |    |          |    |    |    |       |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |  |  |  |  |
| 26                              | Engineer               |     |     |     |       |                                                              |       |     |    |           |    |    |   |         |   |   |   |          |   |   |   |          |    |    |    |         |    |    |    |          |    |    |    |       |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |  |  |  |  |
| 27                              | Foreman                |     |     |     |       |                                                              |       |     |    |           |    |    |   |         |   |   |   |          |   |   |   |          |    |    |    |         |    |    |    |          |    |    |    |       |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |  |  |  |  |
| 28                              | General labour         |     |     |     |       |                                                              |       |     |    |           |    |    |   |         |   |   |   |          |   |   |   |          |    |    |    |         |    |    |    |          |    |    |    |       |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |  |  |  |  |
| Staff costs after annual review |                        |     |     |     |       | na                                                           |       |     |    |           |    |    |   |         |   |   |   |          |   |   |   |          |    |    |    |         |    |    |    |          |    |    |    |       |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |  |  |  |  |
| Staff total                     |                        |     |     |     |       | 576                                                          |       |     |    |           |    |    |   |         |   |   |   |          |   |   |   |          |    |    |    |         |    |    |    |          |    |    |    |       |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |  |  |  |  |
| TOTALS                          |                        |     |     |     |       | 3 623                                                        | 4 206 | 576 |    |           |    |    |   |         |   |   |   |          |   |   |   |          |    |    |    |         |    |    |    |          |    |    |    |       |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |  |  |  |  |

Fig. 13.1 Calculation of fluctuations for a project starting in September

**CB Construction Limited**

|                |                        | Estimate of Fluctuations £ |     |     |       |       |    |        |    |    | February |    |    | March |   |   | April |   |   | May |   |   | June |    |    | July |    |    | August |    |    | September |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
|----------------|------------------------|----------------------------|-----|-----|-------|-------|----|--------|----|----|----------|----|----|-------|---|---|-------|---|---|-----|---|---|------|----|----|------|----|----|--------|----|----|-----------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
|                |                        | Lab                        | Plt | Mat | Sub   | Staff | -7 | -6     | -5 | -4 | -3       | -2 | -1 | 1     | 2 | 3 | 4     | 5 | 6 | 7   | 8 | 9 | 10   | 11 | 12 | 13   | 14 | 15 | 16     | 17 | 18 | 19        | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 |
| 1              | Pre-construction       |                            |     |     |       |       | ▽  | tender |    | ▽  | award    |    | ▽  | start |   |   |       |   |   |     |   |   |      |    |    |      |    |    |        |    |    |           |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| 2              | Excavation and filling |                            |     |     |       |       |    |        |    |    |          |    |    |       |   |   |       |   |   |     |   |   |      |    |    |      |    |    |        |    |    |           |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| 3              | Foundations formwork   |                            |     |     |       |       |    |        |    |    |          |    |    |       |   |   |       |   |   |     |   |   |      |    |    |      |    |    |        |    |    |           |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| 4              | Foundations concrete   |                            |     |     |       |       |    |        |    |    |          |    |    |       |   |   |       |   |   |     |   |   |      |    |    |      |    |    |        |    |    |           |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| 5              | Underslab drainage     |                            |     |     |       |       |    |        |    |    |          |    |    |       |   |   |       |   |   |     |   |   |      |    |    |      |    |    |        |    |    |           |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| 6              | Concrete grd floors    | 174                        |     |     | 320   |       |    |        |    |    |          |    |    |       |   |   |       |   |   |     |   |   |      |    |    |      |    |    |        |    |    |           |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| 7              | Columns formwork       |                            |     |     |       |       |    |        |    |    |          |    |    |       |   |   |       |   |   |     |   |   |      |    |    |      |    |    |        |    |    |           |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| 8              | Columns concrete       | 36                         |     |     | 48    |       |    |        |    |    |          |    |    |       |   |   |       |   |   |     |   |   |      |    |    |      |    |    |        |    |    |           |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| 9              | Floors formwork        |                            |     |     |       |       |    |        |    |    |          |    |    |       |   |   |       |   |   |     |   |   |      |    |    |      |    |    |        |    |    |           |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| 10             | Floors concrete        | 116                        |     |     | 265   |       |    |        |    |    |          |    |    |       |   |   |       |   |   |     |   |   |      |    |    |      |    |    |        |    |    |           |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| 11             | External walls         | 1 860                      |     |     | 1 690 |       |    |        |    |    |          |    |    |       |   |   |       |   |   |     |   |   |      |    |    |      |    |    |        |    |    |           |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| 12             | Roof timbers           | 74                         |     |     | 220   |       |    |        |    |    |          |    |    |       |   |   |       |   |   |     |   |   |      |    |    |      |    |    |        |    |    |           |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| 13             | Roof covering          | 60                         |     |     | 75    | 685   |    |        |    |    |          |    |    |       |   |   |       |   |   |     |   |   |      |    |    |      |    |    |        |    |    |           |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| 14             | Windows                |                            |     |     |       | 780   |    |        |    |    |          |    |    |       |   |   |       |   |   |     |   |   |      |    |    |      |    |    |        |    |    |           |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| 15             | Services 1st fix       |                            |     |     |       |       |    |        |    |    |          |    |    |       |   |   |       |   |   |     |   |   |      |    |    |      |    |    |        |    |    |           |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| 16             | Plasterwork            |                            |     |     |       |       |    |        |    |    |          |    |    |       |   |   |       |   |   |     |   |   |      |    |    |      |    |    |        |    |    |           |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| 17             | Partitions             |                            |     |     |       |       |    |        |    |    |          |    |    |       |   |   |       |   |   |     |   |   |      |    |    |      |    |    |        |    |    |           |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| 18             | Joinery                | 132                        |     |     | 255   | 744   |    |        |    |    |          |    |    |       |   |   |       |   |   |     |   |   |      |    |    |      |    |    |        |    |    |           |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| 19             | Ceilings               | 51                         |     |     | 110   | 681   |    |        |    |    |          |    |    |       |   |   |       |   |   |     |   |   |      |    |    |      |    |    |        |    |    |           |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| 20             | Services 2nd fix       |                            |     |     |       |       |    |        |    |    |          |    |    |       |   |   |       |   |   |     |   |   |      |    |    |      |    |    |        |    |    |           |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| 21             | Painting               |                            |     |     |       |       |    |        |    |    |          |    |    |       |   |   |       |   |   |     |   |   |      |    |    |      |    |    |        |    |    |           |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| 22             | Floor covering         |                            |     |     |       | 608   |    |        |    |    |          |    |    |       |   |   |       |   |   |     |   |   |      |    |    |      |    |    |        |    |    |           |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| 23             | External works         | 708                        |     |     | 640   |       |    |        |    |    |          |    |    |       |   |   |       |   |   |     |   |   |      |    |    |      |    |    |        |    |    |           |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| 24             | Surfacing              |                            |     |     |       | 708   |    |        |    |    |          |    |    |       |   |   |       |   |   |     |   |   |      |    |    |      |    |    |        |    |    |           |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| Site overheads |                        |                            |     |     |       |       |    |        |    |    |          |    |    |       |   |   |       |   |   |     |   |   |      |    |    |      |    |    |        |    |    |           |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| 25             | Site manager           |                            |     |     |       | nil   |    |        |    |    |          |    |    |       |   |   |       |   |   |     |   |   |      |    |    |      |    |    |        |    |    |           |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| 26             | Engineer               |                            |     |     |       | nil   |    |        |    |    |          |    |    |       |   |   |       |   |   |     |   |   |      |    |    |      |    |    |        |    |    |           |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| 27             | Foreman                |                            |     |     |       | nil   |    |        |    |    |          |    |    |       |   |   |       |   |   |     |   |   |      |    |    |      |    |    |        |    |    |           |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| 28             | General labour         | 228                        |     |     |       |       |    |        |    |    |          |    |    |       |   |   |       |   |   |     |   |   |      |    |    | </   |    |    |        |    |    |           |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |

**Fig. 13.2** Calculation of fluctuations for a project starting in March

2. Increase the total labour costs by a percentage based on the approximate amount of work to be carried out before and after a wage increase.
3. Increase only the trades which are working after the wage increase by examining the tender programme.

*Staff* The cost of staff can be split between the amounts before and after the annual review. A simple percentage can then be added to the total salaries after the review.

*Materials and plant* There are two methods commonly used to assess the increased costs of materials and plant:

1. The estimator could assume a constant increase over the time that resources are purchased or hired and determine the increases up to the mean purchase date. As a simple example, if the estimator uses a constant increase of 1% a month for a 12-month contract he might add 6% to the total value of materials.
2. A more accurate assessment can be made by looking at each material or item of plant abstracted in the estimator's summary. A separate decision can then be made for each resource for likely increases and their timing with respect to the programme. If concrete prices are due to increase, for example, during the last third of a building project programme there may be no need to add for inflation, because concrete is generally used for early activities.

*Sub-contractors* All enquiries to sub-contractors must clearly state the rules for fluctuations. The estimator must check their quotations for compliance with the conditions. For some trades, such as surfacing, the estimator may have difficulty in obtaining offers which fully comply with a request for a firm price tender. He will identify the problem and adjust the sub-contract value when he completes the domestic sub-contract register; an example is given on page 68 of the COEP. On the other hand, the firm price adjustments could be made on a sub-contract summary sheet (see Chapter 12) which lists all the sub-contract values used in the tender.

For a *firm-price* tender, the estimator will add all the increases to his estimate; although in a strongly competitive market he may assume that certain price increases can be avoided or negotiated away.

For a contract, which is based on a *formula method*, the estimator must add the non-adjustable element to his price.

During periods of low inflation (as experienced in the early years of the new millennium) allowances made by estimators for inflation have been reassigned by site teams and added to margin. Unfortunately, when inflation rises faster than predicted at tender stage, losses can occur.

# 14

## *Provisional sums and dayworks*

---

### **Introduction**

Contractors have traditionally added the amounts for provisional sums and dayworks after the profit margin has been calculated. This is because, when provisional sums and dayworks are valued during the contract, the contractor receives reimbursement for overheads and profit. This changed in 1988 when SMM7 introduced the use of provisional sums for *defined work* and the Joint Contracts Tribunal (JCT) standard contract forms were amended accordingly.

### **Provisional sums for undefined work**

Where the employer identifies there is likely to be extra work for which there is no information at tender stage or it cannot be measured using the standard method of measurement, a provisional sum can be provided in the bill of quantities. The sum is spent at the direction of the architect (or engineer, Institution of Civil Engineers (ICE) Conditions of Contract) and the work is valued in accordance with the valuation rules. There are two kinds of undefined provisional sum: a contingency sum which is for work which cannot be identified at tender stage, usually for unforeseen circumstances, and sums for specific items the extent of which is not known, such as more landscaping to a courtyard which has not been agreed with the client. The contractor adds these provisional sums to his tender after he has calculated his preliminaries and profit margin. SMM7 makes it clear that the contractor is entitled to any reasonable allowance for programming, planning and preliminaries. This is not just a financial compensation. JCT80 (clause 25.4.5) gives the expenditure of a provisional sum as a relevant event, which may lead to claim for an extension of time. Taken literally, this means that the estimator does not include provisional sums when planning the work.

### **Provisional sums for defined work**

SMM7 recognizes there are certain items of work which cannot be measured using the standard method but could be taken into account by the contractor

when he draws up his programme and calculates his project overheads (preliminaries). It could be argued that a proportion of the provisional sum will be used to pay for head office overheads and profit. Simple examples would be providing a concrete access ramp for wheelchairs, or intumescent paint to roof trusses.

Contractors must be given more information about work in this category so that all the temporary works and overheads can be calculated. The question is, how much information must be given in the bill of quantities for a provisional sum for defined work? SMM7 states that the following must be provided:

1. The nature of the work.
2. How and where it is to be fixed.
3. Quantities showing the scope and extent of the work.
4. Limitations on method, sequence and timing.

Estimators have experienced problems with bills of quantities with provisional sums for defined work where the full extent of the temporary works is not clear. A typical case would be a provisional sum to replace defective windows in a multi-storey building. What assumptions should the contractor make for scaffolding? The defective windows could be found at high level, lower levels or throughout the building.

## Dayworks

Construction contracts often involve changes from the original scheme. The term *variation* means alteration of the design, quality or quantity of the works, and can include changes in sequence or timing of the works. Where a variation occurs, the cost of the original work is deducted and new work is measured and priced by the quantity surveyor or engineer. The value of variations is determined according to the rules set out in the conditions of contract. The first method is to be by measurement using bill rates or a fair allowance added to the bill rates or by fair rates and prices. Where the work cannot be valued by measurement, it may be valued on a daywork basis, provided it is incidental to contract work. In practice, contractors are often asked to attach a value to a variation before the varied work is started and in some cases a term is incorporated in the contract so that agreement is reached before the work is carried out.

The daywork charges are calculated using the definitions prepared for building works by the Royal Institution of Chartered Surveyors (RICS)/Construction Confederation and civil engineering work by the Civil Engineering Contractors Association. The JCT Standard Form of Building Contract (1998 edition) states

## *Estimating and Tendering for Construction Work*

that where the valuation relates to additional or substituted work which cannot be properly valued by measurement the valuation shall comprise:

the prime cost of such work (calculated in accordance with the Definition of Prime Cost of Daywork carried out under a Building Contract issued by the Royal Institution of Chartered Surveyors and the Construction Confederation which was current at Base Date) together with percentage additions to each section of the prime cost at the rates set out by the Contractor in the Contract Bills; or where the work is within the province of any specialist trade and the said Institution and the appropriate body representing the employers in that trade have agreed and issued a definition of prime cost of daywork, the prime cost of such work calculated in accordance with that definition which was current at the Base Date together with percentage additions on the prime cost at the rates set out by the Contractor in the Contract Bills.

A footnote states that the RICS has agreement about the definition of prime cost with two specialist trades associations – electrical and heating and ventilating associations. The contractor's daywork rates (or percentages) must take into account the rates required by the sub-contractors used in the tender.

The two industry definitions of prime cost of daywork state that the component parts which make up a prime cost are: labour, materials and plant with supplementary charges in case of the civil engineering definition. The contractor adds for incidental costs, overheads and profit at tender stage, thus introducing competition into the daywork part of the tender:

1. *Labour* For building works, the hourly base rates for labour are calculated by dividing the annual prime cost of labour by the number of working hours per annum (see Fig. 14.1). The annual prime cost of labour comprises:
  - (a) Guaranteed minimum weekly earnings.
  - (b) Extra payments for skill.
  - (c) Payments for public holidays.
  - (d) Employer's National Insurance contributions.
  - (e) Annual holiday credits.
  - (f) Contributions to death benefit scheme.
  - (g) Contribution, levy or tax payable by employer.
2. *Materials* The prime cost of materials is the invoice cost after deducting trade discounts, but include cash discounts up to 5%. For civil engineering and government contracts the cash discount kept by the contractor cannot exceed 2.5%.
3. *Plant* The definitions include schedules for plant charges. They relate to plant already on site and the rates include the cost of fuel, maintenance and all consumables. Drivers and attendants are dealt with under the labour section.

| Calculation of prime cost of labour for daywork                    |       | Days        | Hours                |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|-------------|----------------------|
| Working hours per week                                             |       | 39          |                      |
| Working hours per year (×52)                                       |       |             | 2 028                |
| Annual holidays (enter days)                                       |       | 21          | −164                 |
| Public holidays (enter days)                                       |       | 8           | −62                  |
| Total working hours per annum                                      |       |             | 1 802                |
| Calculation for 2003/04                                            |       | Craft rate  | Gen Op               |
|                                                                    |       | Craft rate  | General operative    |
| Weekly wage                                                        |       | 299.13      | 225.03               |
| Annual costs for working hours                                     |       | 46.2 weeks  | 13 819.81            |
| Extra for skill/hour                                               | 1 802 | 0.30        | 0.40                 |
| Employer's Nat Ins (%) over ET earnings threshold 46.2 weeks @ £89 |       | 12.80       | 12.80                |
|                                                                    |       | 4112        | 4112                 |
| Holidays with pay (hrs × rate)                                     | 226   | 7.67        | 5.77                 |
| Maximum pension contribution                                       | 52    | 7.50        | 7.50                 |
| CITB levy (%)                                                      |       | 0.50        | 0.50                 |
| Annual prime cost of labour                                        |       |             | 17 877.59            |
| Hourly base rate                                                   |       | 1 802 hours | £9.92                |
|                                                                    |       |             | £7.64                |
| Note the following could be added to the calculation:              |       |             |                      |
|                                                                    |       | Overhead %  | Rates incl overheads |
|                                                                    |       |             | CRAFT                |
|                                                                    |       |             | LAB                  |
|                                                                    |       | 20          | 11.90                |
|                                                                    |       | 30          | 12.90                |
|                                                                    |       | 40          | 13.89                |
|                                                                    |       | 50          | 14.88                |
|                                                                    |       | 60          | 15.87                |
|                                                                    |       | 70          | 16.86                |
|                                                                    |       | 80          | 17.86                |
|                                                                    |       | 90          | 18.85                |
|                                                                    |       | 100         | 19.84                |
|                                                                    |       | 110         | 20.83                |
|                                                                    |       | 120         | 21.82                |
|                                                                    |       | 130         | 22.82                |

Fig. 14.1 Estimator's spreadsheet for calculating the prime cost of building labour for daywork 2003/2004

Estimating and Tendering for Construction Work

In the case of the Civil Engineering definition the rates provide for head office charges and profit.

Overheads and profit

The anticipated value of daywork is included in a bill of quantities as provisional sums for labour, materials, plant and supplementary charges in the case of civil engineering work. The contractor is invited to add a percentage to each section for incidental costs, overheads and profit. The Civil Engineering definition states the percentage additions; but the contractor still has the opportunity to add or deduct from the percentages given.

*Labour* The estimator must calculate the hourly base rate (see Fig. 14.1) and compare it with an ‘all-in’ rate which includes overheads and profit. The rate or percentage in a tender is an average for all types of labour regardless of trade or degree of supervision because the estimator has no idea what extra work will arise. One solution is to look at a similar job and compare the net cost of measured work with the tender sum. It might be found that the total mark-up including project overheads was 30%. If the all-in rate for the current estimate is £12.50 then the gross all-in rate would be  $£12.50 \times 1.30 = £16.25/\text{hour}$ . Figure 14.1 shows the comparable hourly base rate to be £9.92. This would suggest a percentage to be added to the hourly base rate of  $(16.25 - 9.92)/9.92 \times 100 = 64\%$ .

Figure 14.2 shows the incidental costs, overheads and profit items listed in section 6 of the RICS definition and highlights the items which need to be added to the all-in rate. The overhead addition could also be found by comparing the daywork base rate with the all-in rate used in the estimate, as follows:

|                                            |        |
|--------------------------------------------|--------|
| Hourly base rate for labour (Fig. 14.1)    | £9.92  |
| All-in hourly rate (Chapter 10, Fig. 10.1) | £12.50 |
|                                            | <hr/>  |
|                                            | %      |
| % increase                                 | 26     |
| (a) Head office charges                    | 5      |
| (b) Site supervision                       | 8      |
| (h) Travelling allowance                   | 2      |
| (j) Contractor’s all risks insurance       | 2      |
| (o) Scaffolding                            | 2      |
| (p) Site facilities and protection         | 3      |
| (r) Other liabilities                      | 10     |
| (s) Profit                                 | 5      |
| Total to add to standard rate              | 63%    |

**Abstract from Section 6 of the RICS/Construction Confederation Definition of Dayworks – Incidental Costs, Overheads and Profit**

| Item                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | Included in all-in rate  | Not included |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------|
| (a) Head office charges                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | –                        | X            |
| (b) Site staff including site supervision                                                                                                                                                                                               | –                        | X            |
| (c) The additional cost of overtime (other than authorized)                                                                                                                                                                             | –                        | X            |
| (d) Time lost due to inclement weather                                                                                                                                                                                                  | –                        | X            |
| (e) Additional bonuses and incentive schemes                                                                                                                                                                                            | –                        | X            |
| (f) Apprentices' study time                                                                                                                                                                                                             | –                        | X            |
| (g) Subsistence and periodic allowances                                                                                                                                                                                                 | –                        | X            |
| (h) Fares and travelling allowances                                                                                                                                                                                                     | –                        | X            |
| (i) Sick pay or insurances in respect thereof                                                                                                                                                                                           | X                        | –            |
| (j) Third party and employer's liability insurance                                                                                                                                                                                      | –                        | X            |
| (k) Liability for redundancy payments                                                                                                                                                                                                   | –                        | X            |
| (l) Employer's National Insurance contributions                                                                                                                                                                                         | X                        | –            |
| (m) Tool allowances                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | –                        | X            |
| (n) Use, repair and sharpening of non-mech tools                                                                                                                                                                                        | –                        | X            |
| (o) Use of erected scaffolding, staging, trestles and the like                                                                                                                                                                          | –                        | X            |
| (p) Use of tarpaulins, protective clothing, artificial lighting, safety and welfare facilities storage and the like that may be available on site                                                                                       | –                        | X            |
| (q) Any variation to basic rates required by the Contractor in cases where the building contract provides for the use of a specified schedule of basic plant charges (to the extent that no other provision is made for such variation) | Applies to plant section |              |
| (r) All other liabilities and obligations whatsoever not specifically referred to in this section nor chargeable under any other section                                                                                                | –                        | X            |
| (s) Profit                                                                                                                                                                                                                              | –                        | X            |

**Fig. 14.2** *Items to be added to the 'all-in' rates for labour*

The first calculation produced an answer of 64% and the second 63%. So why do some contractors want 110% and some specialists ask for over 150%? The reasons for such high percentages (given below) go some way towards answering this question but would not be valid if dayworks were only *incidental* to and not a significant part of contract works:

1. The rates paid by contractors to labour-only sub-contractors are often higher than the all-in rate for direct employees and when the work is plentiful, the market rate for labour can be substantially higher.

## *Estimating and Tendering for Construction Work*

2. Introducing variations into a normal work sequence can have a harmful effect on other work and the attitude of the workforce, particularly when changes make it difficult to earn the expected bonuses.
3. The rate quoted by the main contractor, for building work, must include the possibility of work being carried out by specialist sub-contractors; their operatives may be earning higher rates of pay which are not recognized by the agreed definition, and specialist fitters often want a subsistence allowance while working away from home.
4. Contractors, again in the building industry, do not add the full percentages to materials and plant because they assume that labour is the major element of daywork; this means the labour percentage must carry the overheads which have not been added to materials and plant.

*Materials* Most of the items for incidental costs, overheads and profit, listed in Fig. 14.2, do not apply to materials. Contractors therefore add a small percentage (usually between 10 and 15%) to cover head office overheads (a) and profit (s). The costs incurred in unloading and transporting materials around the site would be fully recoverable.

*Plant* The rates for plant are given in the definition as provided by the contract. In building work, using the RICS/Construction Confederation definition, it is important to identify when the schedule of plant rates was produced in order to allow an additional percentage if the schedule is out of date. Section 6(q) of the RICS definition provides this opportunity. Schedules of plant charges usually cover a wide range of equipment, and apply to plant already on site. The costs which a contractor can claim are for the use of mechanical plant, transport (if plant is hired specifically for daywork) and non-mechanical equipment (except hand tools) for time employed on daywork. Labour operating plant is dealt with in the labour element.

The estimator must try to assess which are the most likely pieces of equipment to be used and compare the scheduled rates with those quoted by local plant hirers. The allowance for overheads and profit is commonly quoted between 10 and 15%.

# 15

## *Project overheads*

---

### **Introduction**

The preliminaries bill gives the contractor the opportunity to price project overheads, which are defined in the Code of Estimating Practice (COEP) as: ‘The site cost of administering a project and providing general plant, site staff, facilities and site-based services and other items not included in all-in rates’.

The standard methods of measurement for civil engineering and building give the general items which should be described in a bill of quantities, in two main parts: the specific requirements of the employer and the facilities which would be provided by the contractor to carry out the work. It could be argued that the latter is not really necessary in a bill of quantities because the contractor must provide general facilities whether they are measured or not. Presumably a simple breakdown of a contractor’s general cost items is needed to make a fair valuation of the works during the construction phase. This approach to measurement can lead to duplication of descriptions, because an item may be required by a client and is something which a contractor would normally provide. It is common, in building for example, to find preliminary descriptions for security and protection of the works measured twice.

### **Pricing project overheads**

For small repetitive works a contractor or sub-contractor may have a scale of overheads which he can apply to a new project. This may be calculated as a percentage of annual costs and adjusted where jobs deviate from the norm. Typically the site and office overheads for small houses and extensions may be 15%, and for sub-contractors who have facilities provided by main contractors the figure would be nearer 10%.

Traditionally, in building, estimators have allowed for attendant labour, non-mechanical plant and certain items of mechanical plant in the rates inserted

## *Estimating and Tendering for Construction Work*

against measured work. It is becoming more common for these items to be considered as part of the general site overheads because very often these facilities are available to all trades and should be assessed using the tender programme.

A typical sequence of events for pricing project overheads is:

1. Make notes of general requirements, such as temporary works and sub-contract attendances, when pricing the bill.
2. Prepare a site layout drawing showing the position of accommodation, access routes, storage areas, and services. Inspect site features and check feasibility of proposals during site visit.
3. Use the tender programme for planning staff, plant and temporary works requirements.
4. Read the client's specific requirements and all the tender documents.
5. Price the project overheads sheets.

It is essential that the contractor has standard sheets which give all the main headings for pricing project overheads. He cannot depend on the descriptions given in the tender documents because they are not necessarily complete. The COEP offers the comprehensive set of forms, but some estimators find there is too much detail for the average job and so a simplified checklist is given in Fig. 15.1. A detailed examination of the items in the checklist is presented in Figs 15.2(a)–(j).

A resourced programme is an important aid to the accurate pricing of project overheads because most of the general facilities are related to when the construction activities are carried out. The estimator or planning engineer can superimpose the main elements of the project overheads on the tender programme. The example of a tender programme given in Chapter 9 shows staff and principal plant durations. Other items drawn from the programme are general plant, scaffolding, fluctuations, attendant labour, temporary works, traffic management and so on. There is an opportunity here for the contractor to be innovative and develop methods which might give a competitive advantage over other tenderers. A typical example is for wall cladding to be fixed by men working from mechanical platforms as opposed to standing scaffolding. This not only reduces the equipment costs but also cuts the overall contract duration with shorter erection and dismantling periods. Shorter programmes bring about further savings by reducing the staff, overheads and accommodation costs.

For large projects, contractors will obtain quotations for temporary facilities such as: site accommodation, temporary electrics, scaffolding and craneage. Smaller and medium-sized projects can be priced using a simple spreadsheet template – an example is shown in Fig. 15.3.

| Employer's Requirements    | Management and Staff        | Facilities and Services      | Mechanical Plant             | Temporary Works            |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|
| SMM7 (A36) CESMM (A2)      | SMM7 (A32,40) CESMM (A3.7)  | SMM7 (A34,42) CESMM (A3.2)   | SMM7 (A43) CESMM (A3.3)      | SMM7 (A36,44) CESMM (A2.3) |
| Accommodation              | Site manager                | Power/lighting/heating       | Crane and driver             | Access routes              |
| Furniture                  | General foreman             | Water                        | Hoist                        | Hardstandings              |
| Telephone                  | Engineer                    | Telephones                   | Dumper                       | Traffic control            |
| Equipment                  | Planning engineer           | Stationery and postage       | Forklift                     | De-watering                |
| Transport                  | Foreman                     | Office equipment             | Tractor and trailer          | Hoarding                   |
| Attendance                 | Assistant engineer          | Computers                    | Mixer                        | Fencing                    |
|                            | Quantity surveyor           | Humidity/temperature control | Concrete finishing equipment | Notice board               |
|                            | Assistant quantity surveyor | Security and safety measures | Compressor and tools         | Shoring and centring       |
|                            | Clerk/typist                | Temporary electrics          | Pumps                        | Temporary structures       |
|                            | Security/watchman           | Waste skips                  | Fuel and transport for plant | Protection                 |
|                            |                             |                              |                              |                            |
| Site Accommodation         | Attendant Labour            | Contract Conditions          | Non-mechanical Plant         | Miscellaneous              |
| SMM7 (A36,41) CESMM (3.1)  | SMM7 (A42) CESMM (3.7)      | SMM7 (A20) CESMM (A1)        | SMM7 (A44) CESMM (A3.6)      | SMM7 (A33,35)              |
| Offices                    | Unloading and distribution  | Fluctuations                 | External scaffolding         | Setting out consumables    |
| Stores                     | Cleaning                    | Insurances                   | Internal scaffolding         | Testing and samples        |
| Canteen/welfare            | Setting-out assistants      | Bonds                        | Hoist towers                 | Winter working             |
| Toilets                    | Drivers and pump attendance | Warranties                   | Mobile towers                | Quality assurance          |
| Drying and first aid       | General attendance          | Special conditions           | Small tools and equipment    | Site limitations           |
| Workshops and laboratories | Scaffold adaptation         | Professional fees            | Surveying instruments        | Protective clothing        |
| Foundations and drainage   |                             |                              |                              |                            |
| Rates and charges          |                             |                              |                              |                            |
| Erection and fitting out   |                             |                              |                              |                            |
| Furniture                  |                             |                              |                              |                            |
| Removal                    |                             |                              |                              |                            |
| Transport                  |                             |                              |                              |                            |
|                            |                             |                              |                              |                            |

Fig. 15.1 Preliminaries checklist

# Pricing the preliminaries bill

Both SMM7 and CESMM3 recommend that ‘fixed’ and ‘time-related’ charges are identified separately in a bill of quantities. SMM7 defines them as follows:

- 1. A fixed charge is for work the cost of which is to be considered as independent of duration.
- 2. A time-related charge is for work the cost of which is to be considered as dependent on duration.

There are certain items that are difficult to allocate such as the use of specialist plant. A crane, for example, may be on site for two weeks; should this be classed as a fixed or time-related charge? For many schemes, all general plant and facilities are divided by the duration to produce equal sums for monthly payments.

| (a) Employer’s requirements |                                                                                                                                           |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Accommodation               | Offices, toilets, conference room, stores, laboratories and car parking space may be required depending on client’s specific requirements |
| Furniture                   | If none stated, assume client providing own furniture                                                                                     |
| Telephone                   | Telephone and facsimile equipment can be specified including payment of standing charges (call charges are given as a provisional sum)    |
| Equipment                   | Technical testing equipment and surveying instruments<br>Protective clothing                                                              |
| Transport                   | Vehicles for employer’s staff or consultants, fuel and maintenance<br>Transport to suppliers to inspect production of components          |
| Attendance                  | Drivers, chainmen, office cleaners, and laboratory assistants                                                                             |

NOTES:

SMM7 states that, where the employer requires accommodation on site, heating, lighting and maintenance are deemed to be included.

Notice boards are often given as a specific requirement but invariably will be provided by a contractor for information and advertising (see Temporary Works).

**Fig. 15.2a** Pricing preliminaries – employer’s requirements

## (b) Management and staff

|                             |                                                                                                                                   |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Site Manager                | Required on most sites.<br>Calibre of staff depends on size and complexity of project                                             |
| General Foreman             | Day-to-day management of labour and plant.<br>Coordination of labour-only sub-contractors                                         |
| Engineer                    | Analysis of building methods, setting-out and quality control.<br>Services engineer to coordinate specialist services contractors |
| Planning Engineer           | Master programme during mobilization.<br>Up-dating exercises and short-term programmes                                            |
| Foreman                     | Consider structure, finishings and snagging.<br>Add non-productive time for trades foremen                                        |
| Assistant Engineer          | Setting out work, external works and internal fabric.<br>Scheduling materials and attendance on sub-contractors                   |
| Quantity Surveyor           | Some involvement on all jobs, particularly at beginning and end                                                                   |
| Assistant quantity surveyor | For large contracts with complex valuations and control of sub-contractors' accounts and bonus payments                           |
| Clerk/Typist                | General admin duties on site. Checkers.<br>Telephone, post and reception duties                                                   |
| Security/Watchman           | Usually employing security services.<br>Important when fittings and furniture arrive                                              |

### NOTES:

All-in average rates for each category of staff will be provided by senior management in a way which ensures that individual salaries are not identifiable. Employment costs for salaried staff are calculated on an annual basis with additional costs which arise from:

- pension scheme (employer's contribution)
- annual bonuses
- overtime
- computer and printer
- training levy
- car and expenses

The choice of site managers will depend on job size, complexity, duration, number of operatives and commitments to nominated and domestic sub-contractors.

**Fig. 15.2b** Pricing preliminaries – management and staff

*Estimating and Tendering for Construction Work***(c) Facilities and services**

|                                  |                                                                                                                                                  |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Power/lighting/heating           | Check availability of supplies, connection charges, temporary housings, fittings and consumption costs. Alternatively generators and gas bottles |
| Water                            | Provision of service to site, pipework from supply, distribution system, and charges. Bowsers required if no piped service available             |
| Telephones                       | Consider number of senior staff in deciding on number of lines, switch-board for larger systems and mobile phone for start of job                |
| Stationery and postage           | Average cost per week drawn from analysis of previous projects                                                                                   |
| Office equipment                 | Photocopier, facsimile machine and typewriters are commonly required                                                                             |
| Computers                        | Personal computers required for more complex projects.<br>Security can be a problem; consider portable PCs or robust equipment                   |
| Humidity and temperature control | Check specific requirements for dehumidifiers, heaters and attendance.<br>Vulnerable materials include seasoned joinery and suspended ceilings   |
| Security and safety measures     | Security firm or own labour for watching site at night and at weekends.<br>Intruder alarms, traffic control, fire precautions and fire fighting  |
| Temporary electrics              | Transformers, distribution system, boards, leads and site lighting.<br>Electrician may be resident on large building schemes                     |
| Waste skips                      | Regular collection of rubbish skips should be allowed.<br>Dustbins can be used to promote cleaner sites                                          |

**NOTES:**

Computers are used on site for material records, valuations and cost monitoring. Standard data-bases are often used to produce drawing registers and lists of instructions. Additional costs which include maintenance contracts, software and consumables can be as much as the value of the computers. The consumables and maintenance costs associated with photocopiers can be costly.

Humidity control is a complex requirement. If dehumidifiers are used the hire charges are high and additional costs include transport charges, electric power, attendance in removing water and daily monitoring of humidity levels. These measures can only be put in place when the building is enclosed and damp air is prevented from entering the building.

Fuels are deemed to be included in items for testing and commissioning mechanical and electrical work (SMM7 Y51 and Y81). The contractor should liaise with specialists to ensure the fuel costs are included.

**Fig. 15.2c** *Pricing preliminaries – facilities and services*

**(d) Mechanical plant**

|                              |                                                                                                                                                      |
|------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Crane and driver             | Determine maximum lift in terms of weight, radius and height clearance. Duration and location on site also needed to select a crane (see below)      |
| Hoist                        | Usually required for multi-storey external access scaffolds. Consider type (goods or passengers), hire, transport, erect, adapt, and dismantle       |
| Dumper                       | Difficult to dispense with dumpers for moving excavated material, stone, bricks, blocks and mortar. Include transport, hire and fuel                 |
| Forklift                     | Rough terrain forklift is a good all round tool used for unloading, distributing and hoisting palletized materials. May need attachments             |
| Tractor and trailer          | Popular for long drainage runs and kerb laying for roadworks                                                                                         |
| Mixer                        | Hire, transport and fuel for concrete and mortar mixers, silos and bunkers. Minimum requirement is a mixer for brickwork and drainage                |
| Concrete finishing equipment | Screeding rails and tamping bars.<br>Curing membranes and vibrators                                                                                  |
| Compressor and tools         | Needed for demolitions and alterations, cleaning inside shutters, preparing stop ends in concrete, drilling and vibrating concrete                   |
| Pumps                        | Water pumps, hoses, fuel, transport and attendance (see attendant labour). Concrete pump hire for mobile or static equipment; check quote for extras |
| Fuel and transport for plant | Static fuel tank or fuel bowser may be required. Assess additional transport costs for plant from yard to site                                       |

**NOTES:**

Quotations should be obtained for long hire or large capacity plant, such as cranes, forklifts and concrete pumps. Consult plant suppliers for advice on running costs and fuel consumption.

Cranes need ancillary equipment such as slings, concrete skips and lifting beams. Mobile cranes need sufficient space for outriggers, and hire charges are usually from time of leaving depot to return.

A tower crane will incur costs to transport, erect, adapt and dismantle, as well as a foundation or rail tracks, power source, fuel and operator. In some instances tower cranes may not be feasible owing to wind limitations or air space rights which cannot be infringed.

**Fig. 15.2d** *Pricing preliminaries – mechanical plant*

## Estimating and Tendering for Construction Work

### (e) Temporary works

|                      |                                                                                                                                                      |
|----------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Access routes        | Plot layout on site plan taking advantage of hardcore under roads and buildings. Allow for maintenance and making-up levels on completion            |
| Hardstandings        | Additional areas are required for storage, site huts, and lay-down areas for materials such as pipes and reinforcement. Reinstatement                |
| Traffic control      | Check specification and statutory obligations. Use programme and site layout drawing to determine equipment needed and hire periods                  |
| Dewatering           | Establish type of system required. Quotation and advice from specialist needed for a well-point system                                               |
| Hoarding             | Serves to protect the public and forms a secure barrier around the site or contractor's compound. Costs to hire, buy, erect, adapt and dismantle     |
| Fencing              | Temporary or permanent fencing to maintain security at perimeter of site, protect trees, mark a boundary and to form site compound                   |
| Notice board         | Notice board and local signage help drivers and visitors find the site, satisfy curiosity and provide cheap advertising. Check client's requirements |
| Shoring & centring   | Consider design, duration, and hire or buy calculations for falsework/shoring (temporary shoring will incur making good costs on completion)         |
| Temporary structures | Temporary bridges, temporary roofs, facade supports, ramps, viewing platforms, accommodation gantries. May need specialist design input              |
| Protection           | High value components and all finishes need to be considered. Decorated areas may require additional coats of paint                                  |

#### NOTES:

In poor soil conditions the loss of hardcore under access roads and hardstandings can be substantial. A ground improvement mat may be used with the approval of the contract administrator.

Excavation below ground water level or works affected by rivers or tidal water is normally identified in the bill of quantities. The contractor is therefore responsible for finding an appropriate method for dealing with the problem. Where a full dewatering system is required by a client, the contractors are normally informed at tender stage.

The cost of protection is often undervalued; particularly in the case of building finishes which are difficult to protect during the commissioning and completion of a project. In a large building measures might include laying sheeting and boarding on floors, repainting walls and woodwork, and a security system which detects responsibility for damage by allowing entry to finished areas with a written permit.

**Fig. 15.2e** Pricing preliminaries – temporary works

**(f) Site accommodation**

|                          |                                                                                                                                        |
|--------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Offices                  | Mobile offices can be established quickly to high standard.<br>Sectional sheds require set-up costs, foundations and finishes          |
| Stores                   | For secure protection of high value materials.<br>Hire or purchase accommodation, or in the building                                   |
| Canteen/welfare          | Use labour strength from programme and add for sub-contractors.<br>Add for equipment, cooking facilities, furniture and food subsidies |
| Toilets                  | Mobile toilet units – check drainage and services available.<br>Allow for sundries such as soap, towels and cleaning materials         |
| Drying and first aid     | Accommodation with lockers and heaters.<br>First aid room depending on number of people on site                                        |
| Foundations and drainage | Concrete or sleepers to support cabins.<br>Wherever possible connect drainage to live sewer                                            |
| Rates and charges        | Local authority charges are payable on temporary accommodation.<br>May need to acquire land for site establishment                     |
| Erection and fitting out | Materials for erection and fitting out.<br>Labour may be here or as Attendant Labour                                                   |
| Furniture                | Company owned desks, chairs and cabinets usually available.<br>Replacements can be provided from secondhand market                     |
| Removal                  | Consider the need to resite the accommodation during the job.<br>Taking down can be priced as Attendant Labour                         |
| Transport                | Often priced as transport to site only if follow-on work expected.<br>Crane off-loading and loading accommodation                      |

**NOTES:**

The estimator should keep records of hire rates for mobile accommodation and compare with the purchase of sectional buildings. The capital cost of timber buildings can be divided by the life span (plus an allowance for repairs and renovation) to arrive at an equivalent hire rate. There is additional labour in erecting and dismantling timber buildings together with the provision of sundry materials such as sleepers for foundations, felt for roofing, glass, insulation board lining and so on.

Additional hire during the defects liability period may be required for a foreman's office and storage container. Minimum requirements for accommodation and health and welfare are given in the Construction Regulations.

**Fig. 15.2f** *Pricing preliminaries – site accommodation*

## Estimating and Tendering for Construction Work

### (g) Attendant labour

|                             |                                                                                                                                                  |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Unloading and distribution  | Envisage an unloading and handling labour gang; adding drivers for dumper and forklift. Balance requirements with attendant labour in bill rates |
| Cleaning                    | Daily and weekly tidying-up and cleaning may be carried out by the general gang or casual labour                                                 |
| Setting-out assistants      | Each setting-out engineer will need some assistance from a chainman                                                                              |
| Drivers and pump attendance | Each item of mechanical plant will need some operator time; for example: a water pump might require 1.5 man hours/day                            |
| General attendance          | Clearing away rubbish for sub-contractors, adapting scaffolding and materials distribution (may be priced elsewhere)                             |
| Scaffold adaptation         | An assessment of time is required and rates should be obtained from the scaffolding specialist                                                   |

#### NOTES:

A site which relies on scaffold hoists will need more labour than that which has a tower crane. Where multi-storey buildings have blockwork walls internally, a considerable amount of labour for distribution is needed.

It can be argued that general attendance for sub-contractors is already included in the tender by assessing all the site facilities in the preliminaries. Special attendance can also be dealt with in this section, although some estimators prefer to price specific attendances for nominated sub-contractors in the bill of quantities, which is presumably what is envisaged in the standard method of measurement.

**Fig. 15.2g** *Pricing preliminaries – attendant labour*

| (h) Contract conditions |                                                                                                                                                             |
|-------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Fluctuations*           | Calculation of fluctuation costs includes changes forecast for preliminaries. See fluctuations chapter for factors to be considered                         |
| Insurances              | A quotation (or guide rate) can be obtained for all-risks insurance. The all-in rate for labour usually includes the Employer's Liability insurance         |
| Bonds                   | Cost of bond is based on contract value and duration including defects liability period. Check that bond is acceptable and bank facilities are not exceeded |
| Warranties              | More common with contractor-designed elements which are usually backed up with warranties from specialists and designers                                    |
| Special conditions      | Check changes to standard conditions, poor documentation and that form of contract, specifications and SMMs are up to date                                  |
| Professional fees       | Alternative bids which include a design element, tests on materials, QS services, and legal fees to check contractual arrangements. Land surveys            |

NOTES:

\* Most fluctuations in prices will relate to production costs, not preliminaries. It is therefore preferable to calculate fluctuations independently and transfer the result to the tender summary.

Not all losses can be recovered under an insurance policy. There could be many losses on a site which each fall below the policy excess agreed with the insurers. An estimate of an average value of losses should be added to the tender.

Where applicable, a Parent Company Guarantee would provide a measure of protection, at little cost to the client.

Tendering costs are not normally added to an individual tender. They become part of the general company overhead.

**Fig. 15.2h** Pricing preliminaries – contract conditions

*Estimating and Tendering for Construction Work*

| <b>(i) Non-mechanical plant</b> |                                                                                                                                                    |
|---------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| External scaffolding            | Quotation needed for erection and hire of scaffold for larger schemes. Programme used to assess duration on each section of work                   |
| Internal scaffolding            | Birdcage scaffold for large voids, decking for ceilings and ductwork. Consider lift shafts, stair wells, high walls & inner skin of external walls |
| Hoist towers                    | Extra cost to provide enclosure for mechanical hoist. Platforms to unload materials at each level                                                  |
| Mobile towers                   | For short duration and localized work. Consider also platform hoists or scissor lifts (mechanical plant)                                           |
| Small tools and equipment       | Hand tools such as drills, power saws, picks and shovels, rubbish chutes, bending machines, bolts crops and traffic cones                          |
| Surveying instruments           | Purchase, hire or internal charges, maintenance and consumables. Check requirements of engineering staff listed in supervision section             |

**NOTES:**

For scaffolding the following should be considered:

- |                     |                                 |
|---------------------|---------------------------------|
| (a) Hire charges    | (g) Baseplate support           |
| (b) Transport costs | (h) Debris netting              |
| (c) Labour costs    | (j) Polythene sheeting          |
| (d) Losses          | (k) Temporary roofing           |
| (e) Adaptation      | (l) Platforms to land materials |
| (f) Safety measures |                                 |

The loads imposed on scaffolding should be considered, in particular the use of scaffolding for short-term storage of block stone or bricks.

There is a relationship between the amount of labour in a job and the small tools and equipment costs. Some companies add a percentage to the all-in labour rate for small tools; others include a sum in the preliminaries based on a percentage of total labour costs for the job. Clearly feedback from previous contracts is needed so that the estimator has a realistic guide for this item.

**Fig. 15.2i** *Pricing preliminaries – non-mechanical plant*

| (j) Miscellaneous       |                                                                                                                                                       |
|-------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Setting out consumables | Pegs and profile boards, tapes and refills.<br>Larger projects need concrete and steelwork for site stations                                          |
| Testing and samples     | Concrete cube testing is calculated from the specified frequency of tests. Samples of materials may be supplied free but composite panels have a cost |
| Winter working          | Additional protection for operatives, heating, and site lighting.<br>Reduced productivity, location of work, heated concrete etc.                     |
| Quality assurance       | For large schemes, a significant proportion of the supervisor's time may be dedicated to the control and monitoring systems. See Management and staff |
| Site limitations        | Check employer's requirements such as access restrictions, control of noise, weight of vehicles, protection of services etc. See Temporary works      |
| Protective clothing     | Staff and directly employed people will need protective clothing, depending on time of year. Safety hats/clothing for employees and labour-only s/c's |

NOTES:

The responsibility for quality assurance remains with all the parties and everyone in the organization. The establishment of a quality system will produce quality statements, a set of company procedures, training, and control mechanisms. The cost is usually carried by the general off-site overhead. It is often argued that the cost of setting up and implementing a quality system is offset by the benefits which result.

**Fig. 15.2j** Pricing preliminaries – miscellaneous

Estimating and Tendering for Construction Work

| CB Construction Limited |                                |      | Student Accommodation |                |        | Project Overheads |        | GFA (m <sup>2</sup> ) | 1697      |         |
|-------------------------|--------------------------------|------|-----------------------|----------------|--------|-------------------|--------|-----------------------|-----------|---------|
|                         |                                |      | Steel frame solution  |                |        | 11.59%            |        | Date                  | 12-Jul-04 |         |
|                         |                                |      |                       |                |        | net trade value   |        |                       | £1.85m    |         |
|                         | Description                    | Note | Quant                 | Unit           | Factor | Fixed charges     |        | Time charges          |           | Sub-tot |
| p                       | =pre-start                     |      |                       |                |        |                   | 84 468 |                       | 130 019   | 214 486 |
| SUPERVISION             |                                |      |                       |                |        |                   | —      |                       | —         |         |
| p                       | Site manager pre-constr        |      | 10                    | wk             | 0.5    | 950               | 4 750  | —                     | —         |         |
|                         | Project manager constr         |      | —                     | wk             | 1      | —                 | —      | —                     | —         |         |
| p                       | Project coordinator pre-constr |      | 10                    | wk             | 0.5    | 850               | 4 250  | —                     | —         |         |
|                         | Project coordinator constr     |      | —                     | wk             | 1      | —                 | —      | —                     | —         |         |
| p                       | QS pre-constr                  |      | 10                    | wk             | 0.5    | 760               | 3 800  | —                     | —         |         |
|                         | Project QS constr              |      | —                     | wk             | 1      |                   | —      | —                     | —         |         |
|                         | QS                             |      | 35                    | wk             | 0.25   |                   | —      | 760                   | 6 650     |         |
|                         | Site agent (roads)             |      | —                     | wk             | 1      |                   | —      | —                     | —         |         |
|                         | Site manager (building)        |      | 35                    | wk             | 1      |                   | —      | 911                   | 31 880    |         |
|                         | Sen engineer (roads)           |      | —                     | wk             | 1      |                   | —      | —                     | —         |         |
|                         | Sen engineer (building)        |      | —                     | wk             | 1      |                   | —      | —                     | —         |         |
|                         | Engineer (building)            |      | 6                     | wk             | 1      |                   |        | 748                   | 4 489     |         |
|                         | Foreman                        |      | 30                    | wk             | 1      |                   | —      | 650                   | 19 500    |         |
|                         | Secretary                      |      | —                     | wk             | 1      |                   | —      | —                     | —         |         |
|                         | Spare                          |      |                       |                |        |                   | —      |                       | —         |         |
|                         |                                |      |                       |                |        |                   | —      |                       | —         | 75 319  |
| SITE FACILITIES         |                                |      |                       |                |        |                   | —      |                       | —         |         |
|                         | Notice boards                  |      | 1                     | it             | 1      | 650               | 650    |                       | —         |         |
|                         | Fencing/hoarding               |      | 200                   | m              | 1      | 20                | 4 000  |                       | —         |         |
|                         | Gates 10 m wide                |      | 2                     | nr             | 1      | 1 500             | 3 000  |                       | —         |         |
|                         | Reinstatement                  |      | 1                     | it             | 1      | 1 000             | 1 000  |                       | —         |         |
|                         | Set up – foundations           |      | 1                     | sum            | 1      | 750               | 750    |                       | —         |         |
|                         | Set up – transport/crane       |      | 4                     | nr             | 1      | 275               | 1 100  |                       | —         |         |
|                         | Set up – offices               |      | 80                    | hr             | 1      | 10                | 800    |                       | —         |         |
|                         | Set up – fitting out           |      | 20                    | hr             | 1      | 10                | 200    |                       | —         |         |
|                         | Dismantle/remove               |      | 40                    | hr             | 1      | 10                | 400    |                       | —         |         |
|                         | Install electricity            |      | 1                     | sum            | 1      | 2 000             | 2 000  |                       | —         |         |
|                         | Install water                  |      | 1                     | sum            | 1      | 1 000             | 1 000  |                       | —         |         |
|                         | Install drainage               |      | 1                     | sum            | 1      | 650               | 650    |                       | —         |         |
|                         | Install telephone/IT line      |      | 1                     | sum            | 1      | 1 000             | 1 000  |                       | —         | 16 550  |
| SITE RUNNING EXPENSES   |                                |      |                       |                |        |                   | —      |                       | —         |         |
|                         | Electricity charges            |      | 35                    | wk             | 1      |                   | —      | 50                    | 1 750     |         |
|                         | Water charges                  |      | 35                    | wk             | 1      |                   | —      | 35                    | 1 225     |         |
|                         | Telephone charges              |      | 35                    | wk             | 1      |                   | —      | 125                   | 4 375     |         |
|                         | LA rates                       |      | 35                    | wk             | 1      |                   | —      | 10                    | 350       |         |
|                         | Postage & stationery           |      | 35                    | wk             | 1      |                   | —      | 30                    | 1 050     |         |
|                         | Photocg/printing consumbls     |      | 35                    | wk             | 1      |                   | —      | 30                    | 1 050     |         |
|                         | Canteen/office cleaning        |      | 30                    | wk             | 1      |                   | —      | 150                   | 4 500     |         |
|                         | Out-of-pocket expenses         |      | 35                    | nth            | 1      |                   | —      | 20                    | 700       |         |
|                         | Settg-out consumables          |      | 1                     | sum            | 1      | 1 500             | 1 500  | —                     | —         |         |
|                         | Protective clothing            |      | 15                    | sets           | 1      | 100               | 1 500  |                       | —         |         |
|                         | Photographs                    |      | 35                    | nth            | 1      |                   | —      | 10                    | 350       |         |
|                         | Materials testing              |      | 200                   | m <sup>3</sup> | 1      | 2                 | 400    |                       | —         |         |
|                         | Security services              |      | —                     | wk             | 1      |                   | —      | —                     | —         |         |

Fig. 15.3 Contd

Project overheads

| CB Construction Limited |                             |       | Student Accommodation |                |        | Project Overheads |        | GFA (m <sup>2</sup> ) | 1697      |
|-------------------------|-----------------------------|-------|-----------------------|----------------|--------|-------------------|--------|-----------------------|-----------|
|                         |                             |       | Steel frame solution  |                |        | 11.59%            |        | Date                  | 12-Jul-04 |
|                         |                             |       |                       |                |        | net trade value   |        | £1.85m                |           |
|                         | Description                 | Note  | Quant                 | Unit           | Factor | Fixed charges     |        | Time charges          |           |
|                         |                             |       |                       |                |        |                   |        |                       | Sub-tot   |
|                         | Fire equipment              |       | 1                     | sum            | 1      | 1 000             | 1 000  | —                     |           |
|                         | Site consumables            |       | 1                     | sum            | 1      | —                 | —      | 2 500                 | 2 500     |
|                         | GENERAL LABOUR              |       |                       |                | 1      | —                 | —      | —                     | 22 250    |
|                         | Chainman                    |       | 6                     | wk             | 1      | 300               | 1 800  | —                     |           |
|                         | Gen gang – clear site       |       |                       |                | 1      | —                 | —      | —                     |           |
|                         | Gen gang – mat distribution |       | 20                    | wk             | 1      | —                 | —      | 375                   | 7 500     |
|                         | Snagging                    |       | 4                     | wk             | 1      | 400               | 1 600  | —                     |           |
|                         | Protection                  |       | 100                   | hr             | 1      | 10                | 1 000  | —                     |           |
|                         | Final clean                 |       | 0.001                 |                | 1      | 2 220 000         | 2 220  | —                     |           |
|                         |                             |       |                       |                | 1      | —                 | —      | —                     | 14 120    |
|                         | SITE SET UP                 |       |                       |                | 1      | —                 | —      | —                     |           |
|                         | Offices                     | 2     | 35                    | wk             | 1      | —                 | —      | 35                    | 2 450     |
|                         | Messrooms                   | 1     | 35                    | wk             | 1      | —                 | —      | 55                    | 1 925     |
|                         | Stores                      | 2     | 35                    | wk             | 1      | —                 | —      | 30                    | 2 100     |
|                         | Toilets                     | 1     | 35                    | wk             | 1      | —                 | —      | 35                    | 1 225     |
|                         | Surveying equip – level     | 1     | 35                    | wk             | 1      | —                 | —      | 15                    | 525       |
|                         | Surveying equip – theo      | 1     | 35                    | wk             | 1      | —                 | —      | 20                    | 700       |
|                         | Site vehicles               |       |                       |                | 1      | —                 | —      | —                     |           |
|                         | Lab equipment               |       |                       |                | 1      | —                 | —      | —                     |           |
|                         | Office equip – photocopier  |       | 35                    | wk             | 1      | —                 | —      | 20                    | 700       |
|                         | Office equip – fax machine  |       | 35                    | wk             | 1      | —                 | —      | 10                    | 350       |
|                         | Office equip – computer     |       | 2                     | nr             | 1      | —                 | —      | 1 000                 | 2 000     |
|                         |                             |       |                       |                | 1      | —                 | —      | —                     | 11 975    |
|                         | GENERAL PLANT               |       |                       |                |        | —                 | —      | —                     |           |
|                         | Forklift + (0.5)driver+fuel |       | 20                    | wk             |        | —                 | —      | 480                   | 9 600     |
|                         | Skips – general             |       | 60                    | nr             |        | —                 | —      | 100                   | 6 000     |
|                         | Skips – final clean         |       | 8                     | nr             |        | —                 | —      | 100                   | 800       |
|                         | Skips – finishes            |       | 10                    | nr             |        | —                 | —      | 100                   | 1 000     |
|                         | Goods & passenger hoist     | setup | —                     | sum            |        | 1 260             | —      | —                     | —         |
|                         | Goods & passenger hoist     | hire  | —                     | wk             |        | —                 | —      | 165                   | —         |
|                         | Goods & passenger hoist     | rem   | —                     | sum            |        | 1 250             | —      | —                     | —         |
|                         | Generator                   |       | —                     | wk             |        | —                 | —      | 80                    | —         |
|                         | Mobile crane                |       | 3                     | vsts           |        | 350               | 1 050  | —                     | —         |
|                         | Mixers                      | ave   | 35                    | wk             |        | —                 | —      | 25                    | 875       |
|                         | Mob/demob generally         |       | 1                     | sum            |        | 1 000             | 1 000  | —                     | —         |
|                         | Minor plant                 |       | 1                     | it             |        | —                 | —      | 5 000                 | 5 000     |
|                         | Road sweeper                |       | 5                     | wk             |        | —                 | —      | 500                   | 2 500     |
|                         |                             |       |                       |                |        | —                 | —      | —                     | 27 825    |
|                         | TEMPORARY WORKS             |       |                       |                |        | —                 | —      | —                     |           |
|                         | Site hoarding               |       | 40                    | m              |        | 55                | 2 200  | —                     | —         |
|                         | Double gates                |       | 1                     | pr             |        | 500               | 500    | —                     | —         |
|                         | Scaff – ext ind             |       | 1 550                 | m <sup>2</sup> |        | 8                 | 12 400 | —                     | —         |
|                         | Scaff – adaptions           | incl  | 100                   | hr             |        | 12                | 1 200  | —                     | —         |
|                         | Scaff – internal            |       | 1                     | it             |        | 1 000             | 1 000  | —                     | —         |
|                         | Internal lighting           |       | 1                     | sum            |        | 800               | 800    | —                     | —         |
|                         | Power distribution          |       | 1                     | sum            |        | 800               | 800    | —                     | —         |
|                         | External lighting           |       | 1                     | sum            |        | 600               | 600    | —                     | —         |
|                         | Tempscreens lower floors    |       |                       | m <sup>2</sup> |        | 50                | —      | —                     | —         |
|                         | Make up hardcore roads/site |       | 1 100                 | m <sup>2</sup> |        | —                 | —      | 4                     | 4 400     |

Fig. 15.3 Contd

Estimating and Tendering for Construction Work

| CB Construction Limited       |                           | Student Accommodation |       |                | Project Overheads |               | GFA (m <sup>2</sup> ) | 1697         |         |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|-------|----------------|-------------------|---------------|-----------------------|--------------|---------|
|                               |                           | Steel frame solution  |       |                | 11.59%            |               | Date                  | 12-Jul-04    |         |
|                               |                           |                       |       |                | net trade value   |               |                       | £1.85 m      |         |
|                               | Description               | Note                  | Quant | Unit           | Factor            | Fixed charges |                       | Time charges | Sub-tot |
|                               | Protection materials      |                       | 1 697 | m <sup>2</sup> |                   | 1.50          | 2 546                 | –            |         |
|                               |                           |                       |       |                |                   |               | –                     | –            |         |
|                               |                           |                       |       |                |                   |               | –                     | –            | 26 446  |
| COMMERCIAL/FINANCIAL          |                           |                       |       |                | 1                 |               | –                     | –            |         |
|                               | Insurance – all-risks     |                       | 0.002 |                | 1                 | 2 220 000     | 4 440                 | –            |         |
|                               | Insurance – hired plant   |                       | 0.019 |                | 1                 | 100 000       | 1 920                 | –            |         |
|                               | Insurance – empty liab    |                       | 0.003 |                | 1                 | 2 220 000     | 6 660                 | –            |         |
|                               | Insurance – premium tax   |                       | 0.050 |                | 1                 | 15 240        | 762                   | –            |         |
|                               | Insurance excesses        |                       | 2     | nr             | 1                 | 2 000         | 4 000                 | –            |         |
|                               | Professional indemnity    |                       | 0.001 |                | 1                 | 2 220 000     | 2 220                 | –            |         |
| p                             | Legal advisors pre-constr |                       | –     |                | 1                 |               | –                     | –            | 20 002  |
| DESIGN & BUILD FEES AND COSTS |                           |                       |       |                |                   |               | –                     | –            |         |
|                               | Architectural fees        |                       |       |                |                   |               | –                     | –            |         |
|                               | Structural engineer       |                       |       |                |                   |               | –                     | –            |         |
|                               | M&E advice and coord      |                       |       |                |                   |               | –                     | –            |         |
|                               | QS fees                   |                       |       |                |                   |               | –                     | –            |         |
|                               | CAD file on disk          |                       |       |                |                   |               | –                     | –            |         |
|                               | Building regs             |                       |       |                |                   |               | –                     | –            |         |
|                               |                           |                       |       |                |                   |               | –                     | –            | –       |

Fig. 15.3 Project overheads

Similarly the staff costs are difficult to share between valuations because they are usually higher at the beginning of a contract and taper off gradually towards the end. Staff costs are often incurred before the start date, when mobilization activities – such as procurement of initial packages – take place.

When the estimate has been reviewed by management the estimator will allocate sums of money to the preliminaries bill. This is an opportunity to ensure that a satisfactory (and possibly positive) cashflow position is secured. In particular the contractor needs to identify setting-up costs that should be claimed in the first valuation as fixed charges. If all site and general overheads were reimbursed in proportion to time the contractor would have more expenditure than income and this poor cashflow position would persist during most of the contract duration.

The early fixed charges often include:

- Employer's requirements
- Accommodation
- Furniture

Install telephone  
Provide equipment  
Transport charges

*Supervision*

Hotel expenses  
Planning  
Procurement

*Services*

Installation charges  
Office equipment

*Mechanical plant*

Transport of plant  
Purchase of plant

*Temporary works*

Design and purchase of structures  
Access routes and hardstandings  
Enclosures  
Dewatering, piling and formwork

*Site accommodation*

Transport and cramage  
Purchase costs  
Foundations and furniture  
Erection and fitting out

*Contract conditions*

Insurance premium  
Bond  
Professional fees  
Initial land/building surveys

*Non-mechanical plant*

Scaffold erection  
Small tools and equipment

*Miscellaneous*

Setting-out consumables  
Samples  
Quality planning  
Protective clothing

The costs of dismantling site facilities and cleaning are much smaller by comparison and are rarely priced as separate fixed charges.

## **Example of project overheads**

The example of project overheads given in Fig. 15.3 was produced by a regional contractor for a steel-framed three-storey student accommodation building in the UK. Net trade value is the direct cost of construction work excluding design fees, project overheads, risk and margin.

# 16

## *Cashflow forecasts*

---

### **Introduction**

At tender stage, a contractor sets up his financial and time objectives by calculating construction costs and producing a project programme. By linking the two sets of data, an estimator can first help a client produce his forecast of payments and second compare this with his likely payments (to suppliers and sub-contractors) to produce his own cashflow forecast. In this way a contractor is in a unique position to give accurate information to the building team.

There is seldom enough time at tender stage to produce detailed cashflow forecasts. The contractor knows the objective is to win the contract, so there must be good reasons for putting in this effort. Obviously if a client has asked for a tender-stage programme and cashflow forecast it must be done. The contractor may also need to assess the cashflow benefit of taking on a job, because this is part of his assessment of risk. A spreadsheet model may be able to answer questions such as: are there any sudden cash commitments? How much early money will be needed to make the contract self-financing?

Construction costs for Private Finance Initiative (PFI) projects are produced by an estimator usually working for one of the parties making up a consortium. Construction is only one constituent of the PFI bid, the others being facilities management, equipment, project management and finance. The estimator must produce his capital costs in the form of a monthly draw down chart. The first payment is usually very large because considerable design and bidding costs need to be refunded early.

### **Cashflow calculations**

There are two methods commonly used to predict the value of project work over time. First, cost models can be used at various pre-contract stages to produce approximate forecasts and second, the estimator's calculations form the basis of a more detailed technique.

If a client needs a schedule of payments, the simplest model would be a straight line relationship of value against time from which the client's commitments can be shown (see Fig. 16.1). The assumption being that all payments are of an equal

| Week nos | Gross value | Retention @ 5% | Interim payments |
|----------|-------------|----------------|------------------|
|          | £1000s      | £1000s         | £1000s           |
| 1        | 22          | 1              |                  |
| 2        | 44          | 2              |                  |
| 3        | 65          | 3              |                  |
| 4        | 87          | 4              |                  |
| 5        | 109         | 5              |                  |
| 6        | 131         | 7              | 83               |
| 7        | 152         | 8              |                  |
| 8        | 174         | 9              |                  |
| 9        | 196         | 10             |                  |
| 10       | 218         | 11             | 165              |
| 11       | 239         | 12             |                  |
| 12       | 261         | 13             |                  |
| 13       | 283         | 14             |                  |
| 14       | 305         | 15             |                  |
| 15       | 327         | 16             | 269              |
| 16       | 348         | 17             |                  |
| 17       | 370         | 19             |                  |
| 18       | 392         | 20             |                  |
| 19       | 414         | 21             | 352              |
| 20       | 435         | 22             |                  |
| 21       | 457         | 23             |                  |
| 22       | 479         | 24             |                  |
| 23       | 501         | 25             |                  |
| 24       | 522         | 26             | 455              |
| 25       | 544         | 27             |                  |
| 26       | 566         | 28             |                  |
| 27       | 588         | 29             |                  |
| 28       | 609         | 30             | 538              |
| 29       | 631         | 32             |                  |
| 30       | 653         | 16             |                  |
| 31       |             |                |                  |
| 32       |             |                | 637              |




Fig. 16.1 Simple linear plot of cumulative value

amount based on the full value divided by the number of payments with a small adjustment for retention money.

A slightly more sophisticated technique assumes that a construction project accumulates costs in a way which can be represented by an S-curve graph (Fig. 16.2). This model is based on the presumption that only one quarter of the costs are incurred during the first third of a project duration, half the costs arise from the second third (in a linear fashion) and the remaining quarter of costs occur in the final third of the project duration. The S-curve can be created manually by drawing a straight line between the first and second 'third' points and sketching the parabolic end portions. The contractor's cumulative cost curve can be superimposed on the chart by deducting the profit margin from the cumulative value curve. The S-curve method is of course a theoretical technique which is difficult to change to take account of the nature of individual projects and contractors' pricing methods, but is successfully used at early stages when detailed pricing information is not available.

The GC/Works/1 Edition 3 form of contract has introduced the S-curve principle as a basis for stage payments. The printed form gives charts for projects with contract values over £5.5 million, and others are available for smaller jobs. Figure 16.3 shows the S-curve produced from the data given for a 100-week project. Clearly, the project manager is able to predict the client's payments and a great deal of time is saved each month in producing valuations. The same is true for the contractor, but there will be a shortfall in payments if the establishment costs are high.

An estimator's calculations and programme are the best starting-point for a contractors' cashflow forecast. The rates calculated by the estimator can be linked with the relevant activities on a tender programme. The total costs associated with each activity are divided by the duration to arrive at a weekly cost. The information used to produce the value curve is simply taken from the sums inserted in the client's bill with adjustments for retention. The contractor's income can be predicted by taking the value at each valuation date and allowing a delay for payments.

To calculate the weekly cost commitment (the contractor's outgoings) each element of cost should be viewed separately. This is because spending on labour, materials, plant and specialist contractors develops in different ways. Direct labour, for example, is a weekly commitment, and credit arrangements for materials can delay payments for up to nine weeks. Expenditure and income can be plotted on a graph against time. The combined effect is a cumulative cashflow diagram which will show the extent to which the job needs financing by the contractor.

## **Example of a contractor's cashflow forecast**

An estimator who was successful in winning a contract for Fast Transport Ltd carried out the following analysis. He received the enquiry, in the form of drawings and a bill of quantities, from a local QS practice. Interim payments are to be made

| Week nos | Gross value | Cost forecast |
|----------|-------------|---------------|
|          | £1000s      | £1000s        |
| 1        |             |               |
| 2        |             |               |
| 3        |             |               |
| 4        |             |               |
| 5        |             |               |
| 6        |             |               |
| 7        |             |               |
| 8        |             |               |
| 9        |             |               |
| 10       | 163         | 147           |
| 11       |             |               |
| 12       |             |               |
| 13       |             |               |
| 14       |             |               |
| 15       |             |               |
| 16       |             |               |
| 17       |             |               |
| 18       |             |               |
| 19       |             |               |
| 20       | 490         | 441           |
| 21       |             |               |
| 22       |             |               |
| 23       |             |               |
| 24       |             |               |
| 25       |             |               |
| 26       |             |               |
| 27       |             |               |
| 28       |             |               |
| 29       |             |               |
| 30       | 653         | 588           |
|          |             |               |
|          |             |               |




Fig. 16.2 Simple S-curve for cumulative value and costs, calculated at 'third' points




Fig. 16.3 S-curve based on GC/Works/1 Edition 3 data

## *Estimating and Tendering for Construction Work*

monthly and retention has been set at 5%. A sum of £18 000 has been included for profit, and the rates in the bill of quantities exclude any on-site or off-site overheads. Following a careful review of the estimate, the construction director asked for all sub-contract discounts to be taken from the estimate but made an adjustment to the profit margin.

During the mobilization period, the estimator was asked to produce a forecast of payments for the client and a cashflow forecast for the commercial department. The estimator priced the bill of quantities analytically using estimating software. The first task was to ensure that all the adjustments made at the final review stage had been made to the rates in the bill of allowances. This fully adjusted bill would become the budget for the construction team to monitor the financial progress of the job.

The next stage was to assign sums of money to each activity on the tender programme. This was done using a spreadsheet program because each cell on the screen can hold text, graphics, numbers or formulae. Each row on the spreadsheet can show weekly values with shading used to locate the activity bars. Figure 16.4 shows the tender programme with contract values taken from the bill of quantities, which was submitted, to the client. The main assumptions used for this graph were that:

1. Each activity will be completed on time.
2. Sums are divided equally for the duration of an activity.
3. Provisional sums and daywork are not included.

The total for project overheads has been split equally over the whole duration. This is not a good interpretation for the contractor because he will incur more expenditure setting up his site facilities at the start of a job. The final presentation to the client did not include the costed programme or a graph. The commercial department felt the client would want a simple list of payments, and included it in a letter to the quantity surveyor.

The contractor's cashflow diagram was created on a spreadsheet program which had the facility to use multiple sheets in the same file. Figure 16.5 shows the contents of the costed programmes for labour, plant, materials and sub-contractors. The bottom sheet was used to consolidate the cost commitment drawn from each of the other sheets with the forecast income. The cashflow forecast is simply the difference between income and expenditure. The results were plotted, by the program, on a single diagram (Fig. 16.6) and submitted to the commercial manager in the form of a graph linked to the consolidated costed programme.

Since all the information was held in a single spreadsheet file, the computer was able to answer 'what if' questions which allowed the commercial manager to minimize the borrowing requirement. Clearly this information can be the basis of a cost monitoring system (which is beyond the scope of this book). The cumulative value curve can help the construction team in monitoring progress of their work and that of the sub-contractors. This technique is sometimes adopted by project managers as a check on the progress of contractors.

**Tender Programme No: T354/P1**

**Fig. 16.4** Client's cashflow forecast produced by the estimator

Estimating and Tendering for Construction Work

CB CONSTRUCTION LIMITED

Labour cost forecast

| Activity                     | lab    | plt | mat | sub | contract Value | dur | 2004    |      |      |      |
|------------------------------|--------|-----|-----|-----|----------------|-----|---------|------|------|------|
|                              |        |     |     |     |                |     | January |      |      |      |
|                              |        |     |     |     |                |     | 1       | 2    | 3    | 4    |
| 1 Mobilization & set up      | 2 800  |     |     |     | 2 800          | 2   | 1400    | 1400 |      |      |
| 2 Excavation and filling     | 7 800  |     |     |     | 7 800          | 3   |         | 2600 | 2600 | 2600 |
| 3 Foundations formwork       | 12 480 |     |     |     | 12 480         | 5   |         |      | 2496 | 2496 |
| 4 Foundations concrete       | 4 600  |     |     |     | 4 600          | 4   |         |      |      | 1150 |
| 5 Underslab drainage         | 1 920  |     |     |     | 1 920          | 2   |         |      |      |      |
|                              |        |     |     |     | 0              |     |         |      |      |      |
| 6 Concrete ground floors     | 3 420  |     |     |     | 3 420          | 2   |         |      |      |      |
| 7 Columns formwork           | 3 907  |     |     |     | 3 907          | 4   |         |      |      |      |
| 8 Columns concrete           | 750    |     |     |     | 750            | 2   |         |      |      |      |
| 9 Floors and beams formwork  | 14 570 |     |     |     | 14 570         | 8   |         |      |      |      |
| 10 Floors and beams concrete | 3 750  |     |     |     | 3 750          | 3   |         |      |      |      |

CB CONSTRUCTION LIMITED

Plant cost forecast

| Activity                     | lab | plt   | mat | sub | contract Value | dur | 2004    |      |      |      |
|------------------------------|-----|-------|-----|-----|----------------|-----|---------|------|------|------|
|                              |     |       |     |     |                |     | January |      |      |      |
|                              |     |       |     |     |                |     | 1       | 2    | 3    | 4    |
| 1 Mobilization & set up      |     | 2 400 |     |     | 2 400          | 2   | 1200    | 1200 |      |      |
| 2 Excavation and filling     |     | 7 980 |     |     | 7 980          | 3   |         | 2660 | 2660 | 2660 |
| 3 Foundations formwork       |     | —     |     |     | 0              | 5   |         |      | 0    | 0    |
| 4 Foundations concrete       |     | —     |     |     | 0              | 4   |         |      |      | 0    |
| 5 Underslab drainage         |     | 1 750 |     |     | 1 750          | 2   |         |      |      |      |
|                              |     |       |     |     | 0              |     |         |      |      |      |
| 6 Concrete ground floors     |     | 1 750 |     |     | 1 750          | 2   |         |      |      |      |
| 7 Columns formwork           |     | —     |     |     | 0              | 4   |         |      |      |      |
| 8 Columns concrete           |     | 250   |     |     | 250            | 2   |         |      |      |      |
| 9 Floors and beams formwork  |     | —     |     |     | 0              | 8   |         |      |      |      |
| 10 Floors and beams concrete |     | 1 750 |     |     | 1 750          | 3   |         |      |      |      |

CB CONSTRUCTION LIMITED

Material cost forecast

| Activity                     | lab | plt | mat    | sub | contract Value | dur | 2004    |      |      |      |
|------------------------------|-----|-----|--------|-----|----------------|-----|---------|------|------|------|
|                              |     |     |        |     |                |     | January |      |      |      |
|                              |     |     |        |     |                |     | 1       | 2    | 3    | 4    |
| 1 Mobilization & set up      |     |     | 1 250  |     | 1 250          | 2   | 625     | 625  |      |      |
| 2 Excavation and filling     |     |     | 5 700  |     | 5 700          | 3   |         | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| 3 Foundations formwork       |     |     | 6 780  |     | 6 780          | 5   |         |      | 1356 | 1356 |
| 4 Foundations concrete       |     |     | 23 200 |     | 23 200         | 4   |         |      |      | 5800 |
| 5 Underslab drainage         |     |     | 1 540  |     | 1 540          | 2   |         |      |      |      |
|                              |     |     |        |     | 0              |     |         |      |      |      |
| 6 Concrete ground floors     |     |     | 11 300 |     | 11 300         | 2   |         |      |      |      |
| 7 Columns formwork           |     |     | 2 100  |     | 2 100          | 4   |         |      |      |      |
| 8 Columns concrete           |     |     | 1 700  |     | 1 700          | 2   |         |      |      |      |
| 9 Floors and beams formwork  |     |     | 7 650  |     | 7 650          | 8   |         |      |      |      |
| 10 Floors and beams concrete |     |     | 15 640 |     | 15 640         | 3   |         |      |      |      |

CB CONSTRUCTION LIMITED

Sub-contract cost forecast

| Activity                      | lab | plt | mat | sub    | contract Value | dur | 2004  |      |      |      |
|-------------------------------|-----|-----|-----|--------|----------------|-----|-------|------|------|------|
|                               |     |     |     |        |                |     | April |      |      |      |
|                               |     |     |     |        |                |     | 14    | 15   | 16   | 17   |
| 12 Roof timbers               |     |     |     |        | 0              | 3   |       |      | 0    | 0    |
| 13 Roof covering              |     |     |     | 16 400 | 16 400         | 2   |       |      |      |      |
| 14 Windows                    |     |     |     | 25 500 | 25 500         | 4   |       |      | 6375 | 6375 |
| 15 Services 1st fix           |     |     |     | 43 000 | 43 000         | 6   |       | 7167 | 7167 | 7167 |
|                               |     |     |     |        | 0              |     |       |      |      |      |
| 16 Plasterwork and partitions |     |     |     | 43 500 | 43 500         | 8   |       |      |      |      |
| 17 Joinery                    |     |     |     | 34 000 | 34 000         | 8   |       |      |      |      |
| 18 Ceilings                   |     |     |     | 19 800 | 19 800         | 5   |       |      |      |      |
| 19 Services 2nd fix           |     |     |     | 28 000 | 28 000         | 5   |       |      |      |      |
| 20 Painting                   |     |     |     | 12 300 | 12 300         | 6   |       |      |      |      |

Fig. 16.5 Multiple sheets for cashflow analysis


CB CONSTRUCTION LIMITED

Priced Programme

Proposed Offices for Fast Transport Limited

| Activity                      | lab                         | plt    | mat     | sub     | contract Value | 2004                |      |      |      |          |      |      |          |       |      |      |      |      |          |      |      |      |           |      |      |      |      |           |       |      |      |      |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------|---------|---------|----------------|---------------------|------|------|------|----------|------|------|----------|-------|------|------|------|------|----------|------|------|------|-----------|------|------|------|------|-----------|-------|------|------|------|
|                               |                             |        |         |         |                | January             |      |      |      | February |      |      |          | March |      |      |      |      | April    |      |      |      |           | May  |      |      |      |           | June  |      |      |      |
|                               |                             |        |         |         |                | 1                   | 2    | 3    | 4    | 5        | 6    | 7    | 8        | 9     | 10   | 11   | 12   | 13   | 14       | 15   | 16   | 17   | 18        | 19   | 20   | 21   | 22   | 23        | 24    | 25   | 26   |      |
| 1 Mobilization & set up       | 2 800                       | 2 400  | 1 250   |         | 6 450          | 3225                | 3225 |      |      |          |      |      |          |       |      |      |      |      |          |      |      |      |           |      |      |      |      |           |       |      |      |      |
| 2 Excavation and filling      | 7 800                       | 7 980  | 5 700   |         | 21 480         | 7160                | 7160 | 7160 |      |          |      |      |          |       |      |      |      |      |          |      |      |      |           |      |      |      |      |           |       |      |      |      |
| 3 Foundations formwork        | 12 480                      | –      | 6 780   | –       | 19 260         |                     |      | 3852 | 3852 | 3852     | 3852 | 3852 |          |       |      |      |      |      |          |      |      |      |           |      |      |      |      |           |       |      |      |      |
| 4 Foundations concrete        | 4 600                       | –      | 23 200  | –       | 27 800         |                     |      | 6950 | 6950 | 6950     | 6950 |      |          |       |      |      |      |      |          |      |      |      |           |      |      |      |      |           |       |      |      |      |
| 5 Underlab drainage           | 1 920                       | 1 750  | 1 540   | –       | 5 210          |                     |      |      | 2605 | 2605     |      |      |          |       |      |      |      |      |          |      |      |      |           |      |      |      |      |           |       |      |      |      |
| 6 Concrete ground floors      | 3 420                       | 1 750  | 11 300  | –       | 16 470         |                     |      |      |      | 8235     |      |      | 8235     |       |      |      |      |      |          |      |      |      |           |      |      |      |      |           |       |      |      |      |
| 7 Columns formwork            | 3 907                       | –      | 2 100   | –       | 6 007          |                     |      |      | 1502 | 1502     | 1502 | 1502 |          |       |      |      |      |      |          |      |      |      |           |      |      |      |      |           |       |      |      |      |
| 8 Columns concrete            | 750                         | 250    | 1 700   | –       | 2 700          |                     |      |      |      | 1350     |      |      | 1350     |       |      |      |      |      |          |      |      |      |           |      |      |      |      |           |       |      |      |      |
| 9 Floors and beams formwork   | 14 570                      | –      | 7 650   | –       | 22 220         |                     |      |      |      | 2778     | 2778 | 2778 | 2778     | 2778  | 2778 | 2778 | 2778 |      |          |      |      |      |           |      |      |      |      |           |       |      |      |      |
| 10 Floors and beams concrete  | 3 750                       | 1 750  | 15 640  | –       | 21 140         |                     |      |      |      |          | 7047 |      |          |       | 7047 |      | 7047 |      |          |      |      |      |           |      |      |      |      |           |       |      |      |      |
| 11 External walls             | 36 700                      | –      | 41 880  |         | 78 580         |                     |      |      |      |          |      |      |          | 9823  | 9823 | 9823 | 9823 | 9823 | 9823     | 9823 | 9823 |      |           |      |      |      |      |           |       |      |      |      |
| 12 Roof timbers               | 4 140                       | –      | 5 210   |         | 9 350          |                     |      |      |      |          |      |      |          |       |      |      |      |      |          | 3117 | 3117 | 3117 |           |      |      |      |      |           |       |      |      |      |
| 13 Roof covering              | 1 350                       | –      | 1 280   | 16 400  | 19 030         |                     |      |      |      |          |      |      |          |       |      |      |      |      |          |      |      |      | 9515      | 9515 |      |      |      |           |       |      |      |      |
| 14 Windows                    |                             |        |         | 25 500  | 25 500         |                     |      |      |      |          |      |      |          |       |      |      |      |      |          |      |      | 6375 | 6375      | 6375 | 6375 |      |      |           |       |      |      |      |
| 15 Services 1st fix           |                             |        |         | 43 000  | 43 000         |                     |      |      |      |          |      |      |          |       |      |      |      |      |          |      |      | 7167 | 7167      | 7167 | 7167 | 7167 | 7167 |           |       |      |      |      |
| 16 Plasterwork and partitions |                             |        |         | 43 500  | 43 500         |                     |      |      |      |          |      |      |          |       |      |      |      |      |          |      |      |      |           | 5438 | 5438 |      |      |           |       |      |      |      |
| 17 Joinery                    | 2 360                       |        | 5 310   | 34 000  | 41 670         |                     |      |      |      |          |      |      |          |       |      |      |      |      |          |      |      |      |           | 5438 | 5438 | 5438 | 5438 | 5438      | 5209  | 5209 | 5209 | 5209 |
| 18 Ceilings                   | 1 800                       |        | 1 400   | 19 800  | 23 000         |                     |      |      |      |          |      |      |          |       |      |      |      |      |          |      |      |      |           |      |      |      |      |           | 4600  | 4600 | 4600 | 4600 |
| 19 Services 2nd fix           |                             |        |         | 28 000  | 28 000         |                     |      |      |      |          |      |      |          |       |      |      |      |      |          |      |      |      |           |      |      |      |      |           |       | 5600 | 5600 | 5600 |
| 20 Painting                   |                             |        |         | 12 300  | 12 300         |                     |      |      |      |          |      |      |          |       |      |      |      |      |          |      |      |      |           |      |      |      |      |           |       |      | 2050 | 2050 |
| 21 Floor coverings            |                             |        |         | 12 300  | 12 300         |                     |      |      |      |          |      |      |          |       |      |      |      |      |          |      |      |      |           |      |      |      |      |           |       |      |      |      |
| 22 External work and drainage | 16 700                      | 12 550 | 19 870  | 11 800  | 60 920         |                     | 6092 | 6092 |      |          |      |      |          |       |      |      |      |      |          |      |      |      |           |      |      |      |      |           | 6092  | 6092 | 6092 | 6092 |
| Total for measured work       | 119 047                     | 28 430 | 151 810 | 246 600 | 545 887        | 3.2                 | 16.5 | 17.1 | 18.0 | 14.9     | 16.3 | 23.3 | 5.6      | 18.1  | 12.6 | 12.6 | 19.6 | 12.6 | 19.6     | 17.0 | 26.5 | 26.5 | 31.6      | 28.5 | 17.8 | 10.6 | 18.8 | 18.8      | 21.3  | 26.9 | 23.6 |      |
| 23 Preliminaries              | 57 430                      | 35 490 | 11 230  | 3 300   | 107 450        | 3.6                 | 3.6  | 3.6  | 3.6  | 3.6      | 3.6  | 3.6  | 3.6      | 3.6   | 3.6  | 3.6  | 3.6  | 3.6  | 3.6      | 3.6  | 3.6  | 3.6  | 3.6       | 3.6  | 3.6  | 3.6  | 3.6  | 3.6       | 3.6   | 3.6  | 3.6  |      |
| Resource cost analysis        |                             |        |         |         |                |                     |      |      |      |          |      |      |          |       |      |      |      |      |          |      |      |      |           |      |      |      |      |           |       |      |      |      |
| Cumulative labour value       | delayed                     |        | 1 wk    |         | £ 176 477      | –                   | 3    | 11   | 20   | 28       | 35   | 43   | 53       | 58    | 65   | 73   | 82   | 91   | 100      | 109  | 116  | 123  | 131       | 135  | 138  | 140  | 142  | 146       | 150   | 154  | 159  |      |
| Cumulative plant value        | delayed                     |        | 4 wks   |         | £ 63 920       | –                   | –    | –    | –    | 2        | 9    | 14   | 18       | 20    | 22   | 24   | 25   | 28   | 29       | 30   | 32   | 33   | 35        | 36   | 37   | 39   | 40   | 41        | 42    | 43   | 46   |      |
| Cumulative materials value    | delayed                     |        | 6 wks   |         | £ 163 040      | –                   | –    | –    | –    | –        | 1    | 6    | 12       | 21    | 30   | 39   | 54   | 57   | 69       | 76   | 82   | 94   | 100       | 112  | 118  | 125  | 133  | 135       | 136   | 137  |      |      |
| Cumulative sub-contract value | week after payment received |        |         |         | £ 249 900      | (retention ignored) |      |      |      |          |      | 3    | 3        | 3     | 3    | 3    | 3    | 3    | 3        | 3    | 3    | 4    | 4         | 4    | 4    | 4    | 4    | 4         | 4     | 4    | 4    |      |
| 24 Weekly cost commitment     |                             |        |         |         |                | –                   | 3.31 | 10.9 | 19.6 | 30.1     | 43.9 | 60.7 | 79.5     | 92.2  | 111  | 130  | 150  | 176  | 189      | 212  | 227  | 243  | 264       | 276  | 326  | 335  | 346  | 358.1     | 365.9 | 467  | 474  |      |
| Gross weekly value forecast   |                             |        |         |         | 653.3 k        | 6.81                | 20.1 | 20.7 | 21.5 | 18.5     | 19.8 | 26.9 | 9.21     | 21.6  | 16.2 | 16.2 | 23.2 | 16.2 | 23.2     | 20.6 | 30.1 | 30.1 | 35.2      | 32.1 | 21.4 | 14.2 | 22.4 | 22.37     | 24.92 | 30.5 | 27.1 |      |
| Gross interim valuation       |                             |        |         |         | £ 653 337      |                     |      |      |      | £ 69 095 |      |      | £ 74 440 |       |      |      |      |      | £ 93 414 |      |      |      | £ 103 924 |      |      |      |      | £ 125 261 |       |      |      |      |
| 25 Net interim payments       |                             |        |         |         | £ 620 670      |                     |      |      |      | £ 65 640 |      |      | £ 70 718 |       |      |      |      |      | £ 88 743 |      |      |      | £ 98 728  |      |      |      |      | £ 118 998 |       |      |      |      |
| 26 After completion           |                             |        |         |         | £ 32 667       |                     |      |      |      |          |      |      |          |       |      |      |      |      |          |      |      |      |           |      |      |      |      |           |       |      |      |      |
| week number                   |                             |        |         |         |                | 1                   | 2    | 3    | 4    | 5        | 6    | 7    | 8        | 9     | 10   | 11   | 12   | 13   | 14       | 15   | 16   | 17   | 18        | 19   | 20   | 21   | 22   | 23        | 24    | 25   | 26   |      |

Fig. 16.6 Contd




**Fig. 16.6** Contractor's cashflow forecast using priced programme

# 17

## *Completing the estimate and final tender review*

---



*'Converting an estimate into a tender'*

### **Completing the estimate**

The estimator must assemble clear pricing information so that all the build-ups, assumptions and underlying decisions can be seen and understood by the final-review team and the construction staff if the tender is successful. Bills of quantity should be extended and totalled, with separate subtotals being produced for the four basic elements of labour, plant, materials and sub-contractors. With computer methods, rates will be recorded in a database as the estimator attaches resources to bill items.

## *Estimating and Tendering for Construction Work*

All estimating methods (manual or computer) must include reliable techniques to ensure the analysis of the estimate presented to management is correct. Mathematical checks are relatively simple and can be carried out by estimating clerks or computers. It is perhaps more important to develop a method which can identify a mistake in resourcing (particularly the main items), collecting to summaries, and input of quantities if computers are used. Other pricing checks include:

1. Rates must apply to the unit of measurement (for example, an item measured in  $\text{m}^2$  is not priced as  $\text{m}^3$ ).
2. All items on each page have been priced or included elsewhere.
3. Major items needing costly resources should be reconciled with resource summary sheets.

The resource summaries given in the CIOB Code of Estimating Practice (COEP) illustrate the information needed by management to assess the costs, which have the most affect on the tender. For example, there is no point discussing formwork valued at £1850 when there are concrete blocks worth £68 000.

With the use of computers for building up rates, it has become more difficult to identify mistakes at the final review stage. An experienced manager would employ some coarse checks such as making a comparison between labour and materials in each trade. For example, if labour costs for formwork amount to £27 700 and this is approximately 60% of the total formwork costs, then the figures appear to be correct. There are 55 000 facing bricks which might cost £380 per thousand to lay, i.e. £20 900. The labour summary shows that the estimator has allowed £13 200, so a closer examination is called for.

Resource summary sheets provide vital information for three reasons:

1. Reconciliation can be made between resource schedules and bill totals for labour, plant, materials and sub-contractors.
2. They give management a breakdown of resources so they know where to focus their efforts at the final review stage.
3. Adjustments to resource costs can be calculated on the sheets before being taken to the tender summary. (This could be done quickly using a computer estimating package but some people prefer to have the full picture on paper before changing the estimate held on the computer.)

A tender programme must be prepared so the estimator can examine the resources estimated in time on the programme with those that he has expressed in cash terms in the estimate. A common problem is the need to maintain excavators on site during groundwork operations. The planner may believe that an excavator is needed on site for 10 weeks whereas the estimator has allowed enough money for only 6 weeks. This reconciliation needs to be carried out

jointly by the estimator and planner, and clearly presented to management for final review.

## **Estimator's report**

Once the bill of quantities has been priced and checked, cost summaries and reports can be filled in. Each company has its own standard layout for these forms and a comprehensive example is given in the CIOB Code of Estimating Practice. It would be wrong to make any changes after this stage to the bill, whether produced manually or by computer, because the analysis sheets and summaries would also have to be changed. As long as adjustments are carefully recorded, they can be built back into the bill of quantities later; in particular when the inked-in copy of the bill is called for. This is one reason why priced bills of quantities for building projects are not submitted with the tender.

The estimator's report must show a full breakdown of costs for management. Decisions can then be reached and the summary of the tender can be compiled. The following Estimate Analysis form (Fig. 17.2) and Tender Summary form (Fig. 17.3) will be satisfactory for all sizes of contracts. Each item has a reference number for easy identification within the text. If an estimate report has been produced by a computer system, there should still be an independent check of major resources using the summary sheets.

Computer-aided estimating systems will generate resource summaries and bill totals. Now that most procurement arrangements are based on a contractor's own quantities, a contract sum analysis is usually generated by the estimating software. Building Cost Information Service (BCIS) elemental cost plan headings have become the industry standard for listing building costs and form the structure of a Contract Sum Analysis for design and build, lump-sum, PFI, and many other contracts. An elemental analysis provides management with elemental costs as lump sums and costs per m<sup>2</sup>, and this means that data can be compared with other comparable schemes.

There are of course many other supporting documents which are included in the estimator's report, and are crucial for management to understand the full technical and commercial requirements of the project. Very often contractors use the final review agenda to list the contents of the report, and again there is a good example of an agenda in the COEP.

## **Comments on Estimate Analysis form**

Figure 17.1 is a domestic sub-contract summary reproduced from Chapter 12 in order to illustrate how costs from an estimate are transferred to Tender Summary forms.

| CB CONSTRUCTION LIMITED |  |  |  |  |  | DOMESTIC SUB-CONTRACTOR'S SUMMARY |      |      | Project | Fast Transport Ltd |  |  |
|-------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|-----------------------------------|------|------|---------|--------------------|--|--|
|                         |  |  |  |  |  | Ref. No.                          | T354 | Date | 30.6.04 |                    |  |  |

| Ref. Trade |                         |                       |             |     |         |       | Company | Quotation | Discount offered (%) | Net amount | Firm price Allowance |
|------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|-------------|-----|---------|-------|---------|-----------|----------------------|------------|----------------------|
|            |                         |                       |             |     |         |       |         |           |                      |            |                      |
| S1         | Roof covering           | Beaufort Roofing      | 17 672      | 2.5 | 17 230  | nil   |         |           |                      |            |                      |
|            |                         |                       |             |     |         |       |         |           |                      |            |                      |
| S2         | Windows                 | Valley Fabrications   | 30 641      | 2.5 | 29 875  | 1 225 |         |           |                      |            |                      |
|            |                         |                       |             |     |         |       |         |           |                      |            |                      |
| S3         | Plumbing                | Consort               | 4 550       | nil | 4 550   | nil   |         |           |                      |            |                      |
|            |                         |                       |             |     |         |       |         |           |                      |            |                      |
| S4         | Plastering & partitions | Swift Services        | 57 990      | nil | 57 990  | nil   |         |           |                      |            |                      |
|            |                         |                       |             |     |         |       |         |           |                      |            |                      |
| S5         | Joinery                 | Projoin Site Services | 41 900      | nil | 41 900  | 1 935 |         |           |                      |            |                      |
|            |                         |                       |             |     |         |       |         |           |                      |            |                      |
| S6         | Suspended ceilings      | Hill Systems          | 19 882      | 2.5 | 19 882  | nil   |         |           |                      |            |                      |
|            |                         |                       |             |     |         |       |         |           |                      |            |                      |
| S7         | Painting                | Tudor Decorations     | 12 659      | 2.5 | 12 343  | nil   |         |           |                      |            |                      |
|            |                         |                       |             |     |         |       |         |           |                      |            |                      |
| S8         | Floor coverings         | Freedom Finishes      | 12 615      | 2.5 | 12 300  | nil   |         |           |                      |            |                      |
|            |                         |                       |             |     |         |       |         |           |                      |            |                      |
| S9         | Electrical installation | Comech Engineering    | 35 887      | 2.5 | 34 990  | nil   |         |           |                      |            |                      |
|            |                         |                       |             |     |         |       |         |           |                      |            |                      |
| S10        | Mechanical installation | Comech Engineering    | 25 667      | 2.5 | 25 025  | nil   |         |           |                      |            |                      |
|            |                         |                       |             |     |         |       |         |           |                      |            |                      |
| S11        | Surfacing               | W. Smith Contracting  | 11 800      | nil | 12 450  | nil   |         |           |                      |            |                      |
|            |                         |                       |             |     |         |       |         |           |                      |            |                      |
| S12        | Scaffolding             | CCG Scaffolding       | see prelims |     |         |       |         |           |                      |            |                      |
|            |                         |                       |             |     |         |       |         |           |                      |            |                      |
| Totals     |                         |                       |             | £   | 268 535 | 3 160 |         |           |                      |            |                      |

| Alternative/late quotations |            |        |
|-----------------------------|------------|--------|
| Company                     | Net amount | Saving |
|                             |            |        |
|                             |            |        |
| Archiglass                  | 27 550     | 2 325  |
|                             |            |        |
|                             |            |        |
| Oscar Finishes              | 46 760     | 11 230 |
|                             |            |        |
| L.P. Monk                   | 38 450     | 3 450  |
|                             |            |        |
|                             |            |        |
|                             |            |        |
|                             |            |        |
| Beta Technologies           | 22 860     | 12 130 |
|                             |            |        |
|                             |            |        |
|                             |            |        |
|                             |            |        |
|                             |            |        |
|                             | £          | 29 135 |

Fig. 17.1 Summary of sub-contractors (reproduced from Chapter 12)

Completing the estimate and final tender review

|                         |  |            |                    |
|-------------------------|--|------------|--------------------|
| CB Construction Limited |  | Project:   | Fast Transport Ltd |
| ESTIMATE ANALYSIS       |  | Date:      | Jul 2004           |
|                         |  | Tender no: | T354               |

|                 |                                | Bill totals | Estimator's Adjustments | Estimate |
|-----------------|--------------------------------|-------------|-------------------------|----------|
| 1               | Bill total                     | 664 705     | −56 288                 | 608 417  |
| DEDUCT          |                                |             |                         |          |
| 2               | Dayworks                       | 14 600      |                         | 14 600   |
| 3               | Provisional sums               | 30 500      |                         | 30 500   |
| 4               | Domestic sub-contractors       | 268 535     | −29 135                 | 239 400  |
| 5               | Nominated sub-contractors      | 20 000      |                         | 20 000   |
| 6               | Nominated suppliers            | 3 800       |                         | 3 800    |
|                 |                                |             |                         |          |
| 7               | Own measured work              | 327 270     | −27 153                 | 300 117  |
|                 |                                |             |                         |          |
| 8               | Breakdown: Lab                 | 136 960     | −21 333                 | 115 627  |
| 9               | Plt                            | 31 330      |                         | 31 330   |
| 10              | Mat                            | 158 980     | −5 820                  | 153 160  |
|                 |                                |             |                         |          |
| LABOUR STRENGTH |                                |             |                         |          |
| 11              | Contract period stated/offered | 30          | wks                     |          |
| 12              | Labour total                   | £115 627    |                         |          |
| 13              | Average weekly earnings        | £11.0 40    |                         |          |
| 14              | Man weeks                      | 262.8       | nr                      |          |
| 15              | Average labour strength        | 8.8         | men                     |          |
|                 |                                |             |                         |          |
| CASH DISCOUNTS  |                                |             |                         |          |
| 16              | Nominated sub-contractors      | 500         |                         | 500      |
| 17              | Nominated suppliers            | 190         |                         | 190      |
| 18              | Domestic sub-contractors       | 6 713       | −728                    | 5 985    |
| 19              | Materials                      | 7 949       | −291                    | 7 658    |
| 20              | Total                          | 15 352      | −1 019                  | 14 333   |

Fig. 17.2 Estimate Analysis form

Estimating and Tendering for Construction Work

|                         |  |            |                    |  |
|-------------------------|--|------------|--------------------|--|
| CB Construction Limited |  | Project:   | Fast Transport Ltd |  |
| TENDER SUMMARY          |  | Date:      | Jul 2004           |  |
|                         |  | Tender no: | T354               |  |

|                             |                   | Estimate | Review changes | Tender  | Analysis      |
|-----------------------------|-------------------|----------|----------------|---------|---------------|
| 1 Own measured work         | Lab               | 115 627  |                | 115 627 | 18.2% of 11   |
|                             | Plt               | 31 330   | −5 300         | 26 030  | 4.1% of 11    |
|                             | Mat     nett      | 145 502  | −2 411         | 143 091 | 22.5% of 11   |
| 2 Domestic sub-contractors  | nett              | 233 400  | −12 480        | 220 920 | 34.8% of 11   |
| 3 Nominated sub-contractors | nett              | 19 500   |                | 19 500  | 3.1% of 11    |
| 4 Nominated suppliers       | nett              | 3 610    |                | 3 610   | 0.6% of 11    |
|                             | Total             | 548 969  | −20 191        | 528 778 | 83.2% of 11   |
| 5 Provisional sums          | Defined           |          |                |         |               |
| 6 Project overheads         | Lab               | 12 960   |                | 12 960  | 2.0% of 11    |
|                             | Plt               | 36 090   | 1 800          | 37 890  | 6.0% of 11    |
|                             | Mat               | 5 780    |                | 5 780   | 0.9% of 11    |
|                             | Sub               | 3 300    |                | 3 300   | 0.5% of 11    |
|                             | Staff             | 32 219   | 5 400          | 37 619  | 5.9% of 11    |
| 7 Price fluctuations        | Lab           *   | 620      |                | 620     | 0.5% of 1     |
|                             | Plt           *   |          |                |         |               |
|                             | Mat           *   |          |                |         |               |
|                             | Sub           *   | 3 160    | −3 160         | 0       |               |
|                             | Staff           * | 1 675    |                | 1 675   | 4.5% of staff |
| 8 Water charges             | %   *             | 650      |                | 650     | 0.1% of 11    |
| 9 Insurances                | %   *             |          | 5 000          | 5 000   | 0.8% of 11    |
| 10 Bond                     | %   *             | 1 050    |                | 1 050   | 0.17% of 11   |
| 11                          | Total             | 646 473  | −11 151        | 635 322 |               |
| 12 Risk/opportunity         |                   | 12 000   | −2 000         | 10 000  | 1.6% of 11    |
| 13 Overheads                |                   | 10 667   | −2 691         | 7 976   | 1.1% of 17    |
| 14 Profit                   |                   | 12 000   | −1 976         | 10 024  | 1.4% of 17    |
| 15 Dayworks                 |                   | 14 600   |                | 14 600  |               |
| 16 Provisional sums         | Undefined         | 30 500   |                | 30 500  |               |
|                             | Total             | 726 240  | −17 818        | 708 422 |               |
|                             |                   |          |                |         |               |
| 17 Professional fees        | %   *             | −        |                | −       |               |
| 18                          | TENDER £          | 726 240  |                | 708 422 |               |
| * may be priced in prelims  |                   |          |                |         |               |

Fig. 17.3 Tender Summary form

The Estimate Analysis form shown in Fig. 17.2 is an intermediate step towards filling in the Tender Summary form (Fig. 17.3). If a computer estimating system is being used, most of this information will be available in printout form, and this manual exercise would not be necessary.

*Item 1.* For a manual system, transfer the total of all measured work from the extended priced bills, include provisional sums and dayworks and take special care to include any provisional sums given in the preliminaries bill.

*Items 2–6.* From the relevant resource sheets enter the totals incorporated in the measured bills under their respective headings. PC sums drawn from the bill of quantities include discounts at this stage but will be reduced to net values on the tender summary form. The value of the contractor's own measured work is then found by deducting the sub-contracts and PC and provisional sums from the bill total.

*Items 8–10.* The breakdown of measured work can be prepared in four ways:

1. By applying an approximate ratio such as 40% labour, 10% plant and 50% materials.
2. By keeping accurate records of the resources used in pricing the works.
3. By analytical pricing and extending each part of the rates to a total.
4. By using the computer printout of resource totals.

It is no longer safe to examine resources using ratios or percentages because so much work today may be carried out by sub-contractors. It is not unusual for an estimator to price the earthwork himself on one contract and use a sub-contractor's quotation for another similar scheme. The estimator's adjustments are shown in the middle column so that if late prices or mistakes are found they can be recorded before the final review meeting.

*Item 11.* The estimator must clearly state the duration used in calculating project overheads and say whether this is the period given in the enquiry document or one which the tender programme has yielded.

*Items 12–14.* Dividing the labour value by the average earnings will give the number of man weeks included in the estimate.

*Item 15.* The average labour strength is calculated by dividing the number of man weeks, arrived at in 14, by the contract period. The peak labour strengths can be found by reference to the programme, because the size of the workforce on site may well be double the average figure and this does not allow for people employed by the domestic and nominated sub-contractors. An attempt should be made to assess the size of the labour force to calculate certain project overheads such as welfare facilities, transport, and protective clothing.

*Items 16–19.* All discounts offered by suppliers and sub-contractors should be identified because the net value of the resources must be calculated. For nominated

## *Estimating and Tendering for Construction Work*

suppliers and sub-contractors, refer to the contract conditions for the discounts included in the prime cost sums. Joint Contracts Tribunal (JCT) contracts have in the past allowed 2.5% on nominated sub-contractors and 5% on nominated suppliers sums but could change. GC/Works/1 Edition 3 has dispensed with the discounts, which has set an unwelcome trap for the unwary estimator.

Discounts for domestic sub-contractors and material suppliers will be calculated on the summaries of quotations used. Not all firms are prepared to offer discounts because the benefit of prompt payment is often ignored by main contractors.

Each time an adjustment is made, care must be taken to make a corresponding change to the discount. In the example shown in Fig. 17.2, the estimator has reduced the value of domestic sub-contractors (item 4) by £29 135 and reduced the total discount from £6713 to £5985 in item 18.

## **Comments on Tender Summary form**

Before the final review meeting the estimator will complete the left-hand column of the Tender Summary which gives management an outline of the whole job. In this example the estimator has perhaps gone further than necessary and suggested a total margin of £22 667 comprising £10 667 for overheads and £12 000 for profit. In the event, the margin was reduced to £18 000. The 'review changes' column shows the financial effect of the decisions made by management during the meeting. The most significant changes are to the contractor's own work and domestic sub-contractors, where potential savings have been identified. On the other hand, senior managers are also prepared to add to the estimate and in this case have increased staff costs and insurances. These last two points may have been the subject of a company policy change, which the estimator would not have known. Clearly an aggressive stance has been taken with sub-contractors, because the inflation allowance made by the estimator has been removed.

*Items 1–4* are taken from the Estimate Analysis and project overheads (item 6) come from a separate summary of project overheads.

*Item 5.* Provisional sums must now be split between those which are defined (contractor to allow for programming, planning and preliminaries) and those which are undefined (the contractor will be able to recover overhead costs).

*Item 7.* Fluctuations were dealt with in an earlier chapter. This example highlights the treatment of staff costs that are the most difficult to control. Price bargaining may produce savings with suppliers and sub-contractors but cannot be applied to supervisory staff.

Water charges, insurances and bonds (items 8–10) may have been priced in the site overheads schedule, but can be more accurately calculated when the full estimate is known. Each of these items are governed by the contract sum.

*Item 8.* Water charges are made by the water company for water consumed during the construction period. The estimator should contact the local water company to establish the charges. These may be a straightforward percentage or scale of charges based on the contract value. Alternatively the water may be metered.

*Item 9.* The employer's liability insurance is rarely included in the average labour rate today. This is because labour can be drawn from several sources and a global calculation is carried out in the project overheads, or at this stage when all the parts of the estimate are known. Contract conditions should be examined carefully and changes to the standard conditions noted. Since insurance provisions are notoriously difficult to interpret, the estimator will ask for help from his insurance adviser; and in some cases obtain a quotation before completing the estimate. When a job is on site, there are often many small losses suffered by the contractor, which are not recovered by an insurance policy. The estimator should ask for records of what the average shortfall may be for the work envisaged. In the example, management decided to add £5000 which was the excess given in the all-risks policy.

*Item 10.* Performance bonds and parent company guarantees are often required in today's construction market. The cost of a bond will depend on the suggested wording, duration and value, and creditworthiness of the contractor. The quotation for a bond is usually expressed as a percentage of the contract value per annum, and extends into the defects liability period. This means that a bond for a 12-month contract with a 12-month defects liability period will require twice the annual cost.

Risks, overheads and profit must be added to the total given in line 11; day-works and provisional sums (undefined) are added afterwards because they carry their own overheads.

## Risks and opportunities

*Item 12.* An examination of the risks to be borne by the contractor may be considered at this stage. These can be divided between technical and commercial:

1. Technical risks are dealt with by defining construction methods before costing the work. If the cost of failure is high in relation to the value of the project, it may be possible to insure against the loss, or increase the control. When uncertainties have been assessed they are priced by adding lump sums, which are a proportion of the possible losses. Contractors usually take an optimistic view on the unknown events, which can plague a construction project, hoping that costs can be held within the overall risk 'pot'.

## *Estimating and Tendering for Construction Work*

2. Commercial risks are those imposed by the form of contract and additional obligations forming part of the agreement. The most common problems arise from failure to finish by the date for completion, and commercial relationships with sub-contractors. If management feel that the contract period could be exceeded, they should consider adding a sum equal to the liquidated damages, which might be claimed.

## *Risk management*

Risk management is the process associated with identifying, analysing, planning, tracking and controlling project risks. In some modern forms of procurement, clients ask for risk schedules to be submitted with tender documents. The aim is to consider which risks are best managed by each party to the main contract. In framework agreements, for example, where contractors are reimbursed actual building costs (with a pain and gain share arrangement) the client might wish to manage the technical risk fund and the contractor is expected to manage commercial risks. This is because individual sites are chosen by the client (leading to variable technical risks) and contractual links in the supply chain are under the direct control of the contractor.

In any tender, risk management starts when the tender documents are received by identifying possible risks and allocating responsibilities to team members for managing risks and looking for opportunities. As an example, it might be found that there is a risk that skilled labour will not be available in an area, and a large project is being planned on an adjacent site. The risk can be reduced by making an allowance for transporting labour from outside the area. Alternatively, the design could be modified to accommodate off-site manufactured elements of the building. Another solution would be to provide training in advance of the programmed activities. In this example the risk has been reduced (mitigated) but a residual risk will need to be managed.

A mitigation plan will decrease risk by lowering the probability of an occurrence. The residual risk could lead to a sum of money and a probability of its occurrence. So, if, for example, the labour problem is not fully eliminated, a sum of money will be added to the risk log and a probability applied.

An opportunity is a future event, that should it occur, would lead to a favourable impact upon the project. As with risks there is an uncertainty with the possible occurrence of the event.

With both risks and opportunities, it is important to structure the tender submission in such a way that the risk mitigation and opportunities may be secured. As an example, if a contractor has assumed that a gas tank can be sited at ground level in a car park, he will make this statement as a condition of his offer. The risk of burying the tank is thus transferred to the client.

The submission document is also an opportunity to transfer a risk to the client. This is achieved through a carefully worded qualification in the tender documents.

## Overheads and profit

There are three main stages in reviewing a tender. It is management's responsibility to:

1. Understand the nature and obligations of the work.
2. Review the costs given in the estimate, and if necessary adjust the costs for market conditions and errors.
3. Add to the estimate sums for general overheads and profit.

Overheads and profit should be evaluated separately because they are calculated in different ways for different purposes.

*Item 13.* The term 'overheads' relates to off-site costs, which need to be recovered to maintain the head office and local office facilities. Items to be covered include:

- Salaries and costs to employ directors and staff;
- Rental fees, rates and maintenance of offices, stores and yards;
- Insurances;
- Fuel and power charges;
- Cars and other vehicles costs for office staff;
- Printing, stationery, postage and telephone;
- Advertising and entertainment;
- Canteen and consumables;
- Office equipment including computers;
- Finance costs and professional fees.

These charges are compared with turnover to arrive at an overhead percentage. Most organizations will know the figures for previous years, but both overheads and turnover should be predicted for the future when the project is under way.

Unfortunately, when work is scarce and turnover drops, contractors look for ways to reduce tender mark-ups. The temptation is to reduce the amount for overheads at a time when they are rising in proportion to turnover. The alternative is to win less work and suffer large losses. Another solution would be for some contracts to make a greater contribution to head office costs than others.

## *Estimating and Tendering for Construction Work*

*Item 14.* The profit figure is a combination of discounts and additional profit required by management. Long gone are the days when discounts could be thought of as a small reserve fund. In a competitive market all discounts are taken out before a small profit margin is added, to help in winning the work.

The profit calculation is the responsibility of senior managers (and ultimately the directors). In fact it is not strictly a calculation but a view or hunch about what margin would give the maximum profit for the company with the likelihood of winning the contract.

There are, nevertheless, some important issues which must be considered before a tender can be completed, these include:

1. The desire to win the contract; perhaps to increase turnover or the job might be the first in a number of similar schemes.
2. Whether the project will involve contractor's finance; cashflow calculations will show the net finance needed or benefit available.
3. The effect of winning the contract on the present workload; is there sufficient turnover to meet the company's objectives and are the company's resources being used efficiently.
4. Knowledge of the client and his consultants; the attitude and competence of other parties can have an impact on the smooth running of a project.
5. The local market conditions; consider the strength of competition for the type of construction in the area (this is often the single most important criterion for choosing a winning profit margin).
6. An evaluation of previous bidding performance; knowledge is gained of profit margins by an examination of results from previous tenders.
7. There is a theory that contractors may be influenced by the client's budget; this target is found from 'intelligence' information, it may be given in the preliminary invitation to tender, or deliberately released by the client to keep the price down; in practice this seldom changes the profit margin.

Where the tender appears to exceed the sum that would win the contract, there are two refinements that can be used:

1. Re-examine the suppliers' and sub-contractors' quotations for any evidence that lower prices may be available after the main contract is awarded, and
2. Consider different profit margins for direct work and that for which sub-contractors will be responsible.

Further approaches can be made to 'preferred' suppliers and trade specialists to negotiate and secure the best market price. This arrangement would include an undertaking that should the contractor be successful then the supplier or specialist would have no further negotiations and would be awarded the contract.


Finally, once the overheads and profit are settled, the amounts can be put on the summary form. All that remains is to add daywork (item 16) and undefined provisional sums (item 16) to arrive at the overall total, and where applicable add professional fees to produce the tender figure.

When the final review meeting is over, it is important for the estimator to return to his desk and check all the figures on the summary sheet once again. Even better, enter the estimator's adjustments and final review changes into the bills of quantities and ensure the bill total is the same as the agreed tender figure. This fully adjusted bill will form the basis of a set of allowances for the construction team and can be adapted for presentation to the client.

# 18

## *Tender submission and results*

---



*'An assessment of tender performance'*

### **Introduction**

How do you submit a tender? The answer was simple: filling in the tender form and making sure that it is delivered on time. Today, however, the winning of a contract can be more than simply giving the lowest price. The style of presentation, for example, is important for design and build contracts, and method statements are commonly required by construction managers. There is, of course, a balance to be achieved between what the client wants and appearing to be too clever; different clients have different expectations.

The contractor needs to consider the criteria the client will use for selection. These can be:

1. Price; will the lowest price alone be the basis for selection?
2. Time; will a programme show the client that the contractor has thought about how the job can be finished on time, or ahead of time?
3. Allocation of money; will the way in which money is distributed in the priced bills help or irritate the client?
4. Method statement; would the client wish to know the methods to be adopted before accepting the offer?
5. Safety and quality; does the client expect a statement of safety or quality showing how the contractor will manage this particular contract?
6. Construction team; is the contractor proposing to supervise the job with experienced staff who will work as an effective team with the consultants?
7. Presentation; how important is an accurate, well-presented offer?

The follow-up to a tender can affect the outcome – the estimator will contact the client soon after submitting a tender, not only to find the result but also to ensure there are no questions arising out of the submission.

## **Completion of priced bills**

The Tender Summary form produces a tender sum which must be transferred to the bills of quantities for submission. If a priced bill of quantities has to be submitted with the tender, then the way in which money is spread in the bill should be decided at the final review meeting. The contractor often changes the actual breakdown of prices in a priced bill of quantities to:

1. Produce a reasonable cashflow from interim valuations.
2. Apportion monies in a way which the client will find acceptable.
3. Increase the money set against undermeasured items and decrease the price of overmeasured items.

The example tender analysis forms given earlier show the first bill total was £664 705 before the project overheads were added and any adjustments were made. The tender sum arrived at after final review was £698 437. If the bill was inked in using the first pricing level, the amount remaining for project overheads would be:

$$£698\,437 - £664\,705 = £33\,732$$

This is not the true project overheads sum but is the amount needed to bring the bill total up to the tender sum. If the parties agreed to proceed on this breakdown (and they probably will) a part of the project overheads, overheads and profit

*Estimating and Tendering for Construction Work*

would remain in the measured work portion of the bill. In particular, the items to be carried out by domestic sub-contractors would carry a considerable mark-up.

Taking the example a stage further, if the estimator had the use of a computer and had made the tender adjustments *before* inking in the bill for the client, the breakdown would be in line with the tender summary below:

|                                                                                       | £      | £              |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|----------------|
| <b>Measured work</b> and provisional sums:<br>(including £620 fluctuations on labour) |        | <b>529 398</b> |
| <b>Project overheads</b>                                                              |        |                |
| Labour                                                                                | 12 960 |                |
| Plant                                                                                 | 37 890 |                |
| Materials                                                                             | 5 780  |                |
| Sub-contracts                                                                         | 3 300  |                |
| Staff                                                                                 | 37 619 |                |
| Fluctuations                                                                          | 1 675  |                |
| Water                                                                                 | 650    |                |
| Insurances                                                                            | 5 000  |                |
| Risk/opportunity                                                                      | 10 000 |                |
| Bond                                                                                  | 1 050  |                |
| Overheads and profit                                                                  | 18 000 | <b>133 924</b> |
| Provisional sums and dayworks                                                         |        | 45 100         |
| <b>Tender total</b>                                                                   |        | <b>708 422</b> |

The client should not be surprised to see this large sum (£133 924) for project overheads because it is based on the true allowance. If the contractor anticipates a problem with this breakdown, he can move some money, either:

1. Into 'safe' items in the measured work portion of the bill, looking for work which will be carried out early in the contract (safe items are those which appear to be measured correctly or are judged to be undermeasured at tender stage), or
2. By using a computer system to add a percentage to all the rates in a bill of quantities.

The project overheads total should be broken down in the bill of quantities with sums for fixed and time-related items. A surprising number of contractors ignore this breakdown and prefer to insert a lump sum in the collection; they assume that if their tender is the lowest, more details can be submitted to meet the needs of the quantity surveyor (or engineer) for valuation purposes. The contractor also knows that if there are some small queries raised by the client then his tender is (probably) being considered for acceptance.

When contractors are tendering in a competitive market in which work is scarce, they know that their bids must be close to the predicted cost of carrying out the

work, with little mark-up. Sometimes tenders can be slightly below cost. The contractor, in taking a calculated risk on how the contract will turn out, may price some items in a way which appears to be inconsistent. As an example, assume that a bill of quantities has two equal amounts in items for breaking out rock: one in reducing the site levels and the other in excavations for drains. Contractor A priced both items at £18.00/m<sup>3</sup> and contractor B priced the rock in open excavations as nil and in drains at £36.00. The overall effect on the tender sum was the same but contractor B had discovered a serious undermeasurement in the drainage bill; he was therefore hoping the drainage bill would be remeasured and valued at the higher rate. This might appear to make sense but, as many contractors have learned to their cost, plans can go wrong. If the quantity of rock in open excavation increased substantially, the contractor would suffer a serious financial loss.

## **Tender presentation**

Most tenders are submitted on a pre-defined form which has the effect of standardizing the offers and discouraging exclusions, alternative bids and other qualifications. For traditional procurement methods, the tender presentation normally includes the form of tender and a covering letter.

With design and build projects, the contractor submits his tender in the form of 'the Contractor's Proposals'. This is the contractor's response to the Employer's Requirements and is explained in detail in the Supplement Number Two to the CIOB Code of Estimating Practice. The common elements of a design and build offer are: drawings to illustrate the proposals, a detailed specification and a tender sum broken down into its major elements. In order to avoid confusion later, the employer should stipulate the form and extent of information needed. The Contract Sum Analysis should be adequate for both valuing work executed and changes after the contract is awarded.

Instructions to tenderers should include a date, time and location for submitting a tender. The contractor is responsible for presenting the documents by the time given and in some cases may be permitted to send a tender by e-mail or facsimile transmission, followed up by first-class post. Contractors rarely submit their tenders early for two reasons:

1. They might receive a lower quotation from a sub-contractor or supplier which could improve the bid, and
2. A tender price cannot be communicated to a competitor in time for him to better the price.

Clearly, if a form of tender is required, the contractor must enter the price in the space provided, and ensure that the document is signed and dated by a person

## *Estimating and Tendering for Construction Work*

authorized to act for the tenderer. The estimator must carefully check the instructions to tenderers for any other documents to be submitted with a tender. Many public organizations would not expect to see additional documents, but sometimes ask for an outline programme and method statement. Contractors commonly attach a letter to the form of tender and priced bills of quantities, but are careful not to add statements or conditions to their offer which might be seen as qualifying the tender.

There are times, of course, when qualifications are unavoidable. The following examples show how a contractor may have no choice but to bring matters to the client's attention either before submission or in the tender:

1. A contractor may decide that the wording of a performance bond is unacceptable.
2. Having examined all the resources needed for a job, the contractor may find the contract duration is too short.
3. Late amendments can impose extra responsibilities, which the contractor is unable to resolve.
4. The contractor may wish to add to the list of three named sub-contractors following the failure of one of the firms on the list.

There is a theory (which is not sensible in a competitive market) that all such problems can be resolved by 'throwing money at them'. A short duration, for example, can be overcome by adding liquidated damages for the expected overrun. The JCT Practice Note No. 6 'Main Contractor Tendering' replaces the Code of Procedure for Single Stage Selective Tendering (CPSSST). It suggests that the tenderer should tell the client if there are any matters needing clarification as soon as possible and preferably not less than 10 days before the tenders are due. If the tender documents need to change, the tender date may be extended. The Practice Note takes a strong line on qualified tenders by stating that qualifications should be withdrawn otherwise the tender may be rejected. With this in mind, qualifications are sometimes written in general terms so the contractor can delay his decision on which issues he wants to qualify. Typical statements used are:

1. 'During the tender period, we identified some savings which can be brought about by small technical changes ...'
2. 'We would need to clarify some of the contractual matters before entering into an agreement, but do not expect this to affect our price ...'

Another approach, more common in civil engineering contracts, is to submit an alternative tender. In this way a contractor is able to comply with the tender conditions by submitting a 'clean bid' and at the same time reveal an alternative offer which usually reduces the construction costs with only minor

specification/contractual changes. An alternative tender may also be the vehicle to propose a shorter duration, submit a programme and impress the client with technological expertise. Another form of alternative tender is to offer an amended contract where the contractual risks can be shared by open and frank problem solving in an atmosphere of trust. This produces a contractor-led partnership or alliance between the parties as envisaged by Sir Michael Latham in his 1994 report, *Constructing the Team*.

There is a growing practice of submitting company brochures, technical literature and other publicity material with the tender. This 'window dressing' is often unnecessary. The client is more interested in the price and approach to *his* job; in any case the company profile has been examined at the pre-selection stage.

The letter accompanying the tender can be used to confirm the amendments to the tender documents received during the tender period. The basis of the offer is after all the tender documents and all amendments received by and not sent to the contractor before the tender date. The main rule for this letter is to keep it short, no more than one page. The rules of letter writing should be applied to all correspondence, particularly during the tender stage, when for some clients this is the first business contact. In writing to his clients, the estimator must remember that every letter sent to the client, or his advisers, is selling the company.

## Vetting of tenders

If bills of quantities are not required with the tender form, the contractor who has submitted the lowest bid is asked to submit his priced bill of quantities for examination (and adjustment where errors are found). The unsuccessful contractors should be told immediately that their tenders were unsuccessful. Once the contract has been let, all the tenderers should be notified of the results so that they can measure their performance against others in the industry. The results must remain confidential before a contract is made because the lowest contractor could negotiate higher prices if he knows the tenders made by his competitors. There have also been instances of higher tenders being reduced below the lowest price received on the tender date.

The Joint Contracts Tribunal (JCT) Practice Note No. 6 2002 has introduced the concept of best value, as an alternative to lowest priced bid. For a best-value tender, the criteria to be used to assess best value should be stated in the pre-qualification or tender documents. Once a choice has been made using this assessment criterion (sometimes referred to as 'score card') the pricing documents of the preferred tenderer can be opened and checked.

An examination of a contractor's bill of quantities may reveal different kinds of errors. Some errors should be corrected using the JCT Practice Note, Alternative 1 or 2. Alternative 1 gives the contractor the choice of standing by his tender or

## *Estimating and Tendering for Construction Work*

withdrawing it. Alternative 2 gives the contractor the opportunity to confirm his offer or amend it to correct genuine errors. The term 'genuine errors' is not defined in the Practice Note, but normally means:

1. 'Errors of computation' caused by mistakes in multiplying quantities by rates or totalling pages;
2. Patent errors in pricing such as pricing hardwood joinery at the same rates given for softwood earlier in the bill, or pricing steel reinforcement at a rate per kilogram where the unit is tonnes;
3. Inking-in errors are usually simple to correct because the summaries will be correct even if an individual rate has been entered wrongly.

Some patent errors can be difficult to spot because the contractor may have his own commercial reasons for distributing the money in a certain way. A common difficulty is the pricing of similar items at different prices. A quantity surveyor may wonder why concrete in a ground floor slab is priced at £71.55 per m<sup>3</sup> in the workshops and at £82.15 in the office area of a factory development. There are many logical reasons for this apparent mistake. It could be to do with continuity of work, perhaps the latter case involved a high extra cost for part load charges from the concrete supplier. If the office area was programmed for the beginning of the contract, the quantity surveyor might think the contractor had 'front-loaded' the bill to produce an early income. The contractor cannot be required to change the rates but the quantity surveyor may not be able to recommend the tender to the client. If the contractor has priced the bill in such a way that considerable sums of money are overpaid at the beginning of a project, the client might be at risk if the contractor fails to meet his obligations, or becomes insolvent.

## **Post-tender negotiations and award**

Each tenderer will want to know the result as soon as possible in order to plan the construction phase of successful bids, or file away the documents and redeploy resources involved in unsuccessful ones. The direct approach is usually the most productive. A telephone call to the person carrying out the vetting process is often enough to know whether the tender documents can be archived and the computer files backed up on CD-ROM.

The next stage for the lowest tenderer could be meeting the client, or his advisers. This is often necessary before an award can be made. The matters discussed are mainly financial and contractual although methods can be important. Any errors or discrepancies in the bill of quantities can be resolved at the meeting and contractual details can be discussed and agreed. This allows both parties to understand their obligations before the formal agreement comes into effect.

The contractor should be represented by the estimator and senior construction staff. The estimator should ask for an agenda and a list of those attending. The agenda will allow him to brief his team in advance and take relevant documents to the meeting. The list of client's representatives and advisers is important. The contractor will try to respond to questions with staff who have the necessary specialization. Above all, an estimator must avoid the situation where he alone enters a room where all the consultants and client's representatives are assembled confidently expecting to get the best deal for the client.

There are some pre-award meetings where the estimator may not be the best person to lead the contractor's team. The approach must be robust with a firm commitment to carrying out the work to a high standard and on time. Unfortunately estimators often get bogged down in detail and have been known to highlight small errors in the documents or be pessimistic about aspects of the programme. If this happens, a senior manager can present a wider view and suggest positive remedies which have been successful on other projects. Above all the client must have confidence and believe the contractor can carry out the work with a willingness to solve problems and work closely with the client's team at all times.

## **Tendering performance and analysis of results**

There are several ways in which the performance of an estimating department can be measured. The simplest method would be to count the number of successful bids compared with the number of tenders submitted. Figure 18.1 shows the cumulative ratio of tenders to contracts won in eight months. This is a crude technique, which does not help the firm to improve its tendering performance.

If a contractor's business strategy is to increase turnover, then a simple graph showing the value of contracts awarded would be useful. Figure 18.2 shows the value of contracts won, with the total for tenders submitted. This graph is made more effective by showing the performance related to a target set by the board of management.

The CIOB Code of Estimating Practice offers a suitable form for recording the results and subsequent analysis of tenders. Figure 18.3 illustrates three methods which can be used to produce a ratio analysis for a tender; each contractor will pick the method which helps him to evaluate his tender performance. The underlying principle is that, in general, cost category ratios of similar jobs remain approximately the same. If there are significant changes in these ratios, the estimator should be able to explain the reasons for the deviations, at the final review meeting.

Column A of Fig. 18.3 has been calculated with each element being expressed as a percentage of the total tender sum. This is the least refined measure because the elements are being compared with a figure which includes overheads and profit. Column B shows the elements expressed as percentages of direct costs excluding





Fig. 18.1 Cumulative tender ratio



**Fig. 18.2** Cumulative value of tenders and awards

Estimating and Tendering for Construction Work

|                         |  |                 |               |                        |
|-------------------------|--|-----------------|---------------|------------------------|
| CB CONSTRUCTION LIMITED |  | Tender analysis | Project name: | Fast Transport Limited |
|                         |  |                 | Tender date:  | Jul 2004               |
|                         |  |                 | Tender no:    | T354                   |

| Tender summary |   | Column A | Column B | Column C |
|----------------|---|----------|----------|----------|
| Description    | £ | % of 13  | % of 6   | % of 9   |

|    |                                    |         |      |      |      |
|----|------------------------------------|---------|------|------|------|
| 1  | Own measured work – Labour         | 115 627 | 16.6 | 22.7 | 18.3 |
| 2  | – Plant                            | 26 030  | 3.7  | 5.1  | 4.1  |
| 3  | – Materials nett                   | 143 091 | 20.5 | 28.2 | 22.7 |
| 4  | Domestic sub-contractors nett      | 220 935 | 31.6 | 43.5 | 35.1 |
| 5  | Price fluctuations                 | 2 295   | 0.3  | 0.5  | 0.4  |
|    |                                    |         |      |      |      |
| 6  | Direct costs                       | 507 978 |      | 100  |      |
|    |                                    |         |      |      |      |
| 7  | Project overheads                  | 104 249 | 14.9 |      | 16.5 |
|    |                                    |         |      |      |      |
| 8  | Overheads, profit and discounts    | 18 000  | 2.6  |      | 2.9  |
|    |                                    |         |      |      |      |
| 9  | Tender less fixed sums             | 630 227 |      |      | 100  |
|    |                                    |         |      |      |      |
| 10 | PC Sums–Nom sub-contractors        | 19 500  | 2.8  |      |      |
| 11 | PC Sums–Nom suppliers              | 3 610   | 0.5  |      |      |
| 12 | Provisional sums and contingencies | 45 100  | 6.5  |      |      |
|    |                                    |         |      |      |      |
| 13 | TENDER                             | 698 437 | 100  |      |      |
|    |                                    |         |      |      |      |

Fig. 18.3 Alternative methods for tender ratio analysis (relates to data given in Tender Summary form)

PC and provisional sums. This has the advantage of removing the sums fixed by the client but fails to bring the project (site) overheads into the calculation. Perhaps the best solution would be to include project overheads and take out all sums set by the client (see column C).

The next stage is to examine bid results in more detail. Figure 18.4 lists the tenders submitted and shows the effect of subtracting the sums set by the client. The percentage over lowest bid gives a measure of the margin by which the job was lost, and the percentage over mean bid provides the contractor with a guide to the deviation from the average prices set by other contractors. As a rough rule of thumb, many contractors would feel confident in their estimating performance if their percentage over mean bid fell within the range  $\pm 10\%$ . Figure 18.5 gives a summary of tender results for a three-month period.

|                         |  |               |                    |  |
|-------------------------|--|---------------|--------------------|--|
| CB CONSTRUCTION LIMITED |  | Project name: | Fast Transport Ltd |  |
|                         |  | Tender date:  | Jul 2004           |  |
|                         |  | Tender no:    | T354               |  |

| Tender list            | Tender  | Tender less<br>set sums | % over<br>lowest bid | % over<br>mean bid |
|------------------------|---------|-------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|
| 1 Baldwin Bros         | 764 780 | 696 570                 | 14.4                 | 6.2                |
| 2 Javelin Construction | 707 990 | 639 780                 | 5.0                  | −2.4               |
| 3 Barry and Hardcastle | 677 250 | 609 040 L               | 0.0                  | −7.1               |
| 4 CB Construction      | 698 437 | 630 227                 | 3.5                  | −3.9               |
| 5 Wessex Contracting   | 718 415 | 650 205                 | 6.8                  | −0.8               |
| 6 Newfield Building    | 756 410 | 688 200                 | 13.0                 | 5.0                |
| 7 Simpson              | 743 382 | 675 172                 | 10.9                 | 3.0                |
| Mean tenders           | 723 809 | 655 599                 | 7.6                  | 0.0                |

|                         |        |                |            |
|-------------------------|--------|----------------|------------|
| Sums set by client      |        | Margin lost by | £21 187.00 |
| PC Sums – nom subs      | 19 500 |                |            |
| PC Sums – nom suppliers | 3 610  |                |            |
| Prov sums               | 45 100 |                |            |
| Total                   | 68 210 |                |            |

Fig. 18.4 Tender results

It would be unwise to change a bidding strategy using a small amount of data. The analysis of performance must start with:

- 1. A period of consistent net pricing.
- 2. A steady tendering policy in terms of adjustments to direct costs and the percentage mark-up.
- 3. A determination to obtain tender results from consultants and clients.

## Bidding strategy

A bidding strategy can be defined as a broad framework of methods and timing to achieve stated objectives. It is interesting to note that in military terms, the word ‘strategy’ means the skilful management of an army in such a way as to deceive an enemy and win a campaign. In business the stated objectives can sometimes

Estimating and Tendering for Construction Work

| CB CONSTRUCTION LIMITED |                           | Summary of tender results |                  |      |                   | 2004            |
|-------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|------------------|------|-------------------|-----------------|
| Project title           |                           | Tender date               | No. of Tenderers | Rank | % over lowest bid | % over mean bid |
| T351                    | Renton Cakes              | Jun 04                    | 3                | 1    | 0.0               | −2.3            |
| T352                    | Warland Access Road       | Jun 04                    | 6                | 5    | 11.0              | 4.9             |
| T353                    | Retail units, Swindon     | Jun 04                    | 6                | 1    | 0.0               | −3.1            |
| T354                    | Fast Transport Limited    | Jul 04                    | 7                | 2    | 3.5               | −3.9            |
| T355                    | Star Tyres                | Jul 04                    | 5                | 2    | 5.0               | −3.8            |
| T356                    | Cinema Roof               | Jul 04                    | 6                | 4    | 12.3              | 2.2             |
| T357                    | Fire Station              | Aug 04                    | 6                | 3    | 6.7               | 1.2             |
| T358                    | Railway workshops         | Aug 04                    | 7                | 1    | 0.0               | −7.7            |
| T359                    | British Coal underpinning | Aug 04                    | 13               | 5    | 15.0              | 1.0             |
|                         |                           |                           |                  |      |                   |                 |
|                         |                           |                           |                  |      |                   |                 |
|                         |                           |                           |                  |      |                   |                 |
|                         |                           |                           |                  |      |                   |                 |
|                         |                           |                           |                  |      |                   |                 |
|                         |                           |                           |                  |      |                   |                 |
|                         |                           |                           |                  |      |                   |                 |
|                         |                           |                           |                  |      |                   |                 |
|                         |                           |                           |                  |      |                   |                 |
|                         |                           |                           |                  |      |                   |                 |

Fig. 18.5 Summary of tender results

be achieved by deceiving the opposition but principally the specific objective is to be successful in winning contracts at prices which would allow the organization to carry out work profitably. A tendering strategy can be developed as a statement of aims, as follows:

1. To identify a suitable market in terms of type of work, size of contracts and geographical location.
2. To develop a reputation for safety, quality and speed of construction within economic limits.
3. To secure stated targets for turnover.
4. To evaluate the company’s performance, and compare with that of its competitor.
5. To compare the financial performance of a project with the costs predicted at tender stage.

A contractor can improve his tendering efficiency with better marketing, and only accepting invitations to tender which meet clear guidelines. Most companies have criteria which include: for type of work, size and location of the project, risk to be transferred to the contractor and status of the client.

Finally, it is worth considering a different approach; that is to ignore the tender levels set by your competitors. Produce pricing levels which are right for your company and avoid playing the market. If prices fall below what you consider to be an economic level, look for other markets where margins can be preserved.

# 19

## *Action with the successful tender*

---

### **Introduction**

Gone are the days when the award of contract signalled the end of the estimator's contribution to a project. There are two important tasks to be performed: first, helping the construction team with procurement and technical advice during the mobilization period, and second, producing cost information which will form the budget for the job. The transfer of information has been improved dramatically with the introduction of computers. There are many estimating packages available, which can be used to produce tender allowances and later assist during the construction phase to control sub-contractors' payments and produce valuations for the client. The main advantage of using a computer is that an estimator can adjust his estimate to take account of the decisions made at the final review meeting and make post-tender adjustments which are sometimes agreed with the client following the vetting stage. For close financial control of a project, the site manager should be trusted with the detailed budget; he can then control the resources efficiently and contribute to a simple feedback system.

### **Information transfer**

The estimator must take great care to produce accurate information in a form that is simple to understand. A checklist can be used to ensure the handover information is complete, such as:

1. Correspondence with the client.
2. Form of tender.
3. Priced bill of quantities.
4. Tender drawings and specifications.
5. Contractor's bill of allowances (adjusted rates).
6. Tender stage programme.
7. Pricing notes and resource schedules.
8. Project overheads schedule (adjusted following final review).

9. Sub-contractors' and suppliers' quotations.
10. Any other findings or assumptions which might include temporary works drawings, photographs, site layouts, minutes of meetings with specialists and the client, technical literature and site visit reports.

The item which needs the most effort is the contractor's bill of allowances because this gives the site manager the fully adjusted rates for the work. The changes made during the tender period must be applied to all the rates affected. It is not satisfactory, for example, to say to a site manager that the budget for concrete is as given in the client's bill less 5% which was an additional discount taken during the final review meeting plus a 20% addition to the waste allowance for concrete poured against the sides of excavations. No site manager has the time to trace such changes made by the estimator.

There has been a cynical view in the industry that an estimator's figures should not be made available to construction staff on site. There are three main reasons for this attitude:

1. The construction team should get the resources for the job at the lowest possible rates and not just beat the tender target figures.
2. There may be a security problem if the rates are open to view on site; a competitor may steal an advantage and sub-contractors could be upset if they had sight of the real allowances.
3. There may be problems with interpreting data, and staff can become confused when confronted with bills produced for different purposes.

A more subtle reason might be that some organizations like to adjust the financial targets as more is known about the job. As an example, a contracts manager might decide that a concrete pump will not be necessary for placing concrete and hopes the site manager has not seen this provision in the tender. This clearly shows a lack of confidence in the site manager and could adversely affect the financial control of the project.

The important point is that the site manager must appreciate the cost implications of the decisions made on site, particularly with the use of direct labour, the costs of materials and equipment and the value of sub-contracted work. The information provided by the estimator can be used:

1. To set bonus levels.
2. To produce forward costing data.
3. To quantify resources for planning exercises.
4. To examine the financial performance of a contract through historical costing methods.
5. To compare the final building costs with the tender budget.

## *Estimating and Tendering for Construction Work*

The person on site will perform better if trusted with important information; he is then more likely to accept greater responsibility for the financial success of a project and contribute more effectively to the evaluation of future projects.

The extract from a bill of allowances given in Fig. 19.1 was produced by a computer package that was used by the estimator during the tender stage and the quantity surveyor during construction. It gives the true allowances to the site manager (columns a to d) and the rates submitted to the client in column e. The rates given to a client will differ from the tender allowances for many reasons. These include:

1. Changes are made to rates after the submission bill is inked in. For example, a site might be found for tipping surplus material, which reduces the rate for disposal. In extreme cases, the bill can be inked-in before the final review meeting. This would lead to many differences.
2. A proportion of overheads and profit may be included in the rates submitted to the client.
3. Money may have been moved to ensure early payments or to take advantage of mistakes in the bill; the true rates must be given to the site manager for cost control purposes.

There are, in effect, two bills of quantities – the client's bill for valuation purposes, sometimes called the 'selling' rates, and the contractor's bill for costs control, referred to as the budget, site allowances, buying rates or internal bill.

Before work can start on site, the construction manager will bring together all those associated with the contract. This internal pre-contract meeting is an opportunity for the estimator to introduce the scheme to the construction team and personally explain the contents of the handover package, and expand on the methods of construction, resources and organization used as the basis of the tender. The estimator will be given certain duties at this meeting, mainly to do with the transfer of information described earlier, checking the contract documents and he may be asked to take part in negotiations with sub-contractors appointed at the start of the project.

The CIOB Code of Estimating Practice recommends procedures for checking contract documents. The estimator must ensure the contract documents received for signature are identical to those which were used as the basis of the tender, and any amendments issued by the client during the tender stage have been correctly incorporated. Correspondence confirming post-tender negotiations may also be included if it helps clarify the basis of the agreement.

## **Feedback**

Feedback is the weakest part of the estimating function. In practice, an estimator receives information about the actual costs of construction in a haphazard way

|                         |  |  |  |                    |  |  |  |               |  |                    |       |          |
|-------------------------|--|--|--|--------------------|--|--|--|---------------|--|--------------------|-------|----------|
| CB CONSTRUCTION LIMITED |  |  |  | BILL OF ALLOWANCES |  |  |  | Project name: |  | Fast Transport Ltd |       |          |
|                         |  |  |  |                    |  |  |  | Contract no:  |  | 94022              | Date: | Aug 2004 |

| Bill Ref:  | Short description | Quantity                   | Site Allowances      |         |         |         |      |         | Margin/<br>adjustmt | Client's bill |         |           |
|------------|-------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|---------|---------|---------|------|---------|---------------------|---------------|---------|-----------|
|            |                   |                            | Lab (a)              | Plt (b) | Mat (c) | Sub (d) | Rate | Total   |                     | Rate (e)      | Total   |           |
| 3/9        | A                 | Filling to excavations     | 18 m <sup>3</sup>    | 2.13    | 2.06    | 14.23   |      | 18.42   | 331.52              | −1.59         | 16.82   | 302.82    |
|            | B                 | Filling to soft spots      | 100 m <sup>3</sup>   | 1.50    | 1.75    | 14.23   |      | 17.48   | 1 748.00            | −2.19         | 15.29   | 1 529.40  |
|            | C                 | Level & compact exc        | 224 m <sup>2</sup>   | 0.56    | 0.31    |         |      | 0.88    | 196.00              | 0.02          | 0.89    | 199.84    |
|            | D                 | Dust blinding and compact  | 2 890 m <sup>2</sup> | 0.30    | 0.30    | 0.60    |      | 1.20    | 3 468.00            | −0.49         | 0.71    | 2 062.65  |
|            | E                 | Grout under baseplate      | 21 m <sup>2</sup>    | 30.00   |         | 4.00    |      | 34.00   | 714.00              | −0.86         | 33.14   | 695.88    |
|            | F                 | Plain conc 15N trench      | 76 m <sup>3</sup>    | 15.25   |         | 52.20   |      | 67.45   | 5 126.20            | 1.37          | 68.82   | 5 230.55  |
|            | G                 | Plain conc 15N isol founds | 4 m <sup>3</sup>     | 16.25   |         | 53.60   |      | 69.85   | 279.40              | 0.25          | 70.10   | 280.39    |
| Page total |                   |                            | 3/9                  | £3 035  | £1 149  | £7 679  |      | £11 863 |                     | −£1 562       | £10 302 |           |
| 3/10       | A                 | Reinf conc 35N founds      | 52 m <sup>3</sup>    | 14.31   |         | 60.75   |      | 75.06   | 3 903.25            | −10.00        | 65.06   | 3 383.29  |
|            | B                 | Reinf conc 35N isol founds | 5 m <sup>3</sup>     | 15.81   |         | 62.53   |      | 78.34   | 391.71              | −11.75        | 66.59   | 332.96    |
|            | C                 | Reinf conc 35N col casing  | 12 m <sup>3</sup>    | 32.88   |         | 65.20   |      | 98.08   | 1 176.90            | −10.71        | 87.37   | 1 048.40  |
|            | D                 | Fabric D49 wrapping        | 40 m <sup>2</sup>    | 1.75    |         | 0.94    |      | 2.69    | 107.50              | 0.94          | 3.63    | 145.29    |
|            | E                 | Fabric A393 in slab        | 2 765 m <sup>2</sup> | 1.25    |         | 4.13    |      | 5.38    | 14 861.88           | 0.42          | 5.80    | 16 034.17 |
| Page total |                   |                            | 3/10                 | £4 744  |         | £15 697 |      | £20 441 |                     | £503          | £20 944 |           |

Fig. 19.1 Extract from contractor's bill of allowances

| CB CONSTRUCTION LIMITED |                 |                  |           | Project name:      |             | Fast Transport Limited |             |
|-------------------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------|--------------------|-------------|------------------------|-------------|
| Sub-contracts placed    |                 |                  |           | Date:              |             | Mar 2005               |             |
|                         |                 |                  |           | Contract no:       |             | 94022                  |             |
| Trade                   |                 | Tender allowance |           | Contract placed    |             |                        |             |
|                         |                 | Name             | Allowance | Name               | Order value | Projected value        | Buying gain |
| 1                       | Roof covering   | Grange Roofing   | 16 400    | Grange Roofing     | 14 760      | 14 760                 | 1 640       |
| 2                       | Windows         | Aliframe         | 25 500    | Westpoint Windows  | 23 455      | 24 150                 | 1 350       |
| 3                       | Plasterwork     | McLaughlin       | 23 450    | McLaughlin         | 25 670      | 25 670                 | −2 220.0    |
| 4                       | Partitions      | Port Drylining   | 20 050    | Port Drylining     | 20 050      | 20 050                 | −           |
| 5                       | Joinery         | Robin Joinery    | 34 000    | Robin Joinery      | 29 550      | 29 550                 | 4 450       |
| 6                       | Ceilings        | Wignall Hampton  | 19 800    | Shrimpton Ceilings | 18 600      | 18 600                 | 1 200       |
| 7                       | Painting        | T & G Jackman    | 12 300    | not yet placed     |             |                        |             |
| 8                       | Floor coverings | ABA Furnishings  | 12 300    | not yet placed     |             |                        |             |
| 9                       | Surfacing       | Gatwick Plant    | 4 200     | not yet placed     |             |                        |             |
| 10                      | Landscaping     | no quote         | 7 600     | not yet placed     |             |                        |             |
| 11                      | Mechanical      | Moss and Lamont  | 39 100    | Hutley Engineers   | 35 887      | 37 145                 | 1 955       |
| 12                      | Electrical      | Tate Electrics   | 31 900    | Tate Electrics     | 31 900      | 31 900                 | −           |
|                         |                 |                  |           |                    |             |                        |             |
|                         |                 | Total            | £2 46 600 |                    |             | Total                  | £8 375      |
|                         |                 |                  |           |                    |             |                        |             |

Fig. 19.2 Comparison of sub-contracts placed with sub-contract allowances

and usually hears, through a third party, about underestimated costs – rarely will he be told about high rates. So why are companies slow to set up procedures which would ensure feedback information is available to estimators? The following problems are often quoted:

1. Feedback information is historical.
2. Each project is different from the next.
3. Financial performance is determined by the effectiveness of the site management team.
4. An estimator uses constants, which are not always job specific.
5. A feedback system would be expensive to implement.
6. Market prices can change dramatically with little notice.
7. Confidential information is not available to site staff.

Buyers and site staff are sometimes reluctant to divulge the low prices they have achieved through aggressive procurement. Their fear is that if the estimator priced further work at these levels, it would be difficult to improve on the budget if the tender was successful.

It may be there is a middle course whereby a company can report on certain aspects of a job in progress, as follows:

1. The actual costs associated with project overheads could be written in a spare column on the schedule produced by the estimator.
2. Individual investigations can be carried out to find the actual waste of high value materials.
3. The average cost of employing certain categories of labour could be compared with the all-in rate used at tender stage.
4. The value of sub-contracts (and major material orders) which have been let can be entered on a comparison sheet (see Fig. 19.2). This would give management, and estimators, evidence of the buying margins which are available in the current market.
5. For small repetitive jobs, where detailed feedback is needed, an extra column could be inserted in the bill of allowances for the eventual costs to be added to each item. This is an obvious application for a computer using a tailor-made package or a spreadsheet program.

The relative importance of these investigations will depend on the estimator's need for information and the size of each contract. The benefits are that future estimates become more reliable and more accurately reflect the cost of construction work.

# 20

## Computer-aided estimating

---



*'The paperless office'*

### Introduction

The use of computers by estimators has grown steadily since the early 1980s when stand-alone computers were introduced to the desks of estimators. It has been difficult to measure improvements computers have brought to estimating performance. This is probably because the benefits come from additional facilities, which manual systems cannot provide. For example, resource costs can quickly be changed at any time with a computer system. If an estimate is produced manually, the estimator will know the approximate quantities of the significant resources and could make

adjustments. Unfortunately these adjustments could not be made to all the items in a bill of quantities prior to submission with a tender.

For many projects, the estimating process begins with the receipt of tender documents. Despite many attempts to introduce standard formats for the exchange of information, contractors continue to receive bulky documents and are often denied bills of quantities either on electronic media or as electronic mail. Scanners can be used for inputting bills of quantities, but more sophisticated data transfer should be used in a modern construction industry. When contractors commission bills of quantities for plan and specification or design and build tenders, the estimator lays down appropriate protocols.

Early examples of computer-aided estimating software have been replaced with flexible systems, which do not attempt to replace the estimator's skills but allow the calculations to be structured and controlled with the added benefits of rapid calculations and computer-generated reports. If there is a standard piece of application software, it would be the spreadsheet, which has been adopted throughout the construction industry.

For larger organizations, computer-aided estimating systems are best implemented on 'central' computers, which allow estimators to work simultaneously on a project. Local networks can be installed using a Windows interface, which runs general-purpose software and specialist packages linked to shared printers.

The most exciting opportunities will come from a greater use of the Internet and online services. The estimator will no longer be restricted to the information on his desktop PC. Day-to-day correspondence is sent by e-mail, lists of suppliers can be accessed from interactive business directories, up-to-date technical libraries are available on a 'pay-as-you-view' basis and tender documents will be exchanged electronically.

## **Aims of computer-aided estimating**

The computing debate has raised questions about the role of the estimator and whether estimators should change their methods to conform to computing techniques or should computers be used to mimic the way estimators have worked in the past? What appears to have happened is that estimators have developed their computing skills, not just in using estimating systems but adopting spreadsheet and database packages where appropriate; and the software specialists are beginning to respond to the needs of estimators with more flexible systems. There is still a market for the large database of standard items, probably in the bill production phase whether created by the private QS or contractor.

So why has it been so difficult to implement computer-aided systems in construction? There are certainly fewer packages available and many estimators have migrated to spreadsheets.

## *Estimating and Tendering for Construction Work*

Estimators need to make decisions throughout the pricing stage, mainly because each project is different. The standard labour and plant outputs which the estimator has in mind are adjusted to suit the circumstances. The circumstances might include many variables such as distance from compound, ground conditions, depth below ground, plant available on site, item sizes, quality of workmanship, quantity (scope of work), degree of repetition, access and so on. The estimator clearly needs skills, which are judgement based, as well as the ability to work consistently at mechanical processes. The software must allow the estimator to exercise his judgement particularly when he is building up his rates for each job.

The general aims of computer-aided estimating are:

- To provide the estimator with a kit of tools which will enable him to save time and exercise his personal judgement within a given framework, with reasonable scope for flexibility and user ingenuity.
- To help the estimator in his role as the person who calculates the total net cost of the project, and those who have to make decisions based on the estimator's reports and allowances.
- To provide the opportunities for contractors to gain a commercial advantage over their competitors.
- To handle information electronically in order to produce less paperwork, provide faster access to data and costs summaries.
- To give access to up-to-date information from internal and external networks.
- To implement company procedures through standardization.

## **Software**

Spreadsheets, word processors and databases are commonly used in an estimating office. Spreadsheets provide the framework for price lists, calculations and cost planning. A range of software is needed for tender presentations, which are much more sophisticated today. Clients expect contractors to demonstrate their capacity to work not only to a fixed price but also to a resourced programme and quality plan. Increasingly clients call for these details at tender stage. Fortunately this information can be produced quickly with word-processing, desktop publishing and graphics packages. But the real advantage is the facility to edit text and graphics in order to produce polished presentations.

In the past, the best buying advice given to anyone entering the computer marketplace was to choose the software first and then find the hardware to run it. Finding the right software is notoriously difficult because the benefits are intangible, especially in relation to your particular needs. So the answer must be to consider what you want to do; in other words start with what you know and work towards what you need to know. Then talk to other users to check reliability, customer

support and functionality. The following list shows how software is used for common estimating tasks:

| <b>Application</b>                             | <b>Spreadsheet</b> | <b>Word processor</b> | <b>Database</b> | <b>Specialist package</b> |
|------------------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|---------------------------|
| Cost planning                                  | X                  |                       | X               | X                         |
| Tender register                                | X                  | X                     | X               |                           |
| List sub-contractors and suppliers             | X                  | X                     | X               | X                         |
| Enquiry letters                                |                    | X                     | X               |                           |
| Resource price lists                           | X                  |                       | X               | X                         |
| Calculate all-in rates                         | X                  |                       |                 | X                         |
| Produce standard bills for<br>repetitious work | X                  |                       |                 | X                         |
| Bar schedules                                  | X                  |                       |                 | X                         |
| Rate build-ups                                 | X                  |                       |                 | X                         |
| Extend and total bills of quantity             | X                  |                       |                 | X                         |
| Lists of company staff costs<br>and plant      | X                  |                       |                 | X                         |
| Calculate costs of fluctuations                | X                  |                       |                 | X                         |
| Adjust for late quotations                     | X                  |                       |                 | X                         |
| Calculate/plot cashflow analysis               | X                  |                       |                 |                           |
| Reports for management                         | X                  |                       |                 | X                         |
| Adjust individual resources                    |                    |                       |                 | X                         |
| Adjust/distribute mark-up on rates             | X                  |                       |                 | X                         |
| Gross bill for client                          | X                  |                       |                 | X                         |
| Bill of allowances for construction            | X                  |                       |                 | X                         |

- Spreadsheet (limited scope in comparison with a specialist package for estimating, but more flexible).
- Word-processor package.
- Database programme or package with database facilities.
- Specialist package for computer-aided estimating.

## Electronic exchange of information

For traditional contracts, those based on drawings, specifications and bills of quantities, estimators will continue to receive printed documents, for the following reasons:

1. Contractors have various computer applications which are often incompatible with the source files.

## *Estimating and Tendering for Construction Work*

2. Contract practice often dictates that the terms of an offer – the tender documents – are in writing, and signed.
3. There is a reluctance to pioneer the procedures needed to implement the electronic equivalent of tender documents.

Most effort has been concentrated on the main pricing document – the bill of quantities. This is because bills are generally produced on a computer and time can be saved, in the short tender period, by loading bill pages directly into contractors' systems.

In order to improve compatibility between PQS and estimating systems at tender stage, a collaborative project was set up in the mid-1990s to establish tender exchange standards. The Construction Industry Trading Electronically (CITE) initiative, as it is known, publishes a very simple set of rules for those writing (and electronically reading) bills of quantities. The format is based on a plain text file which can be loaded into general purpose software and specialist packages. CITE now provides standards for a range of applications including: invoices, orders and despatch notes.

In the absence of 'electronic' bills of quantity, contractors can feed pages into a scanner, and using text recognition software, load a complete document in far less time than can be achieved manually.

If an estimator does not need descriptions on his screen at tender stage, a printed bill can be input manually with the minimum amount of information needed to build up and control the estimate. The essential data are bill and page references, item references, quantities and units. It is common to enter a 'trade' or 'sort' code at the same time. The trade codes developed for coordinated project information (such as E10 for in-situ concrete) are very convenient because a bill of quantities measured under SMM7 uses the same system. A sort code gives the estimator the facility to print similar items, analyse them and price them together. This procedure is often referred to as 'trade' pricing, and allows others to help an estimator by pricing different trades for a project.

Some clients produce protected spreadsheets, which must be returned in support of a bid. The contractor must input his selling rates but is unable to change the text or formulae. In a similar way, cost plans submitted with bids for NHS Procure 21 and MOD Prime contracting must be based on standard spreadsheet templates. This ensures a consistent approach by all bidders, tenders are easier to compare and the templates can be used to develop cost plans, guaranteed maximum prices and cost control on site.

For design and build and plan and specification contracts a contractor will ensure that a bill of quantities is produced in a form which is wholly compatible with his estimating package. In many cases, the computer-aided estimating system will be used to generate the bill of quantities – a paper copy will not exist.

## Reverse auctions

Organizations reduce costs through online reverse auctions. The auctions work by inviting suppliers to bid for contracts online. Bidders then try to undercut each other's offers, while maintaining the technical requirements of the products or services.

The construction industry has been criticized in the past for being slow to adopt electronic solutions to business needs, but in the case of reverse auctions construction companies have been purchasing goods and services since the late 1990s. Examples include the supply of company cars, mobile phones, and stationery. For large projects, this bidding method has been used for plant, building materials, joinery and standard components such as doors and windows.

Another leader in online bidding is the MoD which spends £9 billion a year through its logistics organization, and could become one of the largest procurers to use reverse auctions. The Royal Mail has started using electronic auctions to cut costs and improve value. The organization wants to process half of its annual £1.5 billion procurement spend through online auctions, but has initially set more modest targets of between £30 million and £100 million for 2003 as it starts to use the technology.

### *What are the features of reverse auctions?*

- Reverse auctions are online competitions, with the bid prices (or relative positions in the bid) visible during the auction.
- Simple products or services where the marketplace is highly competitive are most suitable for reverse auctions, yet any item with clearly defined requirements and more than one source of supply should be considered.
- It is essential that advertisements for competitions to be run on a reverse auction basis state this clearly, along with the criteria for selection.
- The auction, when it takes place, should be conducted on the basis of price only.
- EU public procurement directives do not currently recognize the technique of reverse auctions, but are being amended to do so.

Reverse auctions, also known as 'online bidding', are a means of buying items or services against a published specification where pre-selected supply chain partners are invited to bid in an online auction. All bids made during the auction are published anonymously online, in the expectation that competitive pressure, when bidders see the prices bid, will force prices lower as the auction proceeds. With the exception of ranked auctions in which the bid amounts are not known to

## *Estimating and Tendering for Construction Work*

other bidders. The auction is time limited, but arrangements may be put in place to ensure that if a 'leading' bid is made very close to the timed completion of the auction further time is provided to allow other bids to ensure that the lowest price is obtained. A contract is then awarded to the lowest bidder based on the terms and conditions published at the outset, during the contractor pre-selection phase of the reverse auction.

Reverse auctions rely on competition driving prices down and it therefore follows that the less complex or specialized the goods or service being procured, the greater the chance for a successful auction. Simple commodity items or services which can be clearly defined and have a wide range of potential suppliers will be best suited to the auction process. However, in considering the use of reverse auctions, it is important to ensure that the principles underlying the existing procurement process, namely those of confidentiality, fairness and equity, are maintained.

It is essential that an advertisement for goods or services, where a reverse auction is being considered, clearly states:

- That the ultimate selection may be made on the basis of a reverse auction;
- The evaluation criteria, including any weighting between fixed elements and the variable element of price;
- Information on the process itself including details of any third party service provider;
- Conditions of bidding including the minimum decrements permitted;
- Equipment/technical issues.

Prior to conducting an auction it is necessary to state clearly the specification of the goods or services to be purchased and to pre-select supply chain partners. Pre-selection should cover issues such as technical ability, financial viability, previous industrial supplier history, quality etc. The purchaser must ensure that they are confident that any industrial supplier taking part in the auction will be able to meet their business commitments should they win the auction. Since it would be unreasonable to conduct further checks or negotiations once the auction commences this pre-selection process is crucial and should be undertaken with considerable rigour and well before the auction is due to take place.

The terms and conditions that will apply to the prospective contract must be stated at the outset and accepted by all prospective bidders. For overseas industrial partners, particular attention will be needed to deal with the issues of currency and timing. If the bid is not to be in sterling, the exchange rate will need to be agreed in advance of the auction using an exchange rate calculated in accordance with a pre-agreed mechanism.

EU directives tend to discourage repeat tendering, although it is unclear how this method should be classified. When the EU updates its policies for e-procurement, it is likely that they will make changes to directives to recognize this process.

The customer and supplier should be aware of the benefits of an electronic trading environment but recognize the commitment and responsibilities that arise from a powerful form of procurement. The customer needs to act fairly with accurate information and provide assistance with the invitation to tender; and the supplier must understand the process, and commitment if successful. It is therefore important that the customer selects an experienced IT service provider to assist in the conduct of the auction.

## **Computer-aided estimating packages**

Estimators and their managers have not been slow to recognize the potential of computers to increase the efficiency of the estimating process, but during the 1980s and early 1990s were disappointed with the systems on offer and the problems of implementation. It could be said that contractors and software providers were looking at the problems from opposite perspectives. Contractors wanted software which would mimic their methods when in fact the systems were being developed to make best use of the hardware and programming techniques available. The result was a false start in computing because computers did not match users' expectations.

Some argue that software providers tackled the estimating challenge in the wrong way by creating huge databases of work items in order to mirror all the possible items that could be envisaged in a bill of quantities. This 'price library' approach is fine for taking off and pricing but is at conflict with the way estimators work, particularly when faced with pricing printed bills of quantity.

It is important to distinguish between contracts based on bills of quantities prepared by the client's quantity surveyor and those where only drawings and specifications are available and the estimator needs to assemble his own pricing document.

Printed bills of quantities often have thousands of work items which need to be matched with the coded descriptions in a computer library. This tedious task must be done by an experienced estimator who is also able to recognize and deal with rogue items. The library method can delay the pricing of printed bills of quantities. The counter-argument is that where a contractor carries out work in a certain sector of the construction market many of the work items repeat. The library can be used to build up a series of standard bills of quantities which would need minor changes on each estimate. Perhaps the best use of the library is the production of bills where the tender is based on a specification and drawings. The database of work items prompts the estimator with descriptions and guide prices. The items can be taken off in any order because the software will put the items into the sequence recommended by the standard method of measurement, and print trade bills for sub-contract enquiries.

*Estimating and Tendering for Construction Work*

By the end of the 1980s attempts were being made to answer many of the criticisms, as follows:

- 1. The software reverted to a ‘shell’ arrangement whereby estimators could create a database for the items in the current job only. They no longer attempt to build a comprehensive database for future projects (some standard bills will be kept if similar projects are expected).
- 2. The complex price build-ups have been replaced by simple resource calculations which have a common method of entry for all items of work, for example:

| Amount       | × | Resource type      | × | Cost  | = | Rate |
|--------------|---|--------------------|---|-------|---|------|
| 0.14 (hours) |   | carpenter          |   | 12.50 | = | 1.75 |
| 1.00 (m)     |   | 100 × 50 wallplate |   | 1.10  | = | 1.25 |

The individual resources can be priced either during the entry of the work item or all the resources can be dealt with separately.

- 3. A system of menus is used to guide the estimator around the system and context-sensitive help screens bring relevant advice to the user whenever he needs it. ‘Context-sensitive’ refers to the way in which software will display helpful information about the command that is about to be carried out or may advise a user who is uncertain about how to continue.
- 4. More powerful computers are available with the latest microprocessors and high capacity storage devices with fast access times.
- 5. Menus, help screens and utilities can be called up on the screen using windows of information. Switching between windows allows the estimator to undertake various subsidiary tasks while he is working on the estimate. Data can be copied from one ‘Windows’ application to another.

The number of packages available to estimators has reduced since the start of the new millennium: there were too many providers in the market. Choosing software is still a very difficult process. There are few independent test reports. Claims made in the construction press for estimating packages give similar specifications but users know that their functionality, speed and reliability vary considerably and are very difficult to confirm. A short demonstration by a salesperson is not a reliable way to evaluate a system because deficiencies will be glossed over. It is important to ask about the facilities being offered by various suppliers, and write down which facilities will be of most benefit, see Fig. 20.1. It is unlikely that the software will meet all the needs of a company but some are more flexible in use than others. For example, there are some systems which store their data in database format, which can be output to other databases or sent to a word processor to produce high quality presentations.

Estimating systems may not be able to offer all the features listed in Fig. 20.1 and many estimators will not need all the features. An optical character recognition system will not be needed, for example, by an estimator who inputs bill items by reference to page and item numbers; a Direct Labour Organization may not need facilities for adding labour-only sub-contractors; a small company might not need a multi-user system; a trade specialist may not need a powerful sub-contract comparison system.

Clearly, estimating systems store a great deal of information about a project which can be linked to project planning, buying, valuation and accounting packages; in many ways this is what makes the use of computers worthwhile. The information

| Main characteristics  | Subsidiary characteristics                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |
|-----------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Hardware requirements | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Compatibility</li><li>• Memory requirements</li><li>• Multi-user systems</li><li>• Optical character recognition</li><li>• Digitizer facilities</li></ul>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |
| Price                 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Initial and annual charges</li><li>• Upgrades</li><li>• Telephone support</li></ul>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         |
| Method                | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Entry of bill items (speed and convenience)</li><li>• Data can be imported from spreadsheets</li><li>• Library of standard text and build-ups</li><li>• User-definable library</li></ul>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |
| Help                  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Free online help</li><li>• Context-sensitive help screens</li><li>• User manual</li></ul>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |
| Reports               | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Outputs fixed by software</li><li>• Output designed by estimator</li><li>• Speed of recalculation</li></ul>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
| Specific facilities   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Sub-contract and materials comparisons</li><li>• Labour-only rates substitution for direct labour rates</li><li>• Data can be transferred to a spreadsheet</li><li>• On-screen calculator</li><li>• Sort items by trade or user codes</li><li>• Windows environment</li><li>• Detection of unpriced items</li><li>• Nested work assemblies</li><li>• Recalculation/reporting speeds</li><li>• Checking procedures</li></ul> |

Fig. 20.1 Features checklist for estimating packages

Estimating and Tendering for Construction Work

produced at tender stage may need to be changed once a tender is successful. It would be unsafe to order materials, for example, from tender stage bills of quantities because the drawings may have changed. A project quantity surveyor would wish to insert item descriptions where the estimator did not.

Although many packages use a text-based environment, the Windows interface has become the accepted standard and for some organizations is the principal criterion in selecting a system. Many estimators have expressed their concern at the change to mouse driven software, because they believe it will slow down the entry of data. They may be blind to the advantages such as:

- 1. The facility to pick work items from a list which has been created for standard building types.
- 2. Multiple windows which can be opened within a program to show how changing the figures in one part of the program affect another. In Fig. 20.2 an estimator can see in one window the items he can select for his tender; in the other the selected items are growing into a bill of quantities.
- 3. A number of applications can be ‘open’ at any time. This means that information produced in one program can be copied into another. For example, an estimator




Fig. 20.2 Creating a bill of quantities using a Windows program

may price a bill of quantities using estimating software and list the items needed for project overheads in a spreadsheet designed for the purpose.

4. Estimators in future will develop skills in working within a single user interface where the instructions, menus and help facilities have a similar 'look and feel'.

There is a danger that people can be too concerned with generating data for its own sake. It is commonly said that 'information is an organization's most valuable asset', but do we always need so much information? Estimators are resigned to the fact that most of their reports are ignored once a job is won. There is nevertheless a clear advantage to be gained by making pricing data available to construction staff. The computer will produce the properly priced (net) bill, the commercially priced (gross) bill, and any number of (package or trade) bills for negotiations with sub-contractors. A schedule of material resources can be used by the purchasing department to set up orders with suppliers always remembering that the actual quantities will be determined on site when the construction drawings have been issued. It is worth remembering that computers reduce the clerical effort, reproduce data in a sorted form, but above all cannot do anything that you cannot do manually (in time).

## General purpose software

A desktop computer can handle a vast range of programs and carry out thousands of different tasks. Most software can be purchased off-the-shelf either from a specialist producer or retailer. There are two main types of software: applications programs and systems programs. The kinds of programs available in each category are:

### *Applications programs*

1. General purpose packages (e.g. word processors, spreadsheets, databases and document readers for pdf files).
2. Specialist packages (e.g. estimating, accounts and expert systems).

### *Systems programs*

1. Operating systems (e.g. MS-DOS, Windows and UNIX).
2. Utility programs (e.g. anti-virus software).

One of the most difficult decisions for computer users is whether to buy specialist software which has been tailored for a particular application, or use general purpose programs such as spreadsheets and databases. For example, if an estimator wants to produce a small bill of quantities he can use a word processor, database or spreadsheet. He could alternatively decide to use a specialist bill production package.

## *Estimating and Tendering for Construction Work*

Word processors, spreadsheets and databases are the most popular products in the general purpose software market, closely followed by computer-aided design and project planning.

### *Word processors*

Word processing is an electronic means of making the task of writing easier than using a typewriter or pen and paper. The ease with which changes can be made to a document has helped estimators prepare professional-looking presentations without being competent typists. Any word processor software can reduce the time required to produce a complex typed document, because initial drafts can be edited and corrected so easily. The production of standard documents for enquiry letters, method statements and design/build presentations is much quicker since the introduction of computers and correspondence can be personalized with little effort.

It is not difficult to decide which program to buy. Most well-known packages are suitable for day-to-day use and offer many of the features listed above. Often the safe answer is to choose the market leader because the files will be compatible with many other systems and staff prefer to develop skills which will be widely accepted.

The estimator can make use of the basic word processor features for letters, method statements, quality statements and safety plans, and has particular needs for specification writing and bill production. In building, the National Building Specification is available as simple text files from NBS Services. The subscriber will find that most computer systems are supported by this service, both in terms of disk medium and software. Small bills of quantities are easier to produce using spreadsheet software but where a large unpriced bill is to be written, a word processor will accept many more pages of information. Normally, bill production packages use a database method which overcomes the size limitations.

### *Spreadsheets*

The key ingredient which has led to the widespread acceptance of the personal computer, as something more than a clever typewriter, is the spreadsheet. It is simple to use, and does not try to change the way people undertake their calculations. Most of the repetitious work of an estimator could be computerized without the help of a programmer. The immediate benefit is the fast recalculation of cost plans, priced bills of quantities and data tables. Estimators can test the effect of changing parameters, often referred to as 'what if' calculations.

Some clients issue bills of quantities, or activity schedules, in spreadsheet format so that priced tender documents can be returned with the tender on CD-ROM or by e-mail.

Someone new to spreadsheets should start with those applications that lend themselves to the tabular presentation of data. The most elementary would be:

1. Look-up charts for reinforcement, brickwork, drainage and fixing ironmongery.
2. Small bills of quantities for composite items such as manholes, kerbs and simple house extensions.
3. Domestic sub-contractors' quotation analyses.
4. Early cost plans using costs from previous schemes.

After a little practice, the following could be attempted:

4. All-in hourly rate calculation.
5. Plant rate build-ups.
6. Bills of quantities for standard house types.
7. Look-up charts for more complex rates, such as formwork and disposal of surplus excavated material.

More advanced applications include:

1. Cashflow forecasts.
2. Project overheads schedules.
3. Bills of quantities for uncomplicated commercial and industrial buildings, and plant foundations.
4. Reinforcement schedules.

The examples shown in Figs 20.3 and 20.4 were produced by a groundworks sub-contractor to create quick look-up charts for pricing bills of quantities. The item highlighted in Fig. 20.3 shows the total rate for formwork to beams where the fix and strike time is 1.70 hours and the estimator expects four uses of the shutter. The highlighted cell in Fig. 20.4 is a rate for excavating 1 m of trench for a 150 mm diameter pipe, 1.75 m deep to invert including disposal to a tip 8 km from site.

The estimator can change any of the data at the top of the page and the total rates change within seconds. These applications show that a spreadsheet can closely mimic the traditional methods used to produce rates, but do so at much greater speed, with clear presentation; however, as with all computer methods these still need careful interpretation by an experienced estimator.

Anyone who is used to dealing with figures will soon be charmed with the power of such sophisticated software, and will be able to test various theories to arrive at the best condition or price. There are, however, many dangers awaiting the unwary estimator. The problems arise when:

1. The estimator who builds a spreadsheet model fails to produce a foolproof design, or carries out inadequate checks.

Estimating and Tendering for Construction Work

| FORMWORK                   |                           | Project: |       | LIFEBOAT STATION        |        | DATE: JUN 04 |       |               |               |      |
|----------------------------|---------------------------|----------|-------|-------------------------|--------|--------------|-------|---------------|---------------|------|
| ENTER BASIC DATA           |                           |          |       |                         |        |              |       |               |               |      |
| Carpenter (all-in rate/hr) |                           | £12.50   |       | ASSUMPTIONS             |        |              |       |               |               |      |
| Labourer (all-in rate/hr)  |                           | £9.50    |       | Waste %                 |        |              |       |               |               |      |
| Plywood cost per sheet     |                           | £20.00   |       | Consumables             |        |              |       |               |               |      |
| Softwood cost per m³       |                           | £200.00  |       | making                  |        |              |       |               |               |      |
| Percentage additions       |                           |          |       | fixing                  |        |              |       |               |               |      |
|                            |                           |          |       | 9.00 ..%                |        |              |       |               |               |      |
|                            |                           |          |       | 0.08 ..xcraft rate      |        |              |       |               |               |      |
|                            |                           |          |       | 0.07 ..xcraft rate      |        |              |       |               |               |      |
| LAB                        | for travelling            | 0.00     |       | make<br>timber<br>plant | Founds | Soffit       | Beams | Walls         | Columns       |      |
|                            | for fluctuations          | 5.00     |       |                         | 0.80   | 0.50         | 1.00  | 0.95          | 0.80 ..hrs/m² |      |
|                            | for o/heads & profit      | 10.00    |       |                         | 0.04   | 0.04         | 0.05  | 0.05          | 0.04 ..m³/m²  |      |
|                            | for discount to MC        | 2.50     |       |                         | 0.44   | 4.00         | 1.10  | 1.05          | 0.85 ..£/m²   |      |
|                            | Total mark up on labour   | =        | 1.18  |                         |        |              |       |               |               |      |
| MAT/PLT                    | for fluctuations          | 5.00     |       | Lab assist handling     |        | (hrs)        |       | 0.15 ..hrs/m² |               |      |
|                            | for o/heads & profit      | 10.00    |       |                         |        |              |       |               |               |      |
|                            | for discount to MC        | 2.50     |       |                         |        |              |       |               |               |      |
|                            | Total mark up for MAT/PLT | =        | 1.18  |                         |        |              |       |               |               |      |
| HOURS TO FIX AND STRIKE    |                           |          |       | 1.20                    | 1.30   | 1.40         | 1.50  | 1.60          | 1.70          | 2.00 |
| ONE USE                    | Founds                    | 46.88    | 49.23 | 51.59                   | 53.94  | 56.29        | 58.94 | 64.25         |               |      |
|                            | Soffits                   | 47.39    | 49.76 | 52.13                   | 54.50  | 56.87        | 59.53 | 64.86         |               |      |
|                            | Beams                     | 52.37    | 54.89 | 57.41                   | 59.93  | 62.44        | 65.31 | 70.79         |               |      |
|                            | Walls                     | 51.70    | 54.19 | 56.69                   | 59.19  | 61.69        | 64.52 | 69.98         |               |      |
|                            | Columns                   | 48.49    | 50.89 | 53.29                   | 55.69  | 58.09        | 60.80 | 66.16         |               |      |
| TWO USES                   | Founds                    | 35.79    | 37.63 | 39.47                   | 41.31  | 43.15        | 44.99 | 49.97         |               |      |
|                            | Soffits                   | 37.89    | 39.77 | 41.65                   | 43.54  | 45.42        | 47.30 | 52.34         |               |      |
|                            | Beams                     | 39.26    | 41.17 | 43.08                   | 44.99  | 46.90        | 48.81 | 53.90         |               |      |
|                            | Walls                     | 38.85    | 40.75 | 42.65                   | 44.56  | 46.46        | 48.36 | 53.43         |               |      |
|                            | Columns                   | 36.92    | 38.78 | 40.64                   | 42.50  | 44.37        | 46.23 | 51.24         |               |      |
| THREE USES                 | Founds                    | 31.18    | 32.80 | 34.41                   | 36.03  | 37.64        | 39.25 | 43.83         |               |      |
|                            | Soffits                   | 33.94    | 35.58 | 37.23                   | 38.87  | 40.51        | 42.15 | 46.75         |               |      |
|                            | Beams                     | 33.82    | 35.46 | 37.10                   | 38.74  | 40.38        | 42.02 | 46.62         |               |      |
|                            | Walls                     | 33.52    | 35.15 | 36.79                   | 38.43  | 40.07        | 41.70 | 46.30         |               |      |
|                            | Columns                   | 32.11    | 33.73 | 35.36                   | 36.98  | 38.60        | 40.23 | 44.81         |               |      |
| FOUR USES                  | Founds                    | 28.76    | 30.24 | 31.72                   | 33.20  | 34.68        | 36.16 | 40.60         |               |      |
|                            | Soffits                   | 31.87    | 33.35 | 34.83                   | 36.31  | 37.79        | 39.27 | 43.71         |               |      |
|                            | Beams                     | 30.96    | 32.44 | 33.92                   | 35.40  | 36.88        | 38.36 | 42.80         |               |      |
|                            | Walls                     | 30.72    | 32.20 | 33.68                   | 35.15  | 36.63        | 38.11 | 42.55         |               |      |
|                            | Columns                   | 29.59    | 31.07 | 32.55                   | 34.03  | 35.51        | 36.99 | 41.43         |               |      |
| FIVE USES                  | Founds                    | 27.21    | 28.69 | 30.17                   | 31.65  | 33.13        | 34.61 | 39.05         |               |      |
|                            | Soffits                   | 30.54    | 32.02 | 33.50                   | 34.98  | 36.46        | 37.94 | 42.38         |               |      |
|                            | Beams                     | 29.12    | 30.60 | 32.08                   | 33.56  | 35.04        | 36.52 | 40.96         |               |      |
|                            | Walls                     | 28.92    | 30.40 | 31.88                   | 33.36  | 34.84        | 36.32 | 40.76         |               |      |
|                            | Columns                   | 27.97    | 29.45 | 30.93                   | 32.41  | 33.89        | 35.37 | 39.81         |               |      |
| SIX USES                   | Founds                    | 26.18    | 27.66 | 29.14                   | 30.62  | 32.10        | 33.58 | 38.02         |               |      |
|                            | Soffits                   | 29.65    | 31.13 | 32.61                   | 34.09  | 35.57        | 37.05 | 41.49         |               |      |
|                            | Beams                     | 27.90    | 29.38 | 30.86                   | 32.34  | 33.82        | 35.30 | 39.74         |               |      |
|                            | Walls                     | 27.72    | 29.20 | 30.68                   | 32.16  | 33.64        | 35.12 | 39.56         |               |      |
|                            | Columns                   | 26.89    | 28.37 | 29.85                   | 31.33  | 32.81        | 34.29 | 38.73         |               |      |

Fig. 20.3 Example of a spreadsheet template for formwork

| DRAINAGE                |          | Project:                       | LIFEBOAT STATION            |                       | Date: JUN 04 |  |
|-------------------------|----------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|--------------|--|
| <b>ENTER BASIC DATA</b> |          |                                | Assumption (can be changed) |                       |              |  |
|                         | rates/hr |                                | 0–1.5m                      | 1.75–2.75             | 3m+          |  |
| Margin %                | 10       |                                | -----                       | -----                 | -----        |  |
| Labourer                | £9.50    |                                |                             |                       |              |  |
| NWRA                    | £0.20    | Rate of dig m <sup>3</sup> /hr | 12                          | 8                     | 6            |  |
| Excavator               | £6.50    | Earth support cost             | £1.80                       | £2.10                 | £2.50        |  |
| Fuel                    | £3.65    | Grade and ram cost             | £0.40                       | £0.45                 | £0.50        |  |
| Operator plus rate      | £0.40    |                                |                             |                       |              |  |
| Pump                    | £1.50    | Distance to tip                | .....                       | 8 km                  |              |  |
| Compactor               | £1.50    | Tip charges                    | .....                       | £7.00 /m <sup>3</sup> |              |  |
| 16t lorry (all-in)      | £21.00   | (or work to tip)               |                             |                       |              |  |

| DRAIN EXCAVATION |         | Pipe sizes (mm) |         |         |         |         |
|------------------|---------|-----------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
|                  |         | 100–150         | 225–300 | 375–450 | 525–600 | 675–750 |
| DEPTH            | width > | 0.60            | 0.70    | 1.10    | 1.25    | 1.45    |
| 0.50             |         | 4.94            | 5.43    | 7.40    | 8.14    | 9.13    |
| 0.75             |         | 7.28            | 7.99    | 10.86   | 11.94   | 13.38   |
| 1.00             |         | 9.61            | 10.56   | 14.32   | 15.74   | 17.62   |
| 1.25             |         | 11.95           | 13.12   | 17.78   | 19.53   | 21.87   |
| 1.50             |         | 14.29           | 15.68   | 21.24   | 23.33   | 26.11   |
|                  | width > | 0.70            | 0.80    | 1.20    | 1.40    | 1.60    |
| 1.75             |         | 21.18           | 23.05   | 30.53   | 34.27   | 38.01   |
| 2.00             |         | 24.15           | 26.28   | 34.81   | 39.07   | 43.33   |
| 2.25             |         | 27.13           | 29.52   | 39.08   | 43.86   | 48.65   |
| 2.50             |         | 30.11           | 32.76   | 43.36   | 48.66   | 53.96   |
| 2.75             |         | 33.08           | 35.99   | 47.64   | 53.46   | 59.28   |
|                  | width > | 0.80            | 0.90    | 1.30    | 1.50    | 1.70    |
| 3.00             |         | 45.33           | 48.93   | 63.35   | 70.55   | 77.76   |
| 3.25             |         | 49.07           | 52.97   | 68.57   | 76.36   | 84.16   |
| 3.50             |         | 52.81           | 57.00   | 73.78   | 82.17   | 90.56   |
| 3.75             |         | 56.55           | 61.04   | 79.00   | 87.98   | 96.97   |
| 4.00             |         | 60.29           | 65.08   | 84.22   | 93.80   | 103.37  |

Fig. 20.4 Example of a spreadsheet template for drainage excavation

## *Estimating and Tendering for Construction Work*

2. Another estimator inadvertently changes data in a model or erases a formula by entering a number in a formula cell.

Often a user is unable to spot mistakes in his own spreadsheet; he is more inclined to believe the results when they are presented on a computer printout. The effect of using inaccurate answers from such calculations could be ruinous in a tender. The following guidelines will help to prevent such errors:

1. Start by planning the general requirements with a sketch showing the labels and layout of the spreadsheet. The optimum size of a spreadsheet will depend on sensible file size for sending to recipients by e-mail, and the data an operator will want to see either on the screen or close to the edges. Information can be broken down into a number of worksheets within a single workbook.
2. Adopt a modular approach whereby the layout will include separate identifiable sections, such as:
  - (a) An instruction portion.
  - (b) An area where the user can change data freely.
  - (c) The results or summary section.

These sections could be created in different (but linked) files, on pages of a multiple spreadsheet, or in different parts of the screen display.

3. Protect formulae from accidental erasure or amendment, by putting them in the results area of the spreadsheet. It is also possible to make a formula secure by using the password protection feature found on most versions of the program.
4. Check that the numbers representing money are not only rounded to two decimal places for display purposes but also for subsequent calculations in the model. The reason for this check is that although the spreadsheet has been instructed to show two decimal places it usually keeps a more accurate number in the computer's memory.
5. Carry out simple checks using data from previous manual systems. Other estimators could be asked to test the model to find any bugs or misleading instructions.

Above all, where more than one person is going to use the spreadsheet, keep the design simple.

Spreadsheet programs now offer a safe choice for organizations which recognize the need to introduce computers to their staff cautiously and at low cost. They are powerful in the rapid production of cost information which is usually in tabular form. The estimator can create spreadsheets which are an amalgam of his expert knowledge by holding the production information which he has collected.

Once a format has been created (and saved) for a particular purpose, it is referred to as a template. This is because the layout and formulae will usually be fixed, but the data variables can be changed.

Most estimators will be inspired to extend the range of applications and build a valuable selection of templates, to suit their own needs and methods of working. On average an estimator will take about six hours to build a template if he is familiar with the software.

## Databases

A database is a computerized filing system, the electronic equivalent of a card index or filing cabinet. A database program allows the user to file information and retrieve it in many ways. Perhaps the most common example is a list of names and addresses. When a list has been built up it is called a *file* of data. A file can be displayed as a list on the screen, sorted into order, searched for individual pieces of information and printed. Figure 20.5 shows a typical *record* which is the basic building block of a database. Each record contains a number of *fields* of information, such as the name field or postcode field.

A simple database can be set up in a few hours. The user first designs the layout for the record screen defining the fields which are needed. Second, the program can be used to produce printouts in different formats. For example, an address file could be printed with a list of names in the first column and telephone numbers in the next. Before printing lists of data, the program could be asked to sort all the records into alphabetical order; or search for all the suppliers in a particular town or district. The program can also create and store a standard letter so that names and addresses can be merged with text to prepare letters for a selective mail shot, for example. More powerful packages offer much greater scope for



Fig. 20.5 Terms used in a simple database program

## *Estimating and Tendering for Construction Work*

manipulating and analysing data and include their own programming language used to develop more sophisticated applications.

The range of applications is large in an estimator's office, from elementary filing tasks to the complex manipulation of data. Examples include:

1. Address lists for suppliers and sub-contractors.
2. Drawing registers.
3. Tender registers.
4. Marketing information.
5. Cost planning data.
6. Bill production and pricing.

There are many national databases available to construction organizations using an Internet connection. Usually the user is expected to pay an annual subscription. Estimators seldom develop database applications because the most common database functions (sort and search, for example) are readily provided in spreadsheets.

## *Planning*

It would be quite straightforward to produce tender programmes using paper, pencil and a calculator. An estimator's programme is rarely used during the construction phase but will be an important tool to assess project overheads and undertake a cashflow analysis. Where a planning engineer produces the preliminary programme, the continuity is likely to be stronger and the programme may well form the basis of a control/monitoring document.

There are several reasons for using project planning software to aid manual systems at tender stage:

1. It may be easier to keep complex projects under control.
2. The program will calculate resource loadings and plot resource histograms.
3. The critical activities can be identified which might affect the workforce levels used for pricing.
4. High quality charts and clear presentations could impress a client at tender stage.

There are many planning packages available today; some such as CS Project give a wide range of powerful features and flexibility to implement the software in different ways. There are others that offer the most common features at lower cost. 'PowerProject' or 'MS Project', for example, both have excellent presentation features and yet are easy to use. The estimator will look for software which will show the overall project duration (starting from submission of tender) highlight critical activities and allow labour and plant resources to be analysed.

## Graphics

Graphical software is used for three main purposes:

1. Business graphics – the graphical representation of data drawn from information in a spreadsheet or database.
2. Desktop publishing – the in-house design and publication of leaflets and forms, usually with the aid of a laser printer.
3. Computer-aided design (CAD) usually output to high quality plotter.

It is estimated that 60% of the total cost of design work is attributable to freehand drawing and detailed line drawing. For this reason CAD has become popular with consultants and contractors alike. The production gain depends on the type of work being designed and the degree of repetition; the estimate for construction is in the range 2:1 to 15:1. The main problem is that the initial investment can be very high. On top of the cost of workstations and plotters must be added a large investment in training. Training and lost productivity can cost as much as hardware and software.

There are many software packages available for desktop computers, to do simple line drawing, rendering and 3D modelling. Industry leaders AutoDesk produce the AutoCAD design package with an architectural add-in, which incorporates the symbol and layering conventions of BS1192. Most packages offer features such as the ability to scale drawings up and down in size, adding text and dimensions, keeping shape libraries, and of interest to an estimator is the ability to attach prices to certain items within a drawing.

## Document readers

The most common type of document reader is free software for viewing and printing Portable Document Format (PDF) files. Adobe Reader<sup>®</sup> version 6.0, for example, can also be used for viewing high fidelity *ebooks* for Windows, Palm and Pocket PC platforms.

Portable document format is widely used for issuing tender documents on CD-ROM or by e-mail. As a means of communicating formal documents it has the following advantages:

1. Information cannot normally be edited.
2. Pages can be indexed and accessed by hyper-links embedded in text.
3. Password protection prevents unauthorized access.

## **Shareware and low-cost software**

Choosing an estimating system for an organization is a difficult task which fills some purchasers with fear. A few system providers lend their prospective clients a system for on-site evaluation and a small number sell a demonstration disk. There is another group of software, called shareware, mainly written in the USA, which is distributed on a 'try before you buy' basis. Shareware software may be copied and distributed freely, but if after trying the program, you find a need for it, you are asked to contribute towards the cost of development by registering your copy with the author. Because shareware authors have low advertising budgets and few employees, the registration fee is low and always lower than comparable commercial software. For educational establishments, the attractions of shareware are obvious. Students can copy the software which they use on the college computers for use at home, and for a small fee can register their program and receive a manual and the latest update. Great care is needed, though, where software is exchanged freely because this is a cause of viruses infecting computers.

For general purpose use there are some excellent shareware and low cost estimating systems, spreadsheets and word processors available which can be evaluated and used with very little financial outlay. The quality of specialist estimating (bidding) software is questionable for construction in the UK. Many of the programs have been written for specialist trades such as sheet metal workers or electrical sub-contractors. When you find a comprehensive program, such as PC-Estimator, you will not be able to use the cost database because it uses the American CSI format and prices. This will be of interest to anyone looking into the cost of building in the US, and all the rates can be changed if you wish to tailor the system to your needs. The most serious omissions from low-cost software are:

1. Sub-contractors' quotations cannot be entered against a group of items (comparison system) and the software will not transfer sub-contract rates to the tender automatically.
2. There are no material schedules. Rates for materials are entered into standard build-ups, or when the estimate is produced.
3. The facility to add a waste factor is missing; presumably waste must be part of the basic material rates.

These are significant problems. There are, however, some useful functions which are not always available in full-price packages.

## **Hardware**

A basic computer system is made up of the computer itself, a keyboard, monitor and storage devices including at least one floppy disk drive and a hard disk drive.

An estimator will need to add some 'peripheral' devices to suit the demands of the software he is going to use and the need to produce quality presentations. A 'desktop' personal computer (PC) is characterized by the 'three-box' design; the system unit, monitor and keyboard. Laptop computers now offer the power of a PC including a 3.5" disk drive, hard disk, high resolution LCD screen and CD-ROM.

A typical specification for a desktop computer is shown in Fig. 20.6. Most of these facilities are available in a laptop computer, but at a higher price. A laptop computer can be justified for an estimator working at different offices and at home.

The most important part of a computer system is the software, because it is this that dictates what can and cannot be done. On the other hand, now that there is a vast range of software which can run on a standard microcomputer, people prefer to select the PC first. Of the distinct groups of computer available today, the most popular is the IBM compatible. In design offices, there is still a loyal band of Apple Mac users who require enhanced graphic production facilities. As computers continue to become more powerful, and new software is written to make use of the increased power, a computer buyer should consider getting an upgradable PC. Many manufacturers offer PCs designed to allow the motherboard and the processor to be easily removed and replaced.

The optional devices, called 'peripheral' because they operate outside the central processing unit, will depend on what the computer will be used for, what software will be run on it, and to some extent the PC configuration such as the type of display. The most important output device is the printer, which will be either an inkjet (colour) or laser (black and white) printer. For estimating reports, laser printers offer quicker printing (up to 12 pages per minute) and higher capacity refills. Laser printers take data from the PC and build up an image of the page in their own internal memory. When the image is complete, the page is printed by firing a laser on a photosensitive drum. The process is then similar to that of a photocopier.

There has been much interest in input devices which can save estimators time. The obvious solution would be to send contractors bills of quantities in a standard format, on a CD-ROM or as a file sent electronically with an e-mail. Efforts have been made to use optical character recognition software, but checking and correcting documents can be very time consuming.

If an estimator wants to input a printed bill of quantities into an estimating system he will usually enter the item references and a shortened description. Sometimes a full copy of a bill is input using an optical character reader. Optical character recognition (OCR) software is able to recognize characters on a piece of paper and reproduce the text in an estimating program, database or word processor. There is some concern in the construction industry that time must be set aside for checking the computer version of the bill and the use of an OCR device is not worthwhile until the benefits are clear and the technology improves. Others have predicted that scanners will gradually disappear from use because electronic data transfer is so much quicker.

Estimating and Tendering for Construction Work

|    |                                          |
|----|------------------------------------------|
| 1  | 3600 MHz processor                       |
| 2  | 1024 MB DDR RAM                          |
| 3  | 1.44 MB 3.5" floppy disk drive           |
| 4  | 160 GB 7200 rpm hard disk drive          |
| 5  | DVD-ROM drive/52 × CD Re-writer drive    |
| 6  | 128 MB graphics card                     |
| 7  | 17" TFT flat screen monitor              |
| 8  | 5 free PCI expansion slots               |
| 9  | 350 W power supply                       |
| 10 | 56k V92 Modem                            |
| 11 | 10/100 ethernet for networking           |
| 12 | 4 USB2 ports                             |
| 13 | Microsoft compatible wheel optical mouse |




Fig. 20.6 Typical specification for a desktop computer

A digitizer is used to take measurements from a drawing. Some of the features of a digitizer are:

1. Automatic scale adjustment – useful if the software with a digitizer can accurately compensate for reduced or photocopied plans based on known dimensions found on a drawing.

2. Calculation modes to measure irregular shapes and angles.
3. Compatibility with existing equipment and spreadsheets.
4. Automatic transfer of measurements to quantity field in database.
5. Switchable between imperial and metric scales.

The digitizer software is usually menu-driven using an overlay window over the taking-off program. An audit trail can be kept of every measurement so the take-off can be checked manually.

Mass-produced software is distributed on CD-ROMs. 'CD-ROM', which is an acronym for Compact Disc Read-Only Memory, uses the same technology as audio music discs. A 12 cm disc can hold up to 600 Mbytes of data – the equivalent of over 400 average capacity floppy disks. The term Read-Only Memory means the user cannot modify the CD to add or change data. CD-ROM drives, which can write and read data, are generally available but many use disks that can only be used once. The potential of CD-ROM technology is exciting, not only because of the vast amounts of data which can be stored on a single disc, but the data can represent anything from basic text files and graphics to moving video images and sound, making CD-ROM a powerful information medium.

## Networking

What is the networking revolution and how does it affect businesses today? The aim is to maintain a competitive edge by making use of the latest information through investing in new technology. A network can be seen as two or more computers linked together, sharing data files, software applications, hardware (including printers and backup devices) and links outside the office.

Electronic mail can be received while the estimator is working on a tender; other estimators can be inputting data for the current estimate and the buyer can access relevant items for enquiries to be sent to suppliers and sub-contractors – electronically. This is all achieved by storing information electronically with a corresponding reduction in handling and sending copies of project documents.

Where networks link computers within a limited area, such as within an estimating department, or head office building, it is called a Local Area Network (LAN). If an organization is linked to outside networks, the transition is seamless, and information can be shared with anyone, regardless of location across the world. By linking the network in this way, a Wide Area Network (WAN) is created.

There were many examples in the 1980s and early 1990s of estimators and buyers creating their own databases of names and addresses, for example, but other PC users could not share the information. For a large company, the benefits of networking include rapid communication throughout the organization (usually

## *Estimating and Tendering for Construction Work*

by e-mail) and the sharing of equipment such as printers, scanners and backup devices. In addition this leads to lower maintenance and IT management costs.

Electronic mail (e-mail) is a cheap and quick means of sending messages to colleagues, customers and suppliers. Messages can be left for others to read either because they are not available or the information is urgently required in written form. With a couple of simple menu selections e-mail can also be copied to a number of people within an organization. Estimators can obtain late specifications or bill pages as an attachment to an e-mail message. This facility is commonly used by estimators to assemble specifications and in-house designs for design and build tenders. There may be a project programme to incorporate in a presentation document and CVs can be sent from the personnel department. All this data can be received electronically and assembled on a computer, to produce a presentation document to accompany a tender.

Voice mail, although not strictly networking, provides for the storage of simple messages when people are away from their desks for holidays or meetings. Telephone answering can be provided for all extensions in an office, each person providing an individual greeting.

For any system, hardware components can be added to existing desktop PCs to create a network. Most networks have a central computer called a 'server' and all desktop computers connect to it. The server stores the application software and data files. Each desktop computer (client) is linked to the server in order to access files and applications. In a busy estimating office it is important that each estimator has access to any tender – from his desk – and the software is installed on the server. It is therefore far simpler to install updated software in one location and anyone who has difficulty meeting tender dates can call for help from colleagues. The network can reduce investment in a wide range of peripherals including printers, scanners, CD-ROM drives and backup devices since very often only one of each is required.

For access to millions of computers throughout the world, and information provided by millions of organizations, the Internet represents an enormous resource, in two ways:

1. By access to the World Wide Web (WWW) a contractor can access 'pages' which can contain all sorts of media including: pictures, text, sounds and video. The WWW provides a service which is open and easily accessible to anyone. In order to assist users in finding information on a particular subject, in such a vast system, there are search engines such as Google, which will help to locate web pages with relevant data. Estimators often need up-to-date directories in order to find company information and technical literature. They no longer need shelves filled with telephone directories and technical libraries.
2. By expanding a local e-mail service beyond the company network, communication can be made with any customer, consultant, supplier, trade contractor or satellite office which has Internet access.

The construction industry has been slow to embrace e-commerce (business carried out by means of networks, mainly the Internet). The UK Government and national trade associations are looking at strategies to educate all members in the supply chain to embrace the benefits of trading electronically. As with most initiatives, the Government has to lead by example, and has started by making most of its services available online. As a major client of the construction industry, the Government has set targets for procuring its goods electronically.

## Implementation

Computer-aided estimating is not a single program or technique but the development of opportunities provided by the computer and software providers. This development will take place under the guidance of an information technology strategy produced by the organization's business managers.

A chief estimator needs to look at what the company is doing now and what it hopes to achieve in the future. He might ask himself these questions and put the answers in order of priority:

1. Why use computers?
  - To communicate with clients, consultants and suppliers.
  - To produce post-tender data.
  - To build up an accurate estimate of cost.
  - To allow tender adjustments to be made to an estimate, conveniently and accurately.
  - To reduce manual calculations.
  - To store standard models of bills and cost plans.
2. What are the basic needs?
  - Flexibility with different tender documentation.
  - Flexibility for projects with different time-scales.
  - Networking including access to e-mail and the Internet.
  - Hardware/software compatibility.
  - Uniformity of reports for basic resources, review meetings and post-tender allowances.
3. How do I select a system?
  - Attend a sales exhibition.
  - Ask for technical literature.
  - Find out what the estimators want.
  - Tell a supplier what you want.
  - Consult an independent expert.
  - Speak to other chief estimators.

## *Estimating and Tendering for Construction Work*

4. What is *not* wanted?
  - Long, meaningless item code numbers.
  - Too many menus to change resources.
  - Long recalculation times.
5. What *is* wanted?
  - Company-specific reports.
  - Windows.
  - Context-sensitive help windows.
  - Rapid editing and deleting of bill items and prices.
  - Powerful sub-contract comparison system.
  - Laser printer support.
  - Checking for unpriced items.
  - Clear reference manual.

One of the most difficult decisions is the scope of implementation. Should all estimators be introduced to new software, at the same time? Can there be a combination of general purpose and specialist packages? No one solution will suit all organizations. Early attempts at implementation brought many difficulties and a cautious, flexible and evolutionary approach became the favoured solution. Now a full commitment is needed to install a networked system linked to valuation, costing and reporting software.

There will be some estimators who readily take to computers with the minimum of help; others might need training which can be conducted in-house or at a local college. A general understanding of desktop computing is a valuable skill, which is beginning to appear in new entrants to the industry. Estimators have not been slow to recognize the benefits of computers as an aid to their work but have been cautious about the systems offered in the early days of personal computing.

## **The future**

Computers are already an integral part of the estimating process. They help with the calculations and analysis of estimates, and produce clear reports for the construction team where the tender has been successful. Computer systems for estimating will gradually develop with the introduction of better hardware and user-friendly software. The first dramatic change will happen when tender documents (drawings, schedules or bills of quantities) are communicated to the contractor electronically. The two obstacles are training and compatibility of consultants' and contractors' systems.

Training is as important for the client's advisers as it is for estimators. Now that general purpose software is available in most offices, the most successful developments are where people's enthusiasm is channelled to speed up the operation

of the company's procedures. Since computing skills are better taught through practical examples, training can take place at work without the need for time off at college. Clearly all estimators must have some computing skills and should feel comfortable using general purpose software.

Both quantity surveyors and estimators have made a start but have approached the tender process from different standpoints. Quantity surveyors are naturally concerned with describing and quantifying the items of work for a project and the contractor needs to attach resources and prices to it. So quantity surveying software is designed to handle lists of work items in a structured form, whereas the estimator uses lists of resources which he can assign to the work. Coding methods have been tried but no common numbering system has emerged for general use and people resist codes as a way of entering and finding items. The answer might be for consultants to list activities using database software, and the database program would be distributed with the data. The contractor could then select items for sending to sub-contractors and price the rest of the work without the need for his own software. The main benefits would be:


1. The time saved by the contractors entering bills of quantities into their computers.
2. Amendments could be sent on disk or by electronic mail.
3. Priced bills could be returned with the tender in the form of a database file which would be transferred to a spreadsheet for checking and analysis of rates, and cashflow predictions.
4. The database file would be used for valuation purposes during construction.

This idea is not new, and has been pioneered by some consultants, mainly in civil engineering. The main obstacle is the use by contractors of different computer-aided estimating software which is often linked to cost reporting systems. Contractors would also be reluctant to submit all their calculations used to build up a tender.

There are several questions for the future which are constantly raised:

1. *Will computers replace estimators?*

There have not been any reports of computers successfully replacing estimators but the information produced by estimators can be more comprehensive, and complex changes can be made to the estimate before the tender is submitted. There are many duties of an estimator which computers cannot replace: in particular the many decisions made at each stage of a tender, site visits, discussions with sub-contractors, interpretation of ground conditions, access restrictions, best use of resources, and so on. Clearly the role of the estimator and his assistant is changing to adapt to the use of computers (see Fig. 20.7). The estimator will have time to produce more estimates or look in greater depth at the methods and resources for the contracts he wants to win.



**Fig. 20.7** The roles of the estimator and estimating assistant using computer systems

2. *Are computer systems appropriate for operational estimating?*

At the heart of most computer-aided estimating systems is the facility to price individual items of work, as listed in the bill of quantities. This method is well understood for building work but is difficult to use when a group of items must be priced as a single operation. An alternative approach for some civil engineering projects may be the use of resourced programmes generated with planning software. In this way, resources attached to each activity are scheduled and costed without the need to produce unit rates. If a conventional estimating package is used, it will produce resource summaries, which can be compared with a resourced programme. For example, the computer might show that an excavator has been allowed for one and a half weeks on a contract which clearly needs an excavator for two weeks. This information was difficult to draw out of the pricing notes using manual methods.

3. *How will expert systems aid the estimator?*

In simple terms, an expert system can be described as having three parts: a user interface, a knowledge base (containing facts, rules and questions) and an inference engine which can draw on the knowledge base to make deductions about a particular problem. There have been few off-the-shelf expert system shells designed for the PC. A shell, comprising the user interface and inference engine, enables the user to input the knowledge part of a system.

In estimating, expert systems have been developed for early cost planning of industrial and commercial buildings. A client or his professional adviser can build up a cost model of a proposed building by answering a series of pre-selected questions, in a similar way to the many fault diagnosis systems used in engineering and medicine. This approach could be used for some of the important decisions made by estimators and managers. The construction industry has been slow to exploit expert systems and it is unlikely that they will replace general purpose and estimating software. Estimators will continue to use spreadsheets and database systems to schedule and price construction work.

4. *What do estimators want?*

Advances in technology will please estimators when large flat screens will replace CRT monitors; CD-ROMs and the Internet will be used to look up supplier and sub-contractor's details and price lists; bills of quantities will be received electronically or on disk and tenders will be submitted in the same way. Journals will print independent test reports of estimating software, and eventually voice recognition systems will allow estimators to talk to their computers doing away with the typewriter keyboard and other electronic input devices. This could be impractical, of course, in open-plan offices.

Clearly, the implementation of computers to aid the estimating function has not been easy. In fact there is evidence that some contractors have abandoned their

## *Estimating and Tendering for Construction Work*

early attempts at introducing estimating systems and are now waiting for evidence of clear improvements before making a new start in computer-aided estimating. What they must recognize is that with greatly enhanced hardware many of the drawbacks of database estimating software have disappeared.

For some contractors, estimating software is used to produce site budgets only on those tenders that are successful, that is after the tender has been submitted. This is not as absurd as it seems. The effort required to get the bill of quantities in the computer is much more worthwhile if the bid is successful and every part of the tender can be allocated to sub-contract or direct work packages. All the adjustments made during the final review meeting can be incorporated in the costs and clear printouts can be produced for the construction team.

It is perhaps ironic that many of the industry's commentators greeted the last two decades of the twentieth century as the time when the construction industry would see an end to the use of bills of quantities and the emergence of computer systems which could replace much of the work of estimators. We now know that both these predictions were wrong. Bills of quantities remain in everyday use and it is still difficult to form a clear computing strategy for estimating.

Estimators will continue to develop their computing skills but they will not have one computer system which will meet all their needs. Experience now tells us that computers will not replace estimators; they will always be needed to predict with a reasonable degree of accuracy the costs of construction. What might change is their name and in some instances their status. With the drive towards greater economy, some estimating duties will be carried out by clerks, assistants and specialist buyers. On the other hand, the range of skills required by an estimator has grown. He needs to manage a team which includes quantity surveying, operational and purchasing staff, for projects using a variety of contracts. The aim is to establish the sum of money, time and other conditions required to complete the specified construction work.

For bigger projects, estimating is undergoing two changes:

1. Cost planning is taking the place of bills of quantities and analytical pricing.
2. Projects managers are managing bids and providing the interface with the client.

With these changes, estimating software needs to provide for the needs of approximate estimating techniques, there will be far less form-filling during the tender period, and all written communications will be by e-mail.

# Further reading

---

- Aqua Group (1999) *Tenders and Contracts for Building*, 2nd edition, Blackwell Science
- Aqua Group (2002) *Pre-contract practice and contract administration for the building team*, 8th edition, Blackwell Science
- Ashworth, A. and Skitmore, R.M. (1982) Accuracy in estimating. Occasional Paper No. 27, the Chartered Institute of Building
- Ashworth, A. and Willis, J. (2002) *Pre-contract studies development economics, tendering and estimating*, Blackwell Science
- Ashworth, A. (1999) *Cost studies of buildings*, Pearson Higher Education
- Buchan, R., Grant, F. and Fleming, E. (2003) *Estimating for Builders and Quantity Surveyors*, 2nd edition, Butterworth-Heinemann
- Chartered Institute of Building (1978) *Information required before estimating: a code of procedure supplementing the code of estimating practice*
- Chartered Institute of Building (1981) *The Practice of Estimating* (compiled and edited by P. Harlow)
- Chartered Institute of Building (1997) *Code of Estimating Practice*, 6th edition. Co-published with Addison Wesley Longman
- Chartered Institute of Building (1987) *Code of Estimating Practice*, Supplement No. 1, *Refurbishment and modernization*
- Chartered Institute of Building (1988) *Code of Estimating Practice*, Supplement No. 2, *Design and build*
- Chartered Institute of Building (1989) *Code of Estimating Practice*, Supplement No. 3, *Management contracting*
- Chartered Institute of Building (1993) *Code of Estimating Practice*, Supplement No. 4, *Post-tender use of estimating information*
- Civil Engineering Standard Method of Measurement (1991) 3rd edition, Thomas Telford
- Co-ordinating Committee for Project Information (1987) *Co-ordinated Project Information for Building Works, a guide with examples* (this and other CPI documents may be obtained from BEC Publications, RIBA Publications or Surveyor's Bookshop)
- Cook, A.E. (1991) *Construction Tendering: Theory and practice*, Batsford
- Cross, D.M.G. (1990) *Builders' Estimating Data*, Heinemann-Newnes
- Department of the Environment PSA (1987) *Significant Items Estimating* (produced by the Directorate of Building and Quantity Surveying Services), HMSO
- Farrow, J.-J. (1984) *Tendering – an applied science*, Occasional Paper, 2nd edition, the Chartered Institute of Building
- Franks, J. (1996) *Building Procurement Systems*, the Chartered Institute of Building
- Griffith, A. (2003) *Best practice tendering for design and build projects*, Thomas Telford
- Kwaky, A.A. (1994) *Understanding Tendering and Estimating*, Gower
- Latham, Sir Michael (1994) *Constructing the Team* (joint review of procurement and contractual arrangements in the United Kingdom construction industry), HMSO
- McCaffer, R. and Baldwin, A. (1991) *Estimating and Tendering for Civil Engineering Works*, 2nd edition, BSP

## *Estimating and Tendering for Construction Work*

Ministry of Public Building and Works (1964) *The placing and management of contracts for building and civil engineering work* (report of the committee. Chairman: Sir Harold Banwell), HMSO

Ministry of Works (1944) *The placing and management of building contracts* (report of the committee. Chairman: Sir Ernest Simon), HMSO

Sher, W. (1997) *Computer-aided Estimating: A Guide to Good Practice*, Addison Wesley Longman

Skitmore, R.M. (1989) *Contract Bidding in Construction: Strategic management and modelling*, Longman Scientific & Technical

Smith, A.J. (1995) *Estimating, Tendering and Bidding for Construction*, Macmillan

Smith, R.C. (1986) *Estimating and Tendering for Building Work*, Pearson Higher Education

*Standard method of measurement of building works* (1988) 7th edition, BEC and RICS

*Schedule of dayworks carried out incidental to contract work* (2002) Civil Engineering Contractors Association

Whitehead, G. (1990) *Guide to Estimating for Heating and Ventilating Contractors*, HVCA

### *The Chartered Institute of Buildings Technical Information Papers, 1982–1991:*

Paper No. 7 Potter, D. and Scoins, D. (1982) Computer-aided estimating

Paper No. 9 Holes, L.G. and Thomas, R. (1982) A general-purpose data processing system for estimating

Paper No. 11 Harrison, R.S. (1982) Practicalities of computer-aided estimating

Paper No. 15 Skoyles, E.R. (1982) Waste and the estimator

Paper No. 37 Harrison, R.S. (1984) Pricing drainage and external works

Paper No. 39 Braid, S.R. (1984) Importance of estimating feedback

Paper No. 59 Uprichard, D.C. (1986) Computerised standard networks in tender planning

Paper No. 64 Ashworth, A. (1986) Cost models – their history, development and appraisal

Paper No. 65 Ashworth, A. and Elliott, D.A. (1986) Price books and schedules of rates

Paper No. 75 Harrison, R.S. (1987) Managing the estimating function

Paper No. 77 Ashworth, A. (1987) General and other attendance provided for sub-contractors

Paper No. 81 Ashworth, A. (1987) The computer and the estimator

Paper No. 97 Brook, M.D. (1988) The use of spreadsheets in estimating

Paper No. 113 Senior, G. (1990) Risk and uncertainty in lump sum contracts

Paper No. 114 Emsley, M.W. and Harris, F.C. (1990) Methods and rates for structural steel erection

Paper No. 120 Cook, A.E. (1990) The cost of preparing tenders for fixed price contracts

Paper No. 127 Harris, F. and McCaffer, R. (1991) The management of contractor's plant

Paper No. 128 Price, A.D.F. (1991) Measurement of construction productivity: Concrete Gangs

Paper No. 131 Brook, M.D. (1991) Safety considerations on tendering – management's responsibility

### *The Chartered Institute of Building, Construction Papers, 1992*

Paper No. 2 Massey, W.B. (1992) Sub-contractors during the tender period – an estimator's view

Paper No. 11 Hardy, T.J. (1992) Germany – a challenge for the estimator

Paper No. 16 Milne, M. (1993) Contracts under seal and performance bonds

Paper No. 17 Young, B.A. (1993) A professional approach to tender presentations in the construction industry

- Paper No. 19 Harrison, R.S. (1993) The transfer of information between estimating and other functions in a contractor's organization – or the case for going round in circles
- Paper No. 23 Emsley, M.W. and Harris, F.C. (1993) Methods and rates for precast concrete erection
- Paper No. 32 Morris, R. (1994) Negotiation in construction
- Paper No. 33 Harrison, R.S. (1994) Operational estimating
- Paper No. 49 Borrie, D. (1995) Procurement in France
- Paper No. 54 Pokora, J. and Hastings, C. (1995) Building partnerships
- Paper No. 55 Sher, W. (1995) Classification and coding of data for computer-aided estimating systems

*The National Joint Consultative Committee for Building (RIBA and BEC)*

- Standard form of tendering questionnaire select list of contractors (1994)
- Code of Procedure for Single Stage Selective Tendering (1989)
- Code of Procedure for Two Stage Selective Tendering (1983)
- Code of Procedure for Selective Tendering for Design and Build (1985)
- Code of Procedure for the Selection of a Management Contractor and Works Contractors (1990)
- Code of Procedure for the Letting and Management of Domestic Sub-contract Works (1989)
- Guidance Note 1. Joint venture tendering for contracts in the United Kingdom (1985)
- Guidance Note 2. Performance bonds (1986)
- Guidance Note 3. Fire officers' recommendations (1987)
- Guidance Note 4. Pre-tender meetings (1986)
- Guidance Note 5. Reproduction of drawings for tender purposes (1990)
- Guidance Note 6. Collateral warranties (1990)
- Guidance Note 8. Construction management – selection and appointment of construction manager and trade contractors (1994)
- Guidance Note 9. Charges for admission to approved and select lists and for tender documents (1995)
- Procedure Note 9. Tendering periods

*Laxton's Building Price Book* (2004) Butterworth-Heinemann

JCT Practice Note 6 – Series 2 – Main contract tendering (2002) (*Intended to replace the NfJCC codes of procedure and the subsequent CIB code of practice listed below*)

*Documents produced by working groups of the Construction Industry Board published by Thomas Telford Publishing* (1997)

- Briefing the team: a guide to better briefing for clients
- Code of Practice for the selection of main contractors
- Code of Practice for the selection of subcontractors
- Constructing success: code of practice for clients of the construction industry
- Framework for a national register for contractors
- Partnering in the team
- Selecting consultants for the team: balancing quality and price

*This page intentionally left blank*

# Index

---

- Abstracting materials, 109
- ACA Project Partnering Contract, 37
- Acceptance, 36
  - period, 36
  - qualified, 36
- Access to the site, 113
- Activity schedules, 37
- Agenda, start-up meeting, 247
- Agreement – basis of, 35
- Agreement for Minor Building Works, 17
  - fluctuations, 187
- Agreement to tender, 94
- All-in rates, 78
- Alternative:
  - bids, 243
  - contract duration, 117
  - design, 119
  - methods, 3, 117
  - tender, 64, 244, 245
- Analytical bills, 81
- Analytical estimating, 78, 81, 82
- Analytical pricing, 78, 126
  - estimator's report, 233
- Anti-competitive behaviour, 97
- Appendix, standard forms of contract, 38
- Approved lists of contractors, 87
- Approximate:
  - estimating, 290
  - quantities, 45, 52, 73, 76
- Approximate bill of quantities, 60, 89
- Architect, 20, 38, 48
  - duty to nominate, 42
  - provisional sums, 53
  - role, 13, 40, 78
  - specification, 49
- Articles of agreement, 36, 41
- Assessment criteria, 245
- Attendances, 180, 181
  - for sub-contractors, 41
  - priced in preliminaries, 199
  - special scaffolding, 183
- Award of contract, 246, 254
- Banwell report, 10, 34, 46, 90
- Basic lists of materials, 65
- Best value, 245
- Bidding performance, 238
- Bidding strategy, 251, 252
- Bill descriptions, 56, 91
- Bill diagrams, 48
- Bill format, 50, 81
- Bill of allowances, 222, 254, 255, 257, 263
  - example, 256
- Bill of approximate quantities, 37, 59, 74, 89
- Bill of quantities, 58, 59, 81
  - pricing, 125
- Bills of quantities, 6, 37, 43, 45, 46, 48, 50, 51, 52, 54, 55, 59, 67, 74, 83, 91, 100, 103, 109, 229, 241, 290
  - as specification, 52
  - computer, 267
  - computer packages, 54
  - computer system, 56
  - construction management, 22
  - cost planning, 50, 68
  - description of the work, 51
  - descriptions, 57
  - dimensions, 52
  - electronic, 264, 270, 271, 287

## Index

- Bills of quantities (*contd*)
  - elemental format, 50, 54
  - external works, 54
  - firm, 59
  - formats, 54
  - item references, civil engineering, 56
  - list of drawings, 51, 61, 96
  - material purchasing, 50
  - materials and workmanship, 52
  - preliminaries, 51, 52, 95, 199
  - priced, 61, 88, 241, 244, 254
  - pricing, 78
  - principal quantities, 51
  - provisional sums, 192
  - purpose, 50
  - reference to codes, 50
  - sectionalised trade format, 54
  - standard descriptions, 55
  - submission, 242, 256
  - tender sum, 52
  - text file, 264
  - trade format, 54
  - unit rates, 134
  - use of computers, 56
  - valuation, 256
- Bonus, 255
  - systems, 50, 79
- British Property Federation, 10
- BS EN ISO 9000, 8
- Buildability, 12, 17
- Builder's quantities, 67, 76
- Builder's work, 180
- Building estimator, 107
- Building Project Information Committee (BPIC), 47
- Business development, 3
- Business directories, 261
- Business strategy, 247
- Buyer, 2, 3, 104, 111, 180, 283
- Cartel, 97
- Cashflow, 81
  - borrowing requirement, 222
  - calculation, 217, 238
  - cumulative value, 219
  - diagram, 219, 222
  - estimating software, 222
  - forecast, 78, 118
  - example, 219
  - spreadsheet, 273
- mobilization period, 222
- positive, 217
- preliminaries, 222, 241
- priced programme, 226
- provisional sums, 222
- resource analysis, 224
- retention monies, 222
- schedule of payments, 217
- S-curve, 219
- self-financing contract, 217
- spreadsheet, 217, 222
- tender programme, 222
- CD-ROM, 6, 272, 283
- CD-ROM drives, 283
- CDM Regulations, 38
- CESMM3, 134
- Check:
  - final review, 229
  - procedures, 228
  - resource summaries, 228
  - unit of measurement, 228
- Checking contract documents, 256
- Chief estimator, 1, 3, 93, 102
  - computers, 285
- CI/SfB, 49
- CIOB Code of Estimating Practice,
  - 6, 78, 103, 115, 125, 131, 199, 228, 256
  - number two, 243
  - preliminaries, 200
  - supplement
  - tender results, 247
- Civil engineering, 3, 59
  - alternative tenders, 244
  - engineer, 13
  - estimator, 107
  - pricing with computers, 289
  - roles, 16
- Civil engineering contracts
  - specifications, 49
- Civil engineering standard method of measurement, 51, 56, 82

- Civil engineering work, 117
- Client, 2, 3
  - ability to pay, 86, 93
  - brief, 19
  - needs, 11, 17
  - professional team, 8
  - requirements, 8, 13, 58, 83
  - satisfaction, 8
- Code of practice for the selection of:
  - main contractors, 65, 87
  - sub-contractors, 115
- Code of procedure:
  - for design and build, 89
  - for selective tendering for design and build, 18
  - for single stage selective tendering, 61, 63, 87, 244
    - correction of errors, 245
  - for the selection of main contractors, 6
  - for two stage selective tendering, 89
- Collusive tendering certificate, 65
- Common arrangement of work sections, 54, 55
- Company:
  - objectives, 238
  - policy, 234
  - procedures, 109, 131, 262
  - profile, 245
  - strategy, 3
- Company Directors Disqualification Act, 96
- Competition, 18, 89, 242, 253
  - final cost, 68
  - open, 86
  - public contracts, 89
  - strength, 238
- Competition Act, 96
- Competition and negotiation, 86
- Competitive advantage, 13, 200
- Competitive market, 238
- Completing the estimate, 227
- Completion:
  - early, 17
  - on time, 14
- Completion dates, 12, 51
- Component drawings, 53
- Composite items, 74
- Computer, 260, 281
  - applications, 55
  - databases, 126, 287
  - digitiser, 282
  - hardware, 280
  - implementation, 285
  - job library, 132
  - laptop, 281
  - local area network, 283
  - network, 284
  - networking, 283, 286
  - packages, 55
  - peripheral devices, 281
  - printer, 281
  - reports, 135
  - skills, 287
  - specification, 281, 282
  - strategy, 290
  - summaries, 227
  - system, 242
  - system selection, 285
  - the future, 286
  - training, 286
  - up-grade, 281
  - wide area network, 283
- Computer-aided billing, 55
- Computer-aided design, 279
- Computer-aided estimating, 55, 132, 180, 260, 261
  - aims, 261, 262
  - characteristics, 269
  - packages, 254, 267
  - recalculation times, 289
  - rogue items, 267
  - sort code, 264
  - standard bills of quantities, 267
  - sub-contract comparison, 177
  - system test reports, 289
  - systems, 81, 261
  - test reports, 268
  - trade codes, 264
- Computing:
  - adjustments, 261
  - electronic data transfer, 281
  - estimating performance, 260

## Index

- Computing (*contd*)
  - implementation, 267, 286, 290
  - operational estimating, 289
  - technical libraries, 284
  - voice recognition, 289
- Constants, 84
- Constructing the Team, 13, 245
- Construction (Design and Management)
  - regulations 1994, 9, 65
- Construction Drawing Practice, 48
- Construction industry, 10, 14
- Construction Industry Board, 65, 87, 115
- Construction Industry Trading Electronically (CITE), 264
- Construction management, 11, 12, 13, 15, 16, 21, 22
  - standard contract, 22
- Construction manager, 21
- Construction process, 12
- Construction team, 10
- Consumerism, 68
- Contingency, 76
  - contractor's, 58
- Contingency sum, 53, 77, 90, 192
- Contract:
  - appendix, 51
  - bills of quantities, 43
  - compliance, 87
  - conditions, 36, 77
  - construction, 34
  - cost reimbursement, 38
  - drawings, 43
  - duration, 118, 244
  - executed as a deed, 37
  - formal documents, 35
  - in writing, 35
  - law, 36
  - legal capacity, 35
  - lump sum, 37
  - negotiated, 35
  - offer and acceptance, 35
  - remeasurement, 37
  - selection, 44
  - sum, 59
  - under seal, 36
- Contract Administrator, 20
- Contract documents, 35, 43
  - checking, 256
  - specification, 49
- Contract sum analysis, 18, 19, 43, 59, 243
- Contractor:
  - bill of quantities, 78, 79, 83
  - involvement, 12
- Contractor selection, 85
  - financial standing, 86
  - national register of contractors', 87
  - negotiation, 89
  - reputation, 86
- Contractor's direct work, 109
- Contractor's bill of quantities, 83
- Contractor's experience, 12
- Contractors' overheads, 19
- Contractor's proposals, 18, 43, 243
- Contracts:
  - comparison of forms, 43
  - standard forms, 43
- Contractual risks, 236
- Co-operation, 13, 46
- Coordinated project information, 47, 91
- Cost:
  - breakdown, 109
  - certainty, 70
  - control, 50, 255, 256
  - limit, 16, 68
  - monitoring, 82
  - plan, 68
  - range, 67
  - reimbursement, 15
  - target, 255
  - yardstick, 71
- Cost plan, 71
  - elemental, 73
- Cost planning, 67, 290
  - computer systems, 73
  - expert systems, 289
  - spreadsheet, 73
  - spreadsheets, 262
- Cost planning volume method, 71
- Cost plus fixed fee, 60
- Cost plus percentage, 60

- Cost reimbursement
  - contract, 58, 60
- CPI, 109
- CPI and the estimator, 55
- Cumulative value, 222
- Database, 6, 263, 277
  - applications, 277
  - design, 277
  - estimating, 268
  - marketing information, 278
  - on-line, 278
  - work items, 267
- Date for completion, 236
- Daywork, 54, 192, 193
  - all-in rate for labour, 196
  - base date, 194
  - civil engineering definition, 194
  - civil engineering plant, 196
  - definition, 194, 197
    - of prime cost, 6
  - head office overheads, 198
  - incidental Costs, 197
  - labour percentage, 198
  - labour rates, 194
  - labour-only sub-contractors, 197
  - overheads and profit, 196, 198
  - payments for skill, 194
  - percentage on labour, 196
  - plant operator, 198
  - plant schedules, 6
  - prime cost, 53, 194
  - rates for labour, 197
  - schedule, 51
  - schedule of plant charges, 194, 198
  - subsistence allowance, 198
  - supplementary charges, 194, 196
  - trade discounts, 194
  - transporting materials, 198
- Decision to tender, 3, 85, 92, 93, 100
  - future workload, 93
  - risks, 93
- Delay, 10
  - avoiding, 12
  - costs, 124
- Design:
  - development, 21
  - responsibilities, 22
- Design and build, 10, 14, 17, 18, 19, 45, 59, 67, 83, 89, 91
  - computer systems, 264
  - contractor, 18
  - Joint Contracts Tribunal, 19
  - single point responsibility, 19
  - submission, 240
  - systems, 12
- Design team, 10
  - experience, 93
- Desktop publishing, 3, 262, 279
- Development budget, 68, 69
- Digitizers, 54
- Dimensioned description, 48
- Dimensioned diagrams, 48
- Discount fiddle, 41
- Discounts:
  - prompt payment, 234
  - suppliers and sub-contractors, 233
- Documents
  - claims, 46
  - electronic, 263
  - production guidelines, 47
- DOM/1, 40
- DOM/2, 41
- Domestic sub-contract, 40, 176
- Domestic sub-contractor, 40, 113
  - named, 61
- Drawings, 46, 91
  - as-built, 48
  - code, 47
  - CPI guidelines, 47
  - numbers, 96
  - preliminary, 48
  - production, 48
  - record, 48
  - survey, 48
- Drawings and specification, 40, 49, 58, 60, 76
- E-commerce, 285
- Egan report, 116
- Electronic Data Interchange, 38

## Index

- Electronic mail, 283, 284
- Elemental bill, 55
- Elemental cost plan, 59, 66, 67, 71, 72, 74, 75
  - headings, 229
- E-mail, 261, 284
- Employer role, 40
- Employer's requirements, 18, 43, 59, 89, 214, 243
- Engineering and Construction contract, 10, 34
- Engineering and Construction
  - sub-contract, 41
- Enquiries:
  - abstracting, 109
  - approximate quantities, 111
  - bills of quantities, 115
  - computer-aided estimating, 109
  - contract period, 113, 115
  - databases, 111
  - delivery periods, 113
  - discount, 113
  - domestic sub-contractors, 114
  - drawings and specifications, 111
  - firm price, 113
  - materials, 111
  - programme, 113
  - sample letter, 114
  - specification, 111
  - sub-contractors, 56, 109, 113
  - suppliers, 54, 56, 90, 100, 109
- Enquiry:
  - documents, 36
  - letter, 113
  - letter to sub-contractors, 40
  - schedules, 100
- Enterprise Act, 96
- Errors:
  - arithmetical, 176
  - correction, 245
  - genuine, 88
  - in tenders, 88
  - inking-in, 246
  - mathematical, 246
  - patent, 176, 246
- Establishment charges, 81
- Estimate, 35
- Estimate analysis form, 229, 231
- Estimate timetable, 100, 102
- Estimating:
  - analytical, 66
  - assistant, 2
  - department, 2
  - department structure, 2
  - flowchart, 4
  - function, 1
  - information sources, 6
  - methods, 66
  - procedures, 8
  - programme, 5
  - skills, 6
  - software, 271
  - team, 2
  - workload, 3, 61
- Estimating packages:
  - features, 269
- Estimating team, 103, 117
  - co-ordination, 106
- Estimator:
  - computing needs, 286
  - experience, 6
  - needs, 290
  - role, 261, 262, 287, 290
  - skills, 68
  - trainee, 135
- Estimator's notes, 255
- Estimator's report, 229, 262
  - provisional sums, 233
- Evaluation criteria, 266
- Examination and adjustment of priced bills, 88
- Extension of time:
  - sub-contractor, 42
  - sub-contractor's right, 41
- FCEC Form of Sub-contract, 41
- Feasibility studies, 18
- Feedback, 118, 125, 238, 254–256, 259
  - information, 50
  - waste, 130

- Final review meeting, 2, 6, 34, 78, 81,  
106, 107, 134, 135, 180, 184,  
227, 233, 234, 239, 241, 247,  
255, 256
- adjustments, 222, 229, 233, 239,  
254
- checking procedures, 228
- Final review stage, 83, 103, 126
- Final tender review
  - build-ups, 227
- Finance:
  - project, 238
- Financial advice, 13
- Firm price, 58, 186
  - contract, 187
  - tenders, 78, 121
- Fixed and time-related items, 51
- Fixed charges, 202
  - early, 214
- Fixed price contract, 58
- Floor area method, 71
- Fluctuating price, 186
- Fluctuations, 38, 51, 185, 186, 234
  - all-in rate, 188
  - analytical estimating, 188
  - basic list materials, 186
  - calculations, 188
  - clause, 58
  - contract clauses, 186
  - demolition materials, 187
  - formula method, 191
  - historical data, 188
  - ICE conditions, 187
  - labour cost increases, 188
  - labour-only sub-contractors, 188
  - local authorities contract, 187
  - material costs, 191
  - minor works, 44
  - NEDO formulae, 187
  - non-adjustable element, 187
  - non-recoverable costs, 187
  - non-recoverable element, 188
  - overheads and profit, 187
  - plant costs, 191
  - price adjustment formulae, 187
  - staff costs, 188, 191, 234
  - sub-contract quotations, 191
  - tax, 186
  - tender programme, 188, 191
  - unfixed materials, 187
  - wage increases, 191
  - work categories, 187
- Forms of contract, 34
- Formula Method, 186
- Functional units, 70
- GC/Works/1, 17, 37, 183, 184
  - cashflow, 219
  - fluctuations, 187
  - stage payments, 219
  - sub-contract discounts, 234
- General attendance, 184
  - nominated sub-contractor, 183
- General labour, 118, 132
- General plant, 132
- Goodwill, 3
- Ground conditions, 52
- Grouped items, 55
- Guaranteed maximum cost, 116
- Guaranteed maximum price, 19
  - spreadsheets, 264
- Guarantees, 21
- GW/S Standard form of sub-contract for  
use with GC/Works/1, 41
- Handover information, 254, 256
- Hardware requirements, 269
- Head office:
  - charges, 237
  - overheads and profit, 193
- Health and safety plan, 65, 119
- Historical data, 66, 68, 73, 77
- Holiday periods, 121
- Hourly rate, labour, 125
- Housing Grants, Construction and  
Regeneration Act, 38, 41
- ICE Conditions of contract, 17, 37
  - fluctuations, 187
- Inception stage, 70

## Index

- Inclement weather, 121
- Inflation, 71, 185
  - price trends, 186
- Inking-in errors, 246
- Institution of Civil Engineers, 34
- Instructions to tenderers, 243, 244
- Insurance, 38, 43
  - adviser, 235
  - contract conditions, 235
  - employer's liability, 235
- Integrated supply chains, 116
- Intermediate Form of Building Contract
  - fluctuations, 187
- Internet, 261, 284, 289
- Investment, 11
- Invitation to negotiate, 24
- Invitation to tender, 92, 95
  - letter, 61
- Invitation to treat, 36
- Item coverage, 77, 82
- JCT Agreement for Minor Building Works, 37
- JCT Intermediate Form of Building Contract, 17, 37
- JCT Major Project Form, 37
- JCT Management Contract, 13, 20
- JCT practice Note No.6, 245
- JCT Standard Form of
  - Building Contract, 17, 186
  - daywork, 193
  - Employer/Nominated Sub-contractor, 42
  - Management Contract, 37
  - Nominated Sub-contract, 42
  - Nomination, 42
- JCT Standard Form with Contractor's Design, 37
- JCT Work Contract/2, 52
- Job satisfaction, 8
- Joint Contracts Tribunal, 34, 37
  - fluctuations, 187
  - website, 45
- Labour resources, 233
- Labour costs, subsistence, 126
- Labour only sub-contracts, 78
- Labour outputs, 135
- Labour rate:
  - build up, 126
  - insurances, 235
  - used in tables, 128
- Labour rates, 125, 135
  - all-in rate, 126
    - calculation, 126
  - attraction money, 126
  - bad weather, 126
  - bonus
    - attraction, 126
    - bonus payments, 126
    - employer's liability insurance, 128
  - holidays, 125
  - normal working hours, 126
  - overtime, 125
  - project overheads, 126
  - skilled labour, 126
  - spreadsheets, 126, 128
  - subsistence allowance, 126
  - trade supervision, 126
  - travelling costs, 126
  - union agreements, 126
  - wage awards, 126
  - Working Rule Agreement, 128
- Labour-only sub-contractor, 113, 115, 177
  - rates, 134
- Landfill Tax, 38
- Large tender lists, 90
- Letter of intent, 35
- Liquidated damages, 21, 38, 51, 77, 236, 244
- List of tender prices, 88
- Local authorities, 87, 90
  - forms, 37, 45
- Low maintenance, 12
- Lump sum, 58
  - contract, 15, 37
  - tenders, 45
- Maintenance:
  - contracts, 40, 68
  - work, 52

- Management contract, 52
  - fluctuations, 187
  - prime cost, 20
- Management contracting, 11, 15, 19, 20, 21
  - contractor's discount, 21
  - Joint Contracts Tribunal, 20
  - margins, 21
  - professional team, 20
  - prompt payments, 21
  - standard form of contract, 20
- Management contractor, 20, 61, 119
- Management fee, 15, 20, 60
- Management of risk, 236
- Management structure, 1
- Margin, 14
- Marketing, 3, 92, 253
- Material rates, 128
  - alternative products, 128
  - conversion factors, 130
  - distributing materials, 130
  - haulage, 128
  - mechanical off-loading, 130
  - net quantities, 130
  - off-loading, 130
  - part loads, 128
  - small load charges, 128
  - specification, 128
  - testing of materials, 130
  - theft and vandalism, 130
  - unloading materials, 130
  - waste, 130
- Material schedules, 271
- Mathematical checks, 228
- Mathematical errors, 246
- Measured contracts, 60
- Measurement contract, 58
- Measurement rules, 55
- Meeting, post tender, 246
- Method of measurement, 76, 134
  - preamble, 76
- Method statement, 2, 20, 83, 117–119, 135, 240, 241, 244
  - construction management, 22
  - demolition, 119
  - example, 120
- Method-related charges, 52
- Methods of estimating, approximate, 66
- Minor Works agreement, 43
- Mobilization period, 254
- Model rate, example, 135
- Multiple rate approximate estimating, 71
- NAM/SC Conditions for named
  - sub-contractors, 41
- Named sub-contractor, 40, 176, 244
- National Building Specification, 49
  - text files, 272
- National Joint Consultative Committee
  - for Building, 18, 87, 89
- National Working Rule Agreement, 6, 131
- NEC Engineering and Construction
  - contract, 37, 86
- Negotiated contract, 6, 89
- Negotiation, 18
  - post tender, 245, 246
- Net allowances, 180
- Net cost estimate, 233
- Net unit rates, 78
- New Engineering Contract, 13, 34
- Nominated sub-contractor, 38, 40, 42, 103, 176, 183
  - approximate quantities, 53
  - attendances, 103
  - delay, 42
  - design, 42
  - discount, 234
  - nomination, 119
  - programme, 53
  - special attendance, 53
- Nominated supplier, 176, 184
  - coverage rules, 184
  - discount, 184, 234
  - fixing materials, 184
- Nomination, 42
  - documents, 42
  - procedure, 183
- Non-mechanical plant, 215
- Non-standard forms of sub-contract, 41
- Notification of results, 246
- Novated design team, 59
- Novation, 18, 176
  - specialist contractors, 176

## Index

- Offer:
  - counter offer, 36
  - termination, 36
  - withdrawal, 36
- Office of Fair Trading, 96
- 'On demand' bonds, 41
- On-line bidding, 265
- Open competition, 90
- Open tendering, 87
- Operational estimating, 82, 83, 107
  - civil engineering, 82
  - programme, 83, 117
  - resources, 84
- Optical character recognition, 269, 281
- Organization, 1
- Outline programme, 244
  - example, 124
- Output specification, 24
- Outputs, 78, 82
  - planning, 118
- Overheads, 53
- Overheads and profit, 60, 81, 237, 239
  - daywork, 192
- Package contractors, 15, 61
  - construction management, 22
- Package deal, 17
- Parent company guarantee, 41, 235
- Partnering, 13, 14
  - agreement, 14
  - alternative bid, 245
  - contract, 14
  - cost savings, 14
- Partnership, 13, 14, 82
  - agreement, 86
- Patent errors, 246
- 'Pay when paid', 41
- Payments forecast, 217
- PC and provisional sums, 100
  - summary, 103
- PC sum, 40, 53
  - nominated sub-contractors, 43
- Performance bond, 21, 43, 77, 86, 90,  
215, 244
  - defects liability period, 235
  - pricing, 235
- Performance guarantees, 42
- PFI:
  - affordability, 30
  - benefits, 26
  - best and final offer, 23
  - business case, 28
  - commercial aspects, 26
  - consortium, 19, 23
  - electronic documents, 29
  - estimating process, 28
  - final invitation to tender, 29
  - financial model, 30
  - invitation to negotiate, 28
  - pricing strategy, 30, 32
  - process, 25
  - procurement strategy, 31
  - public sector scheme, 28
  - risks, 27
  - schedule of accommodation, 30
  - special purpose vehicle, 23
  - special purpose company, 28
  - submission document, 29, 32
  - target area, 30
  - target cost plan, 31
  - tender procedures, 27
  - terminology, 23
  - Treasury report, 23
  - value for money, 23, 26
- Plan and specification, 83
- Planning engineer, 2, 107, 117, 200
  - role, 117
- Planning software, 278
- Planning Supervisor, 65
- Plant:
  - dismantling, 131
  - handling equipment, 131
  - hire rates, 125
- Plant Quotations Register, 131
- Plant rates, 130
  - all-in hourly rate for plant, 131
  - depreciation, 131
  - fuel and lubricants, 131
  - mechanical plant, 131
  - plant operator, 131
  - programme, 131
  - quotations, 131

- sources of plant, 131
- transport to site, 131
- Post tender:
  - adjustments, 254
  - meeting, 246
  - negotiations, 256
- Practical completion, 43
- Practice Note CD/1B, 19
- Preamble, 51, 52, 77
  - bills of quantities, 134
  - civil engineering, 51
- Pre-award meeting, 247
- Pre-contract meeting, 256
- Preliminaries, 51, 59, 73, 77, 81
  - bonds and insurances, 234
  - checklist, 200, 201
  - fixed and time-related, 242
  - fixed charge, 51, 202, 214
  - lump-sum, 242
  - mechanical plant, 215
  - pricing, 103, 117, 118, 200
  - pricing spreadsheet, 212
  - professional fees, 215
  - resourced programme, 200
  - site accommodation, 215
  - staff costs, 214
  - submission, 242
  - supervision, 200, 215
  - temporary works, 200, 215
  - time-related charge, 51, 202
- Preliminaries bill, 134, 199, 214
  - cashflow, 214
  - pricing, 202
- Preliminary:
  - enquiry, 88, 93, 94
  - form, 96
  - invitation to tender, 95, 238
- Pre-qualification, 87
- Pre-selection, on-line bidding, 266
- Pre-tender health and safety:
  - file, 8
  - plan, 65
- Price:
  - fixing, 97
  - library, 267
  - lists, 113
- Priced bills of quantities, 229
- Pricing:
  - build-up, 133
  - checks, 243
  - coverage rules, 134
  - data, 271
  - decimal constants, 135
  - examples, 135, 136
  - methods, 103
  - preliminaries, 108
  - repair work, 83
  - strategy, 102, 103
  - unit rates, 134, 135
  - working space, 134
- Pricing notes, 132, 134, 135, 254
  - example, 134
  - spreadsheets, 276
- Pricing preliminaries, 53, 199
  - attendant labour, 208
  - contract conditions, 209
  - employer's requirements, 202
  - facilities and services, 204
  - management and staff, 203
  - mechanical plant, 205
  - non-mechanical plant, 210
  - site accommodation, 207
  - temporary works, 206
- Prime contracts, 116
- Prime cost, 39, 60
  - nomination, 183
- Prime cost and provisional sums, 51
- Prime cost of building labour, 195
- Prime cost sum, 53, 54, 103, 183
  - nominated sub-contractor, 53
  - nominated supplier, 53, 184
  - nomination, 184
- Principal quantities, 96, 100
- Private Finance Initiative, 19, 22
- Private sector, 11
- Problem solving, 14
- Process engineering, 13
- Procurement
  - alternative methods, 12, 16
  - BPF method, 10
  - methods, 12, 14
  - price certainty, 11

## Index

- Procurement (*contd*)
  - primary objectives, 11
  - traditional method, 10, 16, 17
- Product literature, 2
- Professional team, 12, 16, 19, 20
- Profit, 81
  - calculation, 238
  - contractor's, 183
  - in bill of quantities, 184
  - provisional sums and daywork, 192
- Profit margin:
  - changes, 238
- Programme, 83, 121
  - cashflow, 217
  - costed, 222
  - nominated sub-contractor, 42
  - submission, 241
  - tender, 78
  - to produce tender, 100
- Project:
  - appreciation, 100
  - management, 11, 16
- Project manager, 10, 16, 21
- Project overheads, 78, 124, 184, 193,
  - 199, 200
  - feedback, 259
  - schedule, 254, 273
- Project overheads (*see also*
  - preliminaries), 212
- Project Specification Code, 50
- Protection clauses, 50
- Provisional sum, 52–54, 59, 103,
  - 193
  - attendances, 183
  - daywork, 192
  - defined, 52, 57, 192
  - estimator's report, 234
  - extension of time, 192
  - planning, 192
  - preliminaries bill, 233
  - statutory authorities, 53
  - temporary works, 193
  - undefined, 52, 192
- Public Private Partnership, 25
- Public sector, 11
- Public sector comparator PSC, 24
- Qualification document, 87
- Qualified bids, 177
- Quality:
  - assurance, 8
  - management, 8, 118
  - plan, 120
- Quantity surveyor, 2, 10, 40, 48, 54–57,
  - 66, 78, 106, 183, 186, 222, 242,
  - 246, 287
  - role, 13, 16
  - variations, 193
- Quotation, offer, 35
- Quotations:
  - materials, 128
  - monitoring receipt, 109
- Rates:
  - consistent, 177
  - spread monies, 81
- Receipt of tender documents, 95
- Reconciliation, resources, 228
- Reference data, 8
- Refurbishment work, 59
  - contract, 45
- Reinforcement schedules, 263, 273
- Remeasurement, 59, 243
- Reputation, 252
- Resource:
  - costs, 78, 125, 132
  - histograms, 118
  - levelling, 82
  - library, 132
  - prices, 125
  - schedules, 228
  - summaries, 81, 134, 135
- Resourced programme, 50, 117
- Resources, 83, 121, 268
  - adjustments, 228
- Retention, 43, 51
  - bond, 38
  - construction management, 22
  - management contract, 20
- Reverse auctions, 265, 266
  - Invitation to tender, 267
- Right of objection, 39
- Right of set-off, 21

- Risk, 14, 90, 235
  - apportionment, 14, 15
  - commercial, 236
  - construction management, 22
  - financial, 45, 86, 91
  - insurance, 235
  - management, 236
  - management contracting, 21
  - mitigation, 236
  - reduction, 12
  - register, 106
  - shared, 245
  - sub-contracting, 113
  - technical, 236
  - transfer, 237
- Rogue items, 55
- Role of management, 1
- Safety, 120, 241
  - method statements, 119
- Scanner, 264
- Schedule of rates, 15, 43, 60
  - ad-hoc, 60
  - standard, 60
- Scope of work, 53, 91
- Securing work, 13
- Security information on site, 255
- Selection criteria, 86, 241
- Selective tender list, 93
- Selective tendering, 18, 87
  - list of tenderers, 15
- Sequence of work, 107, 120
- Serial tender, 89
  - master bill, 89
- Set-off clauses, 42
- Shareware, 280
  - estimating software, 280
- Short tender periods, 90
- Single point responsibility, 17
- Single rate approximate estimating, 70
- Sir Michael Latham, 10, 13, 14, 34, 47, 86, 87, 245
- Site accommodation, 121
- Site allowances, 256
- Site investigation, 91, 108
- Site layout, 83
  - drawing, 118
  - preliminaries, 200
- Site location, 120, 252
- Site manager, 3, 84
  - cost information, 254
- Site security, 108, 130
- Site staff, 3
- Site visit, 61, 100, 107, 111
  - alterations, 107, 108
  - demolitions, 107, 108
  - location, 108
  - photographs, 107
  - report, 255
  - report form, 107
  - site boundary, 108
  - site plan, 107
  - spot items, 108
  - use of plant, 108
  - utility services, 108
- Small plant, 132
- SMM7 Measurement Code, 53, 54, 183
- Software, 262, 268
  - applications programs, 271
  - bill production, 272
  - compatibility, 272
  - database, 290
  - design, 279
  - digitizer, 283
  - document readers, 279
  - expert systems, 289
  - general purpose, 271, 286
  - operating systems, 271
  - presentation, 272
  - project planning, 278
  - systems programs, 271
  - utility programs, 271
- Special attendance, 103, 184
- Specialist contractors, 16, 41
- Specification, 49, 56, 57, 58, 59
  - clauses, 52, 91
  - code for specification writing, 49
  - contract document, 49
  - page numbers, 57
  - preambles, 49
  - priced specification, 49

## Index

- Specification (*contd*)
  - problems, 50
  - reference numbers, 57
  - schedule of works, 49
  - valuations, 49
  - writing, 46
- Speculation, 85
- Spreadsheet, 76, 78, 80, 177, 261, 262, 263, 272, 273
  - activity schedules, 272
  - checking, 276
  - design, 276
  - errors, 273, 276
  - feedback information, 259
  - look-up charts, 273
  - pricing notes, 276
- Procure 21, 264
- template, 274, 277
- Staff structure, 118
- Stage payment chart, 43
- Standard:
  - contracts, 34
  - form of building contract, 37
  - forms of contract, 6, 12, 34, 36, 38, 88
  - forms of sub-contract, 40
  - method of measurement, 6
  - specifications, 6
- Standard Form of Nominated Sub-contract, 42
- Standard Form of tendering
  - questionnaire, 87
- Standard Form with Contractor's Design, 45
- Standard method of measurement, 49, 51, 52, 56
  - building contract, 52
  - coverage rules, 56
  - nomination, 183
  - preliminaries, 199
  - provisional sums, 192
- Start-up meeting, 103, 247
- Statutory authorities, 53
- Sub-contract:
  - fluctuations, 191
  - adjusted rates, 180
  - allowances, 258
  - comparison, 178
  - comparison system, 177, 269
  - conditions, 177
  - enquiry abstract, 104
  - enquiry documents, 177
  - firm-price quotations, 191
  - forms, 38
  - mathematical checks, 177
  - negotiation, 177
  - plan and specification, 177
  - quotations, 57, 176, 234
  - resource summary, 180, 182
  - schedule of rates, 177
- Sub-contractor, 40, 176
  - approved, 176
  - attendances, 180
  - enquiries, 56, 57
  - offer, 36
  - overheads and profit, 180
  - payments, 41
  - prices, 78
  - quotation analysis, 273
  - quotations, 100, 177, 238, 255
  - unit rates, 134
- Sub-letting, 40, 113
- Submission:
  - date, 100
  - document, 14, 284
  - programme, 123
- Success rate, 3
- Success ratio, 6
- Successful tender, 3, 254
- Superficial floor area method, 71
- Supervision, 120
  - costs, 51
- Suppliers
  - database, 261
  - preferred, 238
  - reverse auctions, 265
- Supply chain, reverse auctions, 265
- Taking-off quantities, 61
- Target:
  - cost, 70, 89
  - price, 58
  - success, 6

- Technical risks, 235
- Telephone enquiries, 93
- Temporary works, 37, 83, 91, 107, 119, 121
- Tender:
  - acceptance period, 188
  - adjudication, 245
  - adjustments, 242
  - allowances, 239, 254
  - alternative, 13, 14, 64, 65, 107
  - alternative bid, 91, 92
  - amendments, 244
  - confirmation, 245
  - analysis form, 241
  - budget, 255
  - civil engineering, 14
  - confidentiality, 266
  - cost to tender, 93
  - costs: design and build, 91
  - cover letter, 243
  - design and build, 243
  - discounts, 238
  - documents, 91, 96, 100
  - follow-up, 241
  - form, 63, 65, 240, 243
  - formal invitation, 61
  - handover, 119
  - handover meeting, 35
  - information form, 96
  - invitation letter, 62
  - letter, 245
  - lists, 87
  - mark-ups, 237
  - negotiated reduction, 88
  - negotiation, 89
  - notification of results, 245
  - opportunities, 85
  - performance, 92, 247
  - period, 90, 91, 93, 95, 100
  - planning, 117
  - preparation stages, 3
  - presentation, 240
  - publish results, 92
  - qualifications, 243, 244
  - qualified, 88, 91, 119
  - ratio analysis, 250
  - register, 6, 7, 263
  - results, 92, 240, 246, 250, 251, 252
  - return envelope, 65
  - submission, 8, 61, 240, 243
  - sum, 58, 241
  - summary, 228, 229, 232, 234
  - unsuccessful, 245
  - withdrawal, 88, 95, 176
- Tender documents, 36, 46, 58, 61, 87, 88, 90, 93, 95, 107, 245, 263
  - bills of quantities, 61
  - drawings, 53, 57, 61
  - electronic, 264
  - inspection, 95
  - late receipt, 92
  - maintenance, 47
  - minor works, 47
  - poor, 12
  - problems, 47
- Tender performance, 245
  - project overheads, 250
- Tender presentation, 243
  - software, 262
- Tender programme, 119, 121, 124, 200, 228
  - cashflow, 219
  - fluctuations, 188
  - preliminaries, 200
- Tender register, database, 278
- Tender stage programme, 254
- Tender summary, 234, 239, 241
- Tendering:
  - abuse of procedures, 90
  - analysis of results, 247
  - cost of tendering, 87, 90
  - costs, 3, 91
  - EU directives, 266
  - good practice, 90
  - period, 88
  - tender period, 90
- Time-related charges, 202
- Time-related costs, 118
- Track record, 14
- Trade abstract, 103
- Trade discounts
  - management contracts, 21

## Index

- Trade pricing, 264
- Traditional bill of quantities, 10
- Trust, 13, 86
- Turnkey, 17
- Turnover, 238
- Two stage selective tendering, 89
- Undermeasurement, 180, 241, 242, 243
- Unit of accommodation method, 70, 71
- Unit rate, 78, 132, 134
  - calculation, 130
  - direct work, 78
  - pricing, 81, 132
- Unitary payment, 25, 26
- Valuations, 82
- Value of tenders and awards, 249
- Value-for-money, 68
- Variant bid, 25
- Variations, 52, 59, 177
  - dayworks, 193
  - value, 78, 81
- Visits to consultants, 107
- Voice mail, 284
- Wall to floor ratio, 73
- Warranties, 22
- Warranty agreement
  - nominated sub-contractor, 42
- Waste:
  - feedback, 259
  - materials, 84, 130
  - prime cost sum, 53
- Water charges, 235
- Weather, 121
- Windows interface, 270
- Win-win solutions, 13
- Withdraw tender, 246
- Word processor, 113, 263, 272
- Work packages, 21
- Workforce levels, 121
- Workload, 238
- Works contractors, 19, 21
  - fluctuations, 187
- World Wide Web, 284

**Get more e-books from [www.ketabton.com](http://www.ketabton.com)**  
**Ketabton.com: The Digital Library**